Technology Committee Meeