# South Fork Middle School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2013-14 School Year Published During 2014-15

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA Web sites.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

# **About This School**

## **Contact Information (Most Recent Year)**

School Contact Info	School Contact Information				
School Name	South Fork Middle School				
Street	5225 Kelso Valley Rd.				
City, State, Zip	Weldon, CA 93283				
Phone Number	760.378.1300				
Principal	Mary Beth Olive				
E-mail Address	mbolive@southforkschool.org				
Web Site	www.southforkschool.org				
CDS Code	15637846118103				

District Contact Information				
District Name	South Fork Union School District			
Phone Number	760.378.4000			
Superintendent	Robin Shive			
E-mail Address	roshive@southforkschool.org			
Web Site	www.southforkschool.org			

#### School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

The South Fork Union School District is a Preschool through eighth grade district, located in the eastern part of the Kern River Valley. The east-west district lines run from Walker Pass to South Lake, while the north-south boundaries are between Kennedy Meadow and Kelso Valley, for a total area of 417 square miles. The district is primarily an agricultural and ranching community with several housing sites for people working in the area and at the China Lake Naval Weapons Center and Ridgecrest.

The District has two school sites: South Fork Elementary, Preschool through fourth grade and South Fork Middle School, grades fifth through eighth. Student population at the Elementary School averages 135, Middle School enrollment averages 115.

South Fork Union School District's Mission is to be a community united in creating lifelong learners to improve our future through education. We offer relevant education in a safe environment, with opportunities for academic, athletic and social success.

#### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2013-14)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 5	35
Grade 6	31
Grade 7	24
Grade 8	27
Total Enrollment	117

#### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.9
Asian	0.0
Filipino	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	17.1
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
White	77.8
Two or More Races	3.4
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	81.2
English Learners	1.7
Students with Disabilities	8.5

# A. Conditions of Learning

# **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

## **Teacher Credentials**

		District		
Teachers	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2014-15
With Full Credential	4	4		
Without Full Credential	0	0		
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0		

#### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

#### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2013-14)

	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects					
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers				
This School	100.00	0.00				
All Schools in District	100.00	0.00				
High-Poverty Schools in District	100.00	0.00				
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0.00	0.00				

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2014-15)

## Year and month in which data were collected: 2013/12

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy	
Reading/Language Arts	Glenco, 2010	Yes	0%	
Mathematics	McDougal Littell, 2005	Yes	0%	
Science	McGraw Hill, 2001	Yes	0%	
History-Social Science	Holt Rinehart, 2006	Yes	0%	

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

South Fork Middle School was built in 2000 and is in great condition. The school has nine classrooms (all portables), a gymnasium, swimming pool, community center, track and field course, soccer field, library, and a computer lab with 30 computers. Students are supervised by yard duty personnel at all times. The after school program is also supervised. Two janitors work during school hours and evenings.

The District evaluates annually the 5 year deferred maintenance plan.

#### School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 6-9-14						
System Inspected	R	lepair Stati	us	Repair Needed and		
System inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]	[]	[]			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]			
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]			
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]			

#### **Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)**

	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

# **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress and its successor the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program);
- The Academic Performance Index; and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

# California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress / Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

		Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)							
Subject	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	73	61	58	73	61	58	60	59	60

Note: Science assessments include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	58
All Student at the School	58
Male	68
Female	50
Black or African American	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	
Filipino	
Hispanic or Latino	42
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	
White	63
Two or More Races	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	53
English Learners	
Students with Disabilities	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	

Note: CAASPP includes science assessments (CSTs, CMA, and CAPA) in grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students (Three-Year Comparison)

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject		School		District			State		
	2010-11	0-11 2011-12 2012-13 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2010-11				2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	
English-Language Arts	51	49	45	49 49 40			54 56 55		
Mathematics	38	32	34	48 45 37		49 50 50		50	
History-Social Science	68	68 50 29 68 50 29 48 49							49

Note: STAR Program was last administered in 2012-13. Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### Academic Performance Index Ranks (Three-Year Comparison)

API Rank	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Statewide	5	3	3
Similar Schools	3	1	2

Note: For 2014 and subsequent years, the statewide and similar schools ranks will no longer be produced.

#### Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group (Three-Year Comparison)

-		Actual API Change	
Group	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
All Students at the School	-17	-33	15
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White	-13	-45	14
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	-18	-17	14
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

# **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education.

#### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2013-14)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards								
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards						
5	25.7	20.0	14.3						
7	16.0	8.0	24.0						

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# C. Engagement

# **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

## **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)**

South Fork Middle School provides several different opportunities for parents to become involved. Parents are welcome to volunteer in the classroom, join the School Site Council, and the Parent Teacher Club (PTC) where, once a month, parents and teachers get together to plan activities, such as Fall Festival, educational assemblies, Book Fairs, and fundraising opportunities. Parents can also participate in Open House, Back-to-School Night, and Booster Club, which help our sports programs through fundraising.

Information about each organization is available by calling the school office at 760-378-1300.

# **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

Data	School				District		State			
Rate	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	
Suspensions	29.7	21.5	20.7	17.5	12.2	11.4	5.7	5.1	4.4	
Expulsions	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

#### School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

Plans and procedures are in place to ensure the safety of our students. These plans include a Board approved safety plan, monthly fire drills, monthly earthquake drills, and evacuation drills practiced each semester. School staff attends Blood Born Pathogen training once a year. The School Site Council reviews and updates the school safety plan yearly. The school also offers a Safe Summer Swim Program, SSA (Safe Schools Ambassadors) program, and the implementation of PBIS (Positive Behavior Intervention System) for positive behaviors that are part of our anti-bullying program.

The School Safety Plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with the school faculty in February 2014.

# **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2013-14)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall		
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts		
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics		
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts		
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics		

#### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2014-15)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In Pl
First Year of Program Improvement	2008-2009	2013-2014
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 3	Year 1
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		50.0

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data.

\* DW (determination waiver) indicates that the PI status of the school was carried over from the prior year in accordance with the flexibility granted through the federal waiver process.

#### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

		201	1-12		2012-13				2013-14			
Grade	Avg.	Num	Number of Classes		Avg.	Num	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Num	nber of Cla	sses
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
5					28		6		35			6
6					27		6		31		6	

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

#### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

		2011-12				2012-13			2013-14			
Subject	Avg.	Numb	er of Clas	srooms	Avg.	Numb	er of Clas	srooms	Avg.	Numb	er of Class	srooms
	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	28.8	0	4	0	29		3	1	26		4	
Mathematics	18	2	1	0	28		2		26		2	
Science	20.3	1	2	0	31		1	1	26		2	
Social Science	30.5	0	2	0	31		1	1	26		2	

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

#### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2013-14)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.24	
Psychologist	.10	
Social Worker		
Nurse	.10	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.20	
Resource Specialist		
Other		

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

#### Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

		Expenditures Per Pupil					
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary			
School Site	7,904	1,700	6,204	56,407			
District			6,314	\$57,007			
Percent Difference: School Site and District			-1.7	-1.1			
State			\$4,690	\$57,931			
Percent Difference: School Site and State			32.3	-2.6			

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

- Title I
- Response to Intervention
- Peer Assistance Review
- Gifted and Talented Education (GATE)
- Home Instruction
- After School Program for three hours a day
- Math Intervention; Tutoring 3X a week for 3 hours.

#### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$35,703	\$38,970
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$52,184	\$56,096
Highest Teacher Salary	\$64,715	\$71,434
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$0	\$91,570
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$0	\$97,460
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$0	\$99,544
Superintendent Salary	\$90,000	\$107,071
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	33	36
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7	7

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

#### **Professional Development**

The South Fork Union School District is committed to providing the highest quality professional development for all teachers. The District offers weekly one-hour Professional Learning Communities, which give teachers the opportunity to share literature, CCSS (Common Core State Standards) teaching strategies, and resources that support academic achievement in the classroom. The district also has 2 "in house" Curriculum and Instruction Leaders that lead the weekly PLCs. Once a month, teachers are involved in 2 hour CCSS coaching sessions developed by Pivot Learning Partners in conjunction with the district's vision and mission statement. During these 2 hour sessions, the teachers work with their colleagues from the Kernville Union School District. In class coaching is also offered with release time given to teachers to observe other teachers' skills.

All staff is being introduced and trained by PBIS (Positive Behavior Intervention System) coaches from the district who attended training at the Kern County Superintendent of Schools. The 2013-2014 school year is an introductory year for PBIS, with the 2014-2015 school year being the target for full implementation.

One teacher/principal "Teaching and Learning" meeting is scheduled per month. Topics of these meetings include evidence based research materials, testing data, and any other pertinent matters that will increase student achievement.

Academic Interventions are designed, monitored, and adjusted to support student achievement.

Two days before the start of student instruction in August are devoted to PD such as, state mandates, curriculum planning, calendars and other areas needed to increase student achievement.