

Foster Care Plan

Coffee County Schools



Terry Weeks
2017-2018

Alabama Education Stability for Foster Students Plan Coffee County Schools

LEA Student in Foster Care Overview/Plan

Number of Students in Foster Care SY 2015-2016 : 3

Number of Students in Foster Care SY 2016-2017 (Enrolled for Previous School Year): 4

Number of Students in Foster Care SY 2017-2018 (Currently Enrolled): 5

List Agencies in Collaboration:

Coffee County Schools

Elba City Schools

Enterprise City Schools

Coffee County Department of Human Resources (DHR)

Policy Review and Revision (Describe how each agency in collaboration reviewed policies and the revisions made to policies as a result of reviews.)

A meeting was held on Monday, June 19, 2017 at the Coffee County Board of Education. This meeting included the following: Oveta Pearce (Enterprise City Schools), Lakesha Brackins (Elba City Schools), Kelly Cobb (Coffee County Schools), and Amanda Wallace (Coffee County Department of Human Resources). Each of these individuals are their agencies' points of contact. Immense discussion occurred about how children's cases are handled when they are placed into foster care. Coffee County's Department of Human Resources does a good job trying to keep children in their school of origin. It was concluded that DHR is already using the "Best Interest Determination Factors" when placing students. Mrs. Wallace and her caseworkers have a good working relationship with the school counselors throughout the county which includes both the city and county schools.

As a result of this meeting, it was concluded that Mrs. Wallace will communicate with Mrs. Pearce, Ms. Brackin, and Mrs. Cobb when decisions need to be made about students. Transportation will continue to be furnished by Coffee County Department of Human Resources as long as the foster parent agrees to transport the child. In the event, the foster parent does not agree, Coffee County Department of Human Resources will reimburse the school for the additional cost of the difference between what an LEA otherwise would spend to transport a student to his or her assigned school and the cost of transporting a child in foster care to his or her school of origin.

Describe Collaboration and Coordination with Agencies (Include a list of team members, positions, signatures, and agencies.):

Oveta Pearce, Federal Programs Director, _____,
Enterprise City Schools

LaKesha Brackins, Federal Programs Director, _____,
Elba City Schools

Kelly Cobb, Federal Programs Director, _____,
Coffee County Schools

Amanda Wallace, Point of Contact, _____,
Coffee County Department of Human Resources

Coffee County Schools Point of Contact:

Kelly Cobb, cobbk@coffeecounty.k12.al.us, 334-897-5016

Elba City Schools Point of Contact:

LaKesha Brackins, kbrackins@elbaed.com, 334-897-2801

Enterprise City Schools Point of Contact

Oveta Pearce, opearce@enterpriseschools.net, 334-447-8051

DHR(s) Point(s) of Contact:

Amanda Wallace, amanda.wallace@dhr.alabama.gov, 334-348-2044

2 C. F. R. §§ 200.331(d), 200.328(a); 34 C.F.R. §76.770; ESSA SECTION 1111(1)(e) - LEAs must implement the Title I educational stability requirements of children in foster care, including ensuring that:

- A child in foster care remains in his or her school of origin, unless it is determined that remaining in the school of origin is not in that child's best interest;
- If it is not in the child's best interest to stay in his or her school of origin, the child is immediately enrolled in the new school even if the child is unable to produce records normally required for enrollment; and
- That the new (enrolling) school immediately contacts the school of origin, to obtain relevant academic and other records.

Describe procedures for implementing the above provisions.

Coffee County School System met amended their board policy on June 19, 2017. When a child is placed into foster care, Amanda Wallace (Coffee County Department of Human Resources) or one of the caseworkers will contact Kelly Cobb (Coffee County Schools Point of Contact), LaKesha Brackins (Elba City Schools Point of Contact), or Oveta Pearce (Enterprise City Schools Point of Contact) to discuss the best school placement for the child. The child and the person with the decision-making rights will also be included in this discussion. If the child stays in their school of origin and transportation is needed, Coffee County DHR will provide that as long as the foster parent agrees to transport the child. In the event the foster parent does not agree, Coffee County Department of Human Resources will reimburse the school for the additional cost of the difference between what an LEA otherwise would spend to transport a student to his or her assigned school and the cost of transporting a child in foster care to his or her school of origin. If the child needs to be enrolled in one of our schools, he or she will be enrolled. The case worker and the school counselor will work together to obtain any necessary documentation; however, this documentation will not be a roadblock or barrier to enrollment. Once enrolled, the school counselor will contact the school of origin to obtain any necessary records. This contact may be via phone call, e-mail, or a faxed request for records.

ESSA Section 1111(g)(1)(E)(i) – A description of how the LEA in collaboration with the local child welfare agency will ensure that in determining whether it is in the child's best interest to remain in his or her school of origin, and the LEA takes into consideration all factors relating to a child's best interest. Description of how the LEA will work with child welfare agencies to develop a clear policy or protocol on how to make best interest determinations including making every effort to gather meaningful input from relevant parties, in addition to required child welfare and school representatives, in deciding what school placement is in a child's best interest. Include a description of protocols in this description.

The school systems' point of contacts (Oveta Pearce, LaKesha Brackins, Kelly Cobb) along with Coffee County DHR's point of contact (Amanda Wallace or other caseworker) will communicate about the child's placement. The child and the person with the decision-making rights will also be included in this discussion. The following are items that will be considered:

1. the child's preference,
2. the views of the entity, or the person with education decision-making rights,
3. the child's attachment to school and staff,
4. the placement of the child's siblings,
5. the availability and quality of services in the current and potential schools to meet the child's education and social/emotional needs,
6. School climate/safety,
7. the impact of a school transfer, including the length of the commute, and
8. the child's special needs.

Other documentation that may be used could include: report cards, progress reports, test scores, attendance data, IEP or 504 plans, and e-mails from individuals consulted during the best interests determination process.

ESSA Section 1112(c)(5)(B) Description of transportation protocols and procedures to include how additional costs for transportation will be calculated and funded as well as a policy for LEAs to resolve best interest disputes and interagency disputes related to transportation costs. Description must include how transportation and transportation costs will be monitored. (Include LEA and welfare responsibilities for providing transportation.)

Description of Dispute Resolution Policy

Transportation costs:

If a child attends a school that is not in the same city as their foster home, transportation will continue to be furnished by Coffee County Department of Human Resources as long as the foster parent agrees to transport the child. In the event the foster parent does not agree, Coffee County Department of Human Resources will reimburse the school for the additional cost of the difference between what an LEA otherwise would spend to transport a student to his or her assigned school and the cost of transporting a child in foster care to his or her school or origin.

Dispute Resolution Policy:

Please see pages 6-14 of this document for the dispute resolution policy.

ESSA Section 111(g)(1)(E)(ii)-(iii) Describe protocol for a child in foster care to be immediately enrolled in a new school.

After the decision of school placement has been made, contact will be made with the receiving school counselor. There will not be roadblocks or barriers for enrollment. If some documentation is missing, DHR employees and school counselors will work together to retrieve it.

Dispute Resolution Process

If an LEA seeks to place a child in foster care in a school other than the school of origin or the school requested by the educational decision-maker¹, the educational decision-maker shall be provided written notice of his/her right to appeal the decision (*see Appendix A, page 11*), including:

1. The contact information for the LEA foster care point of contact and the SEA foster care point of contact.
2. An explanation of the reasons for the LEA's decision.
3. A step-by-step description of how to dispute the LEA's decision (Level I procedure), including a dispute form (*see Appendix B, page 12*).
4. An explanation that if the educational decision-maker chooses to initiate a dispute, the student shall remain in the school of origin, receiving all appropriate educational services, until the dispute reaches its final resolution.
5. Timelines for resolution of the dispute at each level.
6. Notice of the right to appeal to the SEA if the local-level resolution is not satisfactory.

Level I: LEA Superintendent or Designee

1. Initiating the Level I Dispute

To dispute an LEA's decision related to school selection (best interest) or enrollment for a child in foster care, an educational decision-maker must request dispute resolution in writing by submitting a dated appeal letter specifying the school in which enrollment is sought and the basis for seeking enrollment in that school. The appeal letter must include the name and contact information (phone, email and mailing address) for the educational decision-maker.

The educational decision-maker must submit the appeal letter within five (5) school days of receiving from the LEA written notice of the right to dispute the decision. The letter may be submitted via an email with the subject "Foster Child Appeal," or delivered to any school to the attention of the superintendent. Regardless of how the appeal letter is submitted, the school or LEA shall ensure the LEA's superintendent or designee receives it immediately. The submission of the appeal letter by email or delivery to any school initiates the dispute. From that point, the student shall remain in the school of origin until the dispute reaches its final decision. The student shall be provided with all appropriate education services for which the student is eligible during the pendency of the dispute. Students attending their school of origin during the pendency of the dispute will be entitled to receive transportation. The LEA will provide or arrange transportation during the dispute. Once the dispute is resolved, transportation will be provided pursuant to the local transportation procedures developed collaboratively between the LEA(s) and local child welfare agencies.

¹ The local child welfare agency shall inform the LEA promptly of the name and contact information of the appropriately designated or appointed educational decision-maker for every child in foster care enrolled (or seeking enrollment) in the LEA.

2.LEA Decision

The superintendent, or superintendent's designee, will arrange for a personal conference to be held with the educational decision-maker, the student (if appropriate), and at least one representative from the local child welfare agency. The personal conference will be arranged within five (5) business days of the LEA's receipt of the Level I appeal letter and will take place as expeditiously as possible.

Within five (5) business days of the personal conference, the superintendent, or superintendent's designee, will inform the educational decision-maker of the decision in writing, using the contact information provided in the appeal letter. The superintendent or designee may consult with the LEA foster care point of contact in making the decision. The written decision provided to the educational decision-maker must include:

1. A copy of the complete Level I appeal packet.
2. The decision rendered at Level I by the superintendent or designee and an explanation for that decision.
3. Instructions regarding how to file a Level II dispute, including the name, phone number and email address of the SEA foster care point of contact.

If the educational decision-maker disagrees with the Level I decision and wishes to appeal to Level II, the educational decision-maker shall inform the LEA superintendent or designee of the intent to appeal to Level II within five (5) school days of receipt of the LEA's Level I decision. If the educational decision-maker does not appeal within five (5) school days, the child shall be enrolled and provided all appropriate educational services in the school determined by the LEA. If that school is not the school the child had been attending during pendency of the dispute, the LEA will prioritize minimizing the disruption to the child's education in effecting the transition to the new school.

Level II: State Education Agency (SEA)

1. Initiating the Level II Dispute

If the student's educational decision-maker disagrees with the decision rendered by the LEA's superintendent at Level I, he/she may appeal the decision to the SEA. To appeal to Level II, an educational decision-maker must request an appeal in writing by submitting a dated appeal letter, which must include:

- The school in which enrollment is sought and the basis for seeking enrollment in that school.
- The name and contact information (phone, email and mailing address) for the educational decision-maker.
- A copy of the previous appeal letter submitted by the educational decision- maker.
- A copy of the decision rendered by the LEA at Level I.

The letter must be submitted via an email to the SEA foster care point of contact, with the subject "Foster Child Appeal." The letter also must be submitted to the LEA superintendent via an email with the subject "Foster Child Appeal," or delivered to any school to the attention of the superintendent. The appeal letter must be submitted to both the SEA and LEA superintendent within five (5) school days of

receiving the Level I appeal decision from the LEA.

The LEA has an additional five (5) school days from its receipt of the educational decision-maker's appeal letter to submit its response to the appeal letter to the SEA foster care coordinator, via an email with the subject "Foster Child Appeal." Documents submitted by either party after the applicable deadlines will not be considered.

The student shall remain in the school of origin until the dispute reaches its final resolution. The student shall be provided with all appropriate educational services for which the student is eligible during the pendency of the dispute. Students attending their school of origin during the pendency of the dispute will be entitled to receive transportation. The LEA will provide or arrange transportation during the dispute. Once the dispute is resolved, transportation will be provided pursuant to the local transportation procedures developed collaboratively between the LEA(s) and local child welfare agencies.

2. SEA Decision

The Level II decision will be made by a three-person panel including the SEA foster care point of contact, another SEA staff, and a representative of the state child welfare agency. The panel shall make a final decision within thirty (30) days of receipt of the dispute.

The SEA foster care point of contact will forward the final written decision to the educational decision-maker and the LEA superintendent. The written decision must include:

1. A copy of the complete Level II appeal packet.
2. The decision rendered at Level II and an explanation of that decision.

The LEA must implement the SEA's decision in full, immediately.

The SEA shall maintain a record of all disputes related to the children in foster care. **For every type of dispute regarding a child in foster care, the LEA and local child welfare agency must make every effort to resolve the dispute collaboratively at the local level. Documented patterns of excessive disputes to the SEA from particular LEAs and/or local child welfare agencies will be reviewed by the SEA and State child welfare agency and appropriate measures will be taken to ensure compliance by both local agencies.** All parties are encouraged to seek assistance from the Foster Care Point of Contact at the State Education Agency (SEA), and the Education Coordinator at the State Child Welfare Agency (or similar personnel) prior to any dispute.

INTER-AGENCY TRANSPORTATION DISPUTE PROCESS TO RESOLVE DISPUTES BETWEEN LEAs AND CHILD WELFARE AGENCIES REGARDING TRANSPORTATION

ESSA requires LEAs and child welfare agencies collaboratively to develop and implement clear written procedures governing how transportation to maintain children in foster care in their school of origin when in their best interest will be provided, arranged, and funded for the duration of the children’s time in foster care. To ensure the children receive transportation and that both the LEA and child welfare agencies are working to ensure educational stability, these transportation procedures should:

- Describe how the child welfare agency is implementing its requirements to develop a plan for ensuring the educational stability of each child in foster care, including ensuring that each placement of every child takes into consideration the proximity to the school of origin (see the Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act).
- Ensure that children in foster care needing transportation to the school of origin will promptly receive it.
- Ensure that transportation is provided in a cost-effective manner.
- Address how the child welfare agency will use foster care maintenance payments and administrative funds to pay for transportation to the school of origin (see sections 475(1)(G) and (4)(A) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 675(1)(G) and (4)(A)).
- Specify how “additional costs” of transportation will be calculated, to delineate clearly the LEA’s responsibility to provide transportation when there are no additional costs².
- Specifically describe how transportation to the school of origin will be provided in situations where there are no additional costs.
- Specifically describe those situations (if any) for which the LEA has agreed to pay additional costs, or share additional costs with the child welfare agency.
- Specify the timing and procedures for the child welfare agency to reimburse the LEA for transportation costs.

LEAs and child welfare agencies must make every effort to collaborate in serving children in foster care. When a dispute arises between the agencies over paying the costs of transportation, the LEA and local child welfare agency must make every effort to resolve the dispute collaboratively at the local level. **Documented patterns of excessive disputes to the SEA from particular LEAs and/or local child welfare agencies will be reviewed by the SEA and State child welfare agency and**

² Additional costs incurred in providing transportation to the school of origin should reflect the difference between what an LEA otherwise would spend to transport a student to his or her assigned school and the cost of transporting a child in foster care to his or her school of origin.

Appropriate measures will be taken to ensure compliance by both local agencies. The SEA and State child welfare agency may establish a Memorandum of Understanding regarding appropriate measures to limit disputes and ensure compliance by both LEAs and local child welfare agencies. All parties are encouraged to seek assistance from the Foster Care Point of Contact at the State Education Agency (SEA), and the Education Coordinator at the State Child Welfare Agency (or similar personnel) prior to any dispute.

In addition, under no circumstances shall the dispute delay or interrupt the provision of transportation for a child to the school of origin. To ensure no such disruption, the agency that had been paying for transportation prior to the dispute will continue to pay until the dispute is resolved. If transportation was not provided previously, the LEA will arrange and provide the transportation while payment disputes are being resolved.

Disputes between LEAs and child welfare agencies regarding implementing the local transportation procedures, calculating and paying for additional costs of transportation to the school of origin for children in foster care, or other inter-agency transportation disputes will be resolved by a three-person panel including the SEA foster care point of contact, another SEA staff, and a representative of the state child welfare agency.

Either an LEA or a local child welfare agency can bring a transportation payment dispute to the SEA by submitting a dispute resolution request to the SEA foster care point of contact via an email with the subject "Foster Child Transportation Dispute." The dispute resolution request must include:

- A complete explanation of the basis of the dispute, with all pertinent facts.
- The name and contact information of the people who have been addressing the dispute thus far on behalf of both the LEA and the local child welfare agency (phone, email and mailing address).
- Details of how the agencies have attempted to resolve the dispute at the local level prior to appealing to the state.

Within ten (10) days of receipt of the dispute resolution request, the SEA foster care point of contact will contact the party that did not submit the request (either the LEA or child welfare agency) identifying the subject matter of the dispute and inviting that party to submit any information pertinent to the dispute. The party will have ten (10) days to submit its explanation of the dispute, with all pertinent facts. Documents submitted by either party after the applicable deadlines will not be considered.

The panel shall make a final decision within thirty (30) days of receiving all information related to the dispute. The SEA foster care contact will forward the written decision and an explanation of that decision to the appropriate parties at both the LEA and local child welfare agency. The decision shall be the final resolution.

Appendix A
Written Notice Template

DATE

To: EDUCATIONAL DECISION-MAKER NAME

Delivered via: *(preferably a reliable email address to ensure delivery)*

NAME school district has determined that the appropriate school placement for STUDENT NAME is SCHOOL NAME. We understand this is neither the school of origin nor the school requested by the student's educational decision-maker. Therefore, we are providing this explanation of our decision and information about how the educational decision-maker may appeal the decision.

We have determined that it is in the student's best interest to attend SCHOOL NAME because:

The educational decision-maker may appeal this decision by completing the attached Dispute Form, signing it, dating it, and submitting it by DATE (insert date ten school days from date of this letter).

If the educational decision-maker chooses to initiate a dispute, the student shall remain in the school of origin, receiving all appropriate educational services including transportation, until the dispute reaches its final resolution. Once the Dispute Form is received, the superintendent or designee will arrange for a personal conference with the educational decision-maker, the student (if appropriate), and at least one representative from the local child welfare agency within ten (10) business days, and the conference will take place as expeditiously as possible. Within five (5) business days of the personal conference, the superintendent or designee will inform the educational decision-maker of the decision in writing. The educational decision-maker will be able to appeal to the State if the local resolution is not satisfactory.

If you have questions about this decision or how to appeal it, please contact:

Kelly Cobb
cobbk@coffeecounty.k12.al.us
 334-897-5016

Mrs. Julie Turner
 ALSDE Foster Care POC
jturner@alsde.edu
 334-242-8199

Appendix B

Dispute Form Template

To appeal the district's decision, please complete this form and submit it by the date indicated on the Written Notice you received from the school. You may submit this form by any of the following methods:

- Scan and email to cobbk@coffeecounty.k12.al.us with the subject "Foster Child Appeal";
- Return the paper form to any school in this school district; or
- Submit the paper form directly to the office of the superintendent at 400 Reddock Hill Road Elba, AL 36323

Student Name: _____

School in which enrollment is sought: _____

I am the educational decision-maker for this student, and I believe the school in which we are seeking enrollment is in the student's best interest because:

I believe the student has a right to attend this school because:

If you would like to provide additional information, please attach it to this form.

The student shall remain in the school of origin, receiving all appropriate educational services including transportation, until the dispute reaches its final resolution. Once this Dispute Form is received, the superintendent or designee will arrange for a personal conference with the educational decision-maker, the student (if appropriate), and at least one representative from the local child welfare agency within five (5) business days, and the conference will take place as expeditiously as possible. Within five (5) business days of the personal conference, the superintendent or designee will inform the educational decision-maker of the decision in writing. The educational decision-maker will be able to appeal to the State if the local resolution is not satisfactory.

Educational decision-maker name: _____

Email: _____

Phone: _____

Appendix C

Legal Framework

Every Student Succeeds Act

“Each State plan shall describe -

(E) the steps a State educational agency will take to ensure collaboration with the State agency responsible for administering the State plans under parts B and E of title IV of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 621 et seq. and 670 et seq.) to ensure the educational stability of children in foster care, including assurances that—

(i) any such child enrolls or remains in such child’s school of origin, unless a determination is made that it is not in such child’s best interest to attend the school of origin, which decision shall be based on all factors relating to the child’s best interest, including consideration of the appropriateness of the current educational setting and the proximity to the school in which the child is enrolled at the time of placement;

(ii) when a determination is made that it is not in such child’s best interest to remain in the school of origin, the child is immediately enrolled in a new school, even if the child is unable to produce records normally required for enrollment; ...”

ESSA Section 1005, 20 U.S.C. 6311(g)(1)(E)

U.S. Department of Education and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (2016).
Non-Regulatory Guidance: Ensuring Educational Stability for Children in Foster Care

“The SEA should coordinate with the State or tribal child welfare agency to develop and disseminate uniform guidelines for implementing the Title I educational stability provisions. Developing uniform statewide policies and procedures for ensuring educational stability for children in foster care, as many States have already done under the Fostering Connections Act, will facilitate successful implementation at the local level. This is particularly important given the shared agency responsibility for educational stability under Title I and the Fostering Connections Act, and because a single LEA or local child welfare agency will likely have to collaborate with multiple partner agencies in implementing these provisions. Due to the high mobility of children in foster care, State guidance is crucial for consistency across school districts.” (Question 3).

“What process should SEAs and LEAs use when making the best interest determination?”

The law does not prescribe a specific process, but we encourage SEAs to work with the State or tribal child welfare agencies to establish guidelines to be used by LEAs and schools in coordination with local child welfare agencies to guide the decision making process.” (Question 13).

“However, if there is disagreement regarding school placement for a child in foster care, the child welfare agency should be considered the final decision maker in making the best interest determination (unless State law or policy dictates otherwise).” (Question 18).

“We encourage SEAs to include guidelines for how additional costs for transportation will be funded and to establish a mechanism or policy for LEAs to resolve interagency disputes related to transportation costs.” (Question 21).

“[W]e encourage the SEA, in partnership with State and tribal child welfare agencies and key decision-makers such as the governor, to develop a uniform State process for resolving such disputes.” (Question 28).

“We encourage SEAs and LEAs to collaborate with child welfare agencies to develop a dispute resolution process at the local level for parties to address disagreements over the best interest determination decision.... Once the decision is made, a written explanation should be provided to all involved parties.” (Question 19).

“To the extent feasible and appropriate, an LEA must ensure that a child remains in his or her school of origin while the disputes are being resolved to minimize disruptions and reduce the number of moves between schools.” (Question 20).

“[T]he LEA must provide or arrange for adequate and appropriate transportation to and from the school of origin while any disputes are being resolved.” (Question 32).