The Mission of Lamar County Schools is to work with and encourage our families and community to provide and participate in world class educational opportunities that inspire all learners to reach their potential.

	D (0) "	Performance Measure	Unit of Target (Actual)						0	A	
Goal Area	Performance Objective		Measure	BaseLine (2009-10)	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	Strategies/Initiatives	Accountable Individual	
		CRCT (1st Time Test Takers)									
Success		6th Grade Students M/E Reading	%	73 (84)	80 (91)	93 (93.6)	95	97	Aligned Scope and Sequnce including Unit Maps, ELT Reading Connection Course, Lang. Arts		
		7th Grade Students M/E Reading	%	73 (93)	80 (88)	91 (88.1)	93	95	Enrichment Course, Sonday System and SRA with Exceptional Education students, Nine Week Benchmark Assessment using Thinkgate, Coteaching Teams, Common Planning with Learning		
		8th Grade Students M/E Reading	%	73 (93)	80 (98)	98 (91.1)	99	99	Support Specialist		
		6th Grade Students M/E Math	%	68 (61)	75 (63)	67 (74.5)	70	73	Aligned Scope and Sequence including Unit Maps, ELT Math Connection Course using ALEKS, ALEKS Program used with all Exceptional Education students and Nine Week Benchmark		
)))]		7th Grade Students M/E Math	%	68 (86)	75 (88)	90 (86.9)	92	94	Assessment using Thinkgate, CoTeaching Teams, Common Planning with Learning Support Specialist		
J St		8th Grade Students M/E Math	%	68 (81)	75 (71)	75 (56.8)	78	81			
and		6th Grade M/E Science	%	51	52	65 (67.0)	68	71	Aligned Scope and Sequence including unit maps and nine week benchmark assessments using Thinkgate, Weekly Common Planning Time for Science Teams for integrated writing in		
Achievement	1.1 Improve Curriculum Mastery	7th Grade M/E Science	%	77	75	80 (81.4)	83	86	the CCGPS, CoTeaching Teams, Common Planning with Learning Support Specialist		
/em		8th Grade M/E Science	%	58	61	65 (60.7)	68	71			
nie		6th Grade Students M/E S. Studies	%		49	60 (71.1)	63	66	Aligned Scope and Sequence including unit maps and nine week benchmark assessments using Thinkgate, Weekly Common Planning Time for S. Studies Teams for integrated writing in		
Acl		7th Grade Students M/E S. Studies	%		44	55 (57.6)	58	61	the CCGPS, CoTeaching Teams, Coach Workbooks, Common Planning with Learning Support Specialist		
mic		8th Grade Students M/E S. Studies	%		59	70 (61.7)	73	76	Эрсчины		
Academic		Writing									
		6th Grade Writing Benchmark	%	NA	NA	NA			Common nine week writing sample within all content benchamark assessments scored by a writing rubric		
<u></u>		7th Grade Writing Benchmark	%	NA	NA	NA			Common nine week writing sample within all content benchamark assessments scored by a writing rubric	ric	
Goal		8th Grade Writing Test (Winter Adm.)	%	89	87	90 (90)	92	94	Common nine week writing sample within all content benchamark assessments scored by a writing rubric		
Strategic (Georgia College 411 - Bridge Bill	%	80	90	100	100	100	Individual Grade Level Plan per student by end of 8th Grade		
rate		# of 6th grade placed	#			<=5 (7.1)	<=4	<=3	Teachers as Advisors sessions , mid term progress reports and report cards, parent		
St		# of 7th grade placed	#			<=5 (11.5)	<=4	<=3	conferences, RTI process, Agenda Communication from teacher to parent, quarterly parent nights, End of Year Promotion Retention Meetings		
	1.2 Improve the Graduation Rate	# of 8th grade placed	#			<=5 (36.5)	<=4	<=3	inignis, chi or real Fromotion Retention Meetings	Counselor, Administrative Team, Students, Parents	
		# number of 6th grade retained	#			2			Teachers as Advisors sessions , mid term progress reports and report cards, parent		
		# number of 7th grade retained	#			0			conferences, RTI process, Agenda Communication from teacher to parent, quarterly parent nights, End of Year Promotion Retention Meetings		
		# number of 8th grade retained	#			2			ingris, End of real motion retenuor weetings		

