# **Texas Education Agency** 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: LOMETA SCHOOL Campus ID: 141902001 **District Name: LOMETA ISD** 

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

STAAR Percent A			Campus A			: White		Asian					ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
Grade 3					`	•			,							
Reading	2016 7 2015 7	46% 62%	46% 62%	-	36% 62%	55% 63%	-	-	-	*	*	42% 56%	*	43% 67%	50% *	*
Mathematics	2016 7 2015 7	42% 57%	42% 57%	-	36% 54%	55% 63%	-	-	-	*	*	38% 56%	*	36% 73%	50% *	*
<b>Grade 4</b> Reading	2016 7 2015 7	84%	84%	-	75% *	100%	- -	- -	-	-	*	77% *	*	92%	*	*
Mathematics	2016 7 2015 7	58% *	58% *	-	50% *	71% *	- -	-	- -	- -	*	54% *	*	62% *	*	* -
Writing	2016 6 2015 6	74% *	74% *	-	58%	100%	-	-	- -	- -	*	69% *	*	77% *	*	* -
<b>Grade 5</b> Reading	2016 8 2015 8	67% 66%	67% 66%	- -	64% 63%	* 75%	- -	- -	- -	- *	- *	64% 55%	*	83% 57%	56% 73%	*
Mathematics	2016 8 2015 7	67% 59%	67% 59%	- -	64% 63%	* 58%	- -	-	- -	- *	- *	64% 55%	*	* 57%	67% 60%	*
Science	2016 7 2015 6	53% 38%	53% 38%	-	45% 31%	* 50%	-	-	-	- *	- *	50% *	*	*	* 53%	*
<b>Grade 6</b> Reading	2016 6 2015 7	53% 71%	53% 71%	-	47% 71%	62% 86%	-	- *	-	- *	*	43% 69%	*	53% 88%	53% 56%	*
Mathematics	2016 7 2015 7	53% 59%	53% 59%	-	47% *	62% 86%	- -	- *	- -	- *	*	43% 54%	*	60% 63%	47% 56%	*
<b>Grade 7</b> Reading	2016 6 2015 7	63% 73%	63% 73%	- -	50% *	88% 77%	- *	*	- -	- *	*	60% 73%	*	67% 79%	60% 63%	*
Mathematics	2016 6 2015 6	47% 71%	47% 71%	-	*	75% 75%	- *	*	-	- *	*	40% 70%	*	* 86%	50% *	*
Writing	2016 6 2015 6	61% 77%	61% 77%	-	50% 83%	75% 69%	- *	- *	-	- *	*	53% 73%	*	88% 93%	*	*
<b>Grade 8</b> Reading	2016 8 2015 8	90% 65%	90% 65%	- -	88%	91% 82%	- -	*	- -	- -	- *	83% 50%	- *	100% 100%	78% *	*
Mathematics	2016 8 2015 7	89% 72%	89% 72%	-	88% 83%	90% 67%	-	*	-	-	- *	83% 69%	- *	100% 71%	75% 73%	*
Science	2016 7 2015 6	75% 65%	75% 65%	- -	63%	82% 82%	- -	*	- -	-	- *	67% 50%	- *	82% 71%	67% 60%	*
Social Studies	2016 6 2015 6	55% 47%	55% 47%	-	*	64% 55%	-	*	-	-	- *	*	- *	73% *	* 50%	*

#### **End of Course**

					African			Americar	1	Pacific	Two or More	Special	Econ				
				Campus A		lispani	c White			Islander		•	Disadv	ELL		Male	Migrant
English I	2016 2015		48% 60%	48% 60%	-	* 50%	62% 69%	-	-	-	*	*	31% 56%	*	70% 73%	* 53%	*
English II	2016 2015		44% 59%	44% 59%	-	* 53%	50% 69%	-	-	-	-	*	45% 38%	*	78% 75%	28% 44%	*
Algebra I	2016 2015		85% 89%	85% 89%	-	73% *	100% 100%	-	-	-	- *	- *	79% 87%	*	78% 88%	91% 91%	*
Biology	2016 2015		70% 95%	70% 95%	-	64% 86%	78% 100%	-	-	-	- *	- *	64% 93%	*	90% 100%	50% 92%	*
U.S. History	2016 2015		64% 81%	64% 81%	- *	55% 78%	77% 88%	-	*	-	-	*	61% 72%	*	80% 75%	53% 86%	*
All Crades																	
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		62% 64%	62% 64%	- *	53% 54%	73% 73%	- *	*	-	* 54%	26% 24%	54% 55%	39% 40%	71% 71%	53% 56%	57% 61%
Reading	2016	72%	60%	60%	_	52%	69%	_	*	_	*	25%	53%	27%	71%	49%	44%
reading	2015		62%	62%	-	53%	73%	*	*	-	*	*	52%	32%	74%	49%	63%
Mathematics	2016 2015		61% 64%	61% 64%	-	53% 55%	74% 73%	- *	*	-	*	*	54% 60%	52% 53%	62% 73%	61% 55%	63% 77%
Writing	2016 2015		68% 62%	68% 62%	-	55% 50%	87% 65%	- *	- *	-	- *	*	61% 50%	*	81% 83%	50% 38%	*
Science	2016 2015		67% 62%	67% 62%	-	57% 45%	79% 76%	-	*	-	- *	- *	60% 51%	*	81% 54%	54% 68%	71% *
Social Studies	2016 2015		60% 71%	60% 71%	- *	47% 69%	71% 75%	-	*	-	-	*	50% 57%	*	76% 67%	46% 75%	*
STAAR Percent at																	
All Consider																	
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		27% 29%	27% 29%	- *	14% 13%	42% 44%	- *	*	-	* 31%	10% 0%	18% 19%	6% 4%	33% 33%	20% 24%	22% 32%
Reading	2016 2015		27% 31%	27% 31%	-	12% 15%	45% 48%	- *	*	-	*	10%	18% 22%	0% 0%	37% 36%	18% 25%	11% 38%
Mathematics	2016 2015		26% 26%	26% 26%	-	18% 13%	37% 40%	- *	*	-	*	*	20% 20%	14% 12%	31% 30%	21% 22%	31% 31%
Writing	2016 2015		32% 26%	32% 26%	-	9% 0%	67% 47%	- *	- *	-	- *	*	25% 14%	*	43% 39%	19% 13%	*
Science	2016 2015	44%	27% 22%	27% 22%	-	13% 7%	46% 32%	-	*	-	- *	- *	18% 15%	*	37% 25%	18% 19%	29%
Social Studies		45%	20% 37%	20% 37%	- *	11% 17%	29% 57%	-	*	-	-	*	7% 14%	*	14% 37%	25% 38%	*
STAAR Percent at				37 /6		17 /0	31 /0	-		-	-		14 /0		37 /0	30 //	
		,															
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		8% 8%	8% 8%	- *	3% 4%	14% 11%	- *	*	-	* 0%	5% 0%	4% 4%	0% 0%	9% 8%	7% 7%	7% 5%
Reading	2016		8%	8%	-	3%	13%	- *	*	-	*	5% *	5% 5%	0%	10%	5%	6%
	2015		8%	8%	-	5%	11%			-				0%	11%	4%	6%
Mathematics	2016 2015		9% 6%	9% 6%	-	4% 6%	18% 6%	- *	*	-	*	*	4% 5%	0% 0%	10% 6%	8% 6%	13% 8%
Writing	2016 2015		3% 9%	3% 9%	-	0% 0%	7% 18%	- *	- *	-	- *	*	4% 5%	*	5% 11%	0% 6%	*
Science	2016 2015		7% 3%	7% 3%	-	0% 0%	17% 6%	- -	*	- -	- *	- *	0% 0%	*	7% 0%	7% 5%	0%
Social Studies	2016 2015		9% 15%	9% 15%	- *	5% 3%	13% 29%	- -	*	-	-	*	0% 0%	*	0% 11%	17% 19%	*

#### **STAAR Participation (All Grades)**

All Tests	2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	- 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	- 100%	100% 100%	-	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%
Reading	2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	- 100%	* 100%	-	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%
Mathematics	2016 2015	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	- 100%	* 100%	-	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%
Writing	2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	- 100%	- 100%	-	- 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	100% -	100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%
Science	2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	*	-	- 100%	- 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%
Social Studies	2016 2015	98% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	- 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	* 100%	-	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%

## STAAR Participation Results by Assessment Type for Students Served in Special Education Settings (All Grades)

Reading Tests																	
% of Participants	2016	98%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	-	*	-	-	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	*
% STAAR/EOC With No Accommodations	2016	13%	15%	15%	_	13%	18%	_	*			15%	0%	*	25%	8%	*
% STAAR/EOC With	2010	1370	1376	15%	-	1370	10 70	-		-	-	1370	0 %		23%	0 70	
Accommodations	2016	73%	85%	85%	-	88%	82%	-	*	-	-	85%	100%	*	75%	92%	*
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	11%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	-	*	-	-	0%	0%	*	0%	0%	*
% of Non-Participants	2016	2%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	-	*	-	-	0%	0%	*	0%	0%	*
Mathematics Tests																	
% of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No	2016	99%	100%	100%	-	100%	*	-	*	-	-	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	*
Accommodations	2016	12%	17%	17%	_	13%	*	_	*	_	_	17%	0%	*	29%	0%	*
% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2016	75%	83%	83%	-	88%	*	-	*	-	-	83%	100%	*	71%	100%	*
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	12%	0%	0%	-	0%	*	-	*	-	-	0%	0%	*	0%	0%	*
% of Non-Participants	2016	1%	0%	0%	-	0%	*	-	*	-	-	0%	0%	*	0%	0%	*

<sup>&#</sup>x27;\*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

	All Students	African sAmericanl	Hispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander		Econ	•	ELL I(Current & Monitored)			Total Eligible	
Performance Status - State	000/	000/	000/	000/	000/	000/	000/	000/	000/	000/	000/				
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%		_		
Reading	Υ		N	Υ					N				2	4	50
Mathematics	Υ		N	Υ					N				2	4	50
Writing	Υ												1	1	100
Science	Υ								Υ				2	2	100
Social Studies	Υ								N				1	2	50
Total													8	13	62
Performance Status - Federa															
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	Ν		N	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N			n/a			
Mathematics	N		N	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν			n/a			

