

Questions & Answers - 3/12/18

1. The bid mentions Lump Sum Award. Is the intent to award lump sum by category or all or nothing for the entire bid? **The award will be Lump Sum – Entire Bid**
2. The bid addresses the tamper resistant chemicals in two different ways. In Section II – A, the tamper resistance is addressed based on the packaging of the chemical. In Section XIII-B, the tamper resistance is addressed based on the dispensing unit. Is the intent that the chemical packaging is tamper resistant, the dispensing system is tamper resistant, or that both must be tamper resistant? **Both the Packaging (Dispensing Chemicals) and the Dispensing System must be Tamper Resistance.**
3. Which Excel pricing sheet is correct? I assume that the one with Addendum in the title is correct, but the file dates and times for both are almost exact, so I want to ensure we are completing the correct version. Addendum #1 is correct. **The product information sheets and pricing sheets that are in addendum #2 are the correct versions to use for your proposal. The Bid Opening date has changed to Thursday, March 22, 2018 @ 10:00AM.**
4. The usage for Item 2 – Germicidal Bleach is shows as 3048 oz. Is this correct, or is it gallons? Preferred packaging is 6/case, which is generally gallons and 3048 oz. seems like a very small amount over three years. **The 3 year usage provided for bleach was 123 case of 3-121oz/case. The pricing sheet usage should read 44,649 ounces. This is a small usage item. (See change on pricing sheet and product information sheet– addendum #2)**
5. Item 9 – Pricing sheet specifies Acid Free Bowl Cleaner, but the Product Information Sheet specifications are for an acidic bowl cleaner. Which item is correct? **The pricing sheet should read Acidic Bowl Cleaner. (See change on pricing sheet – addendum #2)**
6. Item 29 – Shows 76 oz usage. Is this correct? Preferred packaging is 8 oz/case. Is this supposed to be 8 EA/CT? What size? 76 oz usage seems extremely low. **In the past we have been getting dish detergent packed 8-32oz/case . The usage should read 19,456 ozs. (See change on pricing sheet and product information sheet – addendum #2)**
7. Item 54 – Shows UOM as Can. What size can? Most of the cans from different manufacturers have a differing number of ounces per can. Should the bid UOM be ounces? **Yes, preferred size is 19 ounces per can. If size is not 19oz, calculate the price of a 19oz based on the cost and size of item bid. i.e. If your product is 12oz per can, divide price by 12oz and multiply by 19 to arrive at price of 19oz can. (See change on pricing sheet – addendum #2)**
8. Item 59-62 – UOM is box. Is usage shown really boxes of 100 or is it individual gloves? For example, Item 60, Large indicates 234,800 is the usage. Per the UOM of box, that is 23,480,000 gloves over three years, which seems extremely high. **All gloves between item 59 and 61 should be calculated by the box. Usage shown should be divided by 100 as these items are standard with 100/box. Item 62 usage should be divided by 1,000 because the preferred packaging shown indicates 1,000/box.**

9. Paper products UOM are not at their base unit (e.g., sheet, feet, etc.). How will these be evaluated given that there can be different base units per those specified in the bid (e.g., 350' per RL versus 800' per RL)? **The evaluation method based on the response listed on the pricing sheets and product information sheets.**