ool Axoo	Performance Objective	Performance Measure	Unit of			Target (Actua	1)		Stratogicallylitisticus	Accountable Individual		
ioal Area	Performance Objective		Measure	BaseLine (2009-10)	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	Strategies/Initiatives			
		Black Students - CRCT		(====								
		6th Grade Students M/E Reading	%	73	(73.3) 84	90 (90%)	92	94	Aligned Scope and Segunce including Unit Maps, ELT Reading Connection Course, Lang. Arts			
		7th Grade Students M/E Reading	%	85	(73.3) 80	85 (92%)	87	89	Enrichment Course, Sonday System and SRA with Exceptional Education students, Nine Week			
		8th Grade Students M/E Reading	%	95	(73.3) 98	99 (85%)	99	99	Benchmark Assessment using Thinkgate, CoTeaching Teams, Learning Support Specialist			
		6th Grade Students M/E Math	%	37	(67.6) 50	60 (88%)	62	64	Aligned Scope and Sequence including Unit Maps, ELT Math Connection Course using ALEKS, ALEKS Program used with all Exceptional Education students and Nine Week Benchmark			
		7th Grade Students M/E Math	%	72	(67.6) 84	88 (91%)	90	92	Assessment using Thinkgate, CoTeaching Teams, Learning Support Specilaist			
		8th Grade Students M/E Math	%	81	(67.6) 58	75 (89%)	77	79		LSS, Administration,		
		6th Grade M/E Science	%	24	37	50 (68%)	52	54	Aligned Scope and Sequence including unit maps and nine week benchmark assessments using Thinkgate, Weekly Common Planning Time for Science Teams for integrated writing in	Teachers, Parents, Stude		
		7th Grade M/E Science	%	57	61	70 (79%)	72	74	the CCGPS, CoTeaching Teams, Learning Support Specialist			
		8th Grade M/E Science	%	44	37	50 (50%)	52	54				
		6th Grade Students M/E S. Studies	%	NA	44	48 (66%)	68	70	Aligned Scope and Sequence including unit maps and nine week benchmark assessments using Thinkgate, Weekly Common Planning Time for Science Teams for integrated writing in			
S		7th Grade Students M/E S. Studies	%	NA	27	35 (55%)	58	60	the CCGPS, CoTeaching Teams, Learning Support Specialist, Coach Books			
ces		8th Grade Students M/E S. Studies	%	NA	43	45 (49%)	52	55				
Success		Econ Disadvantaged Students - CRCT								k .		
		6th Grade Students M/E Reading	%	76	88	90 TBA	92	94	Aligned Scope and Segunce including Unit Maps, ELT Reading Connection Course, Lang. Arts			
nt a		7th Grade Students M/E Reading	%	89	84	86 TBA	88	90	Enrichment Course, Sonday System and SRA with Exceptional Education students, Nine Week			
1. Academic Achievement and		8th Grade Students M/E Reading	%	97	98	98 TBA	99	99	Benchmark Assessment using Thinkgate, Coteaching Teams, Learning Support Specialist			
eve		6th Grade Students M/E Math	%	41	58	60 TBA	62	64	Aligned Scope and Sequence including Unit Maps, ELT Math Connection Course using ALEKS, ALEKS Program used with all Exceptional Education students and Nine Week Benchmark Assessment using Thinkgate, CoTeaching Teams, Learning Support Specilaist			
chi		7th Grade Students M/E Math	%	85	85	87 TBA	89	90				
ic A	1.3 Closing the Achievement Gap	8th Grade Students M/E Math	%	74	83	85 TBA	87	89				
lem	·	6th Grade M/E Science	%	33	49	51 TBA	53	55	Aligned Scope and Sequence including unit maps and nine week benchmark assessments using Thinkgate, Weekly Common Planning Time for Science Teams for integrated writing in			
cad		7th Grade M/E Science	%	68	69	71 TBA	73	75	the CCGPS, CoTeaching Teams, Learning Support Specialist			
1. A		8th Grade M/E Science	%	44	54	56 TBA	58	60				
oal '		6th Grade Students M/E S. Studies	%	NA	NA	TBA			Aligned Scope and Sequence including unit maps and nine week benchmark assessments using Thinkgate, Weekly Common Planning Time for Science Teams for integrated writing in			
: G(7th Grade Students M/E S. Studies	%	NA	NA	TBA			the CCGPS, CoTeaching Teams, Learning Support Specialist, Coach Books			
egic		8th Grade Students M/E S. Studies	%	NA	NA	TBA						
Strategic Goal		SWD Students - CRCT										
S		6th Grade Students M/E Reading	%	76	73.3 (64)	70 (60%)	72	74	Aligned Scope and Sequnce including Unit Maps, ELT Reading Connection Course, Lang. Arts			
		7th Grade Students M/E Reading	%	89	73.3 (55)	65 (50%)	67	69	Enrichment Course, Sonday System and SRA with Exceptional Education students, Nine Week			
		8th Grade Students M/E Reading	%	98	73.3 (94)	96 (60%)	98	99	Benchmark Assessment using Thinkgate, Coteaching Teams, Learning Support Specialist			
		6th Grade Students M/E Math	%	41	67.6 (27)	45 (60%)	50	53	Aligned Scope and Sequence including Unit Maps, ELT Math Connection Course using ALEKS, ALEKS Program used with all Exceptional Education students and Nine Week Benchmark			
		7th Grade Students M/E Math	%	85	67.6 (55)	65 (78%)	68	71	Assessment using Thinkgate, CoTeaching Teams, Learning Support Specilaist			
		8th Grade Students M/E Math	%	74	67.6 (41)	50 (81%)	53	58		LSS, Administration,		
		6th Grade M/E Science	%	19	27	50 (16%)	53	56	Aligned Scope and Sequence including unit maps and nine week benchmark assessments using Thinkgate, Weekly Common Planning Time for Science Teams for integrated writing in	Teachers, Parents, Stud		
		7th Grade M/E Science	%	54	28	50 (43%)	53	56	the CCGPS, CoTeaching Teams, Learning Support Specialist			
		8th Grade M/E Science	%	24	19	35 (14%)	40	45				

Page 2

6th Grade Students M/E S. Studies	%	NA	14	16 (11%)	15	20	Aligned Scope and Sequence including unit maps and nine week benchmark assessments using Thinkgate, Weekly Common Planning Time for Science Teams for integrated writing in
7th Grade Students M/E S. Studies	%	NA	0	10 (13%)	16	1	the CCGPS, CoTeaching Teams, Learning Support Specialist, Coach Books
8th Grade Students M/E S. Studies	%	NA	19	20 (14%)	25	30	

Coal Area	Dorformanas Objectiva	Performance Measure	Unit of		1	arget (Actua)		Strategies/Initiatives	Accountable Individual
Goal Area	Performance Objective		Measure	Baseline 2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14		
<u></u>		Staff Perception - Student Learning	% agree	NA	NA	NA			School Survey	
olde		Attendance (<=15 days)	%	10%	7.9% (47 students)	6% (9%- 51 students)	5%	4%	Powerschool Report (unduplicated number by students)	LCMS Administration, LCS System Wide Parent Involvement Coordinator, LCMS Faculty and Staff, Parents, Students, BusTransportation
Stakehold tion	2.1 Ensure a safe and	Discipline Grades 6-8 (ISS)	#	NA	205	201			Powerschool Report (unduplicated number by students)	
nt and atisfac		Discipline Grades 6-8 (OSS)	#	NA	63	64			Power School Report (unduplicated number by students)	
		Discipline referrals from bus	#	NA	22	15			Discipline referral sheets sent in by bus drivers to school administration (unduplicated number by students)	
Studer and S										
		Schools Communicating HQ Info	Y/N	NA	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	National Network for Partnerships	
Goal 2: Support	2.2 Ensure Stakeholder Support	Schools w/3 PI Cmte Mtgs/Semester	Y/N	NA	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Be There Campaign, Schoolwide Improvement Plan, Title 1 Parent Meetings	
Stategic (Direct Parent Communication	Y/N	NA	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	4 Parent Night Sign In Sheets, Communication Log by quarter	
		# of Par.attend childs annual review	#	NA	NA	NA			IEP Documentation	
0,		Sch Council Stakeholders Attendance	%	NA	80	90 (80%)	92	94	Sign In Sheets	

	Lamar County Middle School Balanced Scorecard												
Ocal Assa	Performance Objective	Performance Measure	Unit of		Ţ	arget (Actual)		Strategies/Initiatives	Accountable Individual			
Goal Area	Performance Objective	Perioritalice Measure	Measure	Baseline 2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14					
and Id S	3.1 Ensure Effective and Efficient Operational Processes	Media Center	yes/no	yes	yes	yes			District-wide commitment to resources (materials, digital, time) to improve educational programs to meet the District's mission.	Media Specialist, Administration			
Effective a ational and Processes		Schools w/automated Circulation Sys.	yes/no	yes	yes	yes							
3: era		Schools w/ min of 10 books/student	%	100 (100%)	100 (100%)	100 (100%)	100	100					
Strategic Goal 3 Efficient Ope Instructional		Meeting GPS/CCGPS Alignmnet	yes/no	NA	NA	yes							
		Media Centers w/1 Spec and 1 Para/1000 students	%	100 (100%)	100 (100%)	100 (100%)	100	100					

Goal Area	Performance Objective	Performance Measure	Unit of		1	Farget (Actua	1)		Strategies/Initiatives	Accountable Individual
Goal Alea			Measure	Baseline 2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14		
		Teachers complete common Scope and Sequnce and Unit Maps	%	NA	NA	100% (85%)	100%	100%	Weekly Professional Development w/ LSS and PLC's	
ıre	4.1 Provide strong and	Teachers create and utilize nine week benchmark Assessments per content and grade	%	NA	NA	100% (100%)	100%	100%	Weekly Professional Development w/ LSS and PLC's	
Culture	relevant professional learning, aligned to	Teachers trained in CCGPS (LA/M)	%	NA	NA	100% (100%)	100%	100%	Weekly Professional Development w/ LSS and PLC's	
	Strategic Goals	Teachers use RTI Pyramid	%	70%	80%	100% (100%)	100%	100%	Weekly Professional Development w/ LSS and Counselor, PLC's	
ity and		Paraprofessionals attend/complete at least 1 professional development class per year	#	NA	NA	NA (25%)	100%	100%	In house or out of school (RESA) professional development	LSS,Administration, Teachers,
abil										Paraprofessionals, Students, Parents
unt	4.2 Recruit and retain highly qualified staff	100% H.Q. teachers	%	NA	100%	100% (100%)	100%	100%	Weekly Professional Development w/ LSS, PLC's at each grade level	
Accountability		100% H.Q. Paraprofessionals	%	NA	100%	100% (100%)	100%	100%	Weekly Professional Development w/ LSS, PLC's at each grade level	
₁th, /		Teacher Retention rate	%	NA	NA	100% (2.7%)	96%	97%	Common Planning Time, Needs Assessment of Materials	
Growth, ,		Teachers w/ Masters Degree or Higher	%	NA	77%	80% (62.1%)	82%	84%	Affiliation with local colleges and Human Resource Dept.	
earning,		Standards Based Classroom Observations	#	NA	NA	3 (4.2 per week)	3	3	Quarterly EWALK feedback (# of evaluations conducted per week (3)	
ear		SI/Co-Teaching Observations w/ SWD's	#	NA	NA	3 (7 total)	3	3	Quarterly EWALK feedback (# of evaluations conducted per week (3)	Central Office Administration, LCMS Admistration, Teachers, Paraprofessionals
4: L	4.3 Improve teacher instructional and	Teachers completing higher ed degrees in certification field	#	NA	NA	2 (2)	2	2	Teachers with completed certification in degreed field	
Goal	operational skills	Teacher completing course training in critical area of need	#	NA	NA	2 (2)	2	2	Teachers with completed certification in critical need areas	
gic										
Strategic	4.4 Build a Positive organizational culture	Staff Att. w>5.75 days absent (Cert)	Sick or other	NA	NA	80% (49%)	83%	85%	Monthly Staff Recognition	
St		Staff Att. W>5.75 days absent (Class)	Sick or other	NA	NA	80% (16%)	83%	85%	Monthly Staff Recognition	
		Monthly Celebrations	%	NA	NA	80% (100%)	85%	88%	Administrative Weekly Newsletter, Faculty Meetings	