#### **Participation Status**

<sup>&#</sup>x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

															Percent of
								Two or			ELL				Eligible
	All	African			American		Pacific			Specia	al(Current 8	k ELL	Total	Total	
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored	) +	Met	Eligible	Met
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ		Υ	Υ					Υ			Υ	5	5	100
Mathematics	Υ		Υ	Υ					Υ				4	4	100
Total													9	9	100
Federal Graduation Status (	Target: Se	a Passon (	'adae)												
Graduation Target Met	Y	c recusori c	Joucs										1	1	100
Reason Code ***	a												•	•	
Total													1	1	100
District: Met Federal Limits	on Alterna	itive Assess	sments												
Reading															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Mathematics															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Total															
Overall Total													18	23	78

<sup>+</sup> Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)

c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria. n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All	African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Econ	Special	ELL (Current &	ELL
		American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
Performance Rates			•								,	,
Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	96	-	43	51	-	*	-	*	62	5	10	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	152	-	74	75	-	*	-	*	112	16	24	19
% at Level II Satisfactory	63%	-	58%	68%	-	*	-	*	55%	31%	42%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	81	-	36	44	-	*	-	*	52	*	11	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	124	-	64	57	-	*	-	*	90	*	18	13
% at Level II Satisfactory	65%	-	56%	77%	-	*	-	*	58%	*	61%	n/a
Standard												
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	22	-	11	11	-	-	-	-	15	*	*	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	32	-	19	13	-	-	-	-	24	*	*	*
% at Level II Satisfactory	69%	-	58%	85%	-	-	-	-	63%	*	*	n/a
Standard												
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	30	-	**	19	-	*	-	-	17	-	*	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	41	-	**	23	-	*	-	-	27	-	*	*
% at Level II Satisfactory	73%	-	59%	83%	-	*	-	-	63%	-	*	n/a
Standard												
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	25	-	**	17	-	*	-	-	14	*	*	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	40	-	**	24	-	*	-	-	26	*	*	*
% at Level II Satisfactory	63%	-	50%	71%	-	*	-	-	54%	*	*	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessme			0.0	00					400	40	,	07
Number Participating	179	-	93	82	-		-	*	136	19	n/a	27
Total Students	179	-	93	82	-	*	-	*	136	19	n/a	27
Participation Rate	100%	-	100%	100%	-	*	-	*	100%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asses			00	00				*	440	40	,	0.4
Number Participating	148	-	83	62	-	*	-	*	113	12	n/a	21
Total Students	148	-	83	62	-	*	-	*	113	12	n/a	21
Participation Rate	100%	-	100%	100%	-	*	-	*	100%	100%	n/a	100%

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes: a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90%

b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88%

Two or **ELL** Pacific (Current & ΑII African American More Econ Special **ELL** Students American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Disadv Ed Monitored) (Current)

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Ever HS)	ELL (Current)
Federal Graduation Rates			•								` ,	,
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Graduation Rate (Gr 9-12): Class of 2015												
Number Graduated	20	-	13	7	-	-	-	-	14	4	*	n/a
Total in Class	22	-	15	7	-	-	-	-	16	5	*	-
Graduation Rate	90.9%	-	86.7%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	87.5%	80.0%	*	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Gra	duation Rat	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14								
Number Graduated	18	-	**	11	-	*	-	-	12	*	*	n/a
Total in Class	19	-	**	11	-	*	-	-	13	*	*	*
Graduation Rate	94.7%	-	85.7%	100.0%	-	*	-	-	92.3%	*	*	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Ra	te (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14									
Number Graduated	` 18 ´	-	**	11	-	*	-	-	12	*	*	n/a
Total in Class	20	-	**	12	-	*	-	-	14	*	*	*
Graduation Rate	90.0%	-	85.7%	91.7%	-	*	-	-	85.7%	*	*	n/a

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

**Number Proficient** n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a Mathematics **Number Proficient** n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A No Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

## Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
Bachelors	20.0	78.0%	78.0%	74.7%
Masters	5.6	22.0%	22.0%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%

## Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty **Summary Reports**

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

## Core Academic Subject Areas

		General	Special	Total
		Education	Education	
Total Number of Teachers		24	1	25
Total Number of Classes		75	9	84
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	75	9	84
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

#### Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of	Number of Teachers					
	Elem (PK-6)	secondary (7-12)					
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	0					
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0					
Non-renewable	0	0					
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0					
District Teaching	0	0					
Temporary	0	0					

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number	Number of Teachers					
	General Education	Special Education					
Highly Qualified	0	0					
Not Highly Qualified	0	0					

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Year Enrolled in Higher Education	Campus	District	State
2013-14	45.0%	45.0%	57.5%

Year Enrolled in Higher Education Campus District State 2012-13 36.4% 36.4% 56.9%

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

## State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

				%	%	%
			%	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

# State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

<b>Grade</b> Grade 4	<b>Subject</b> Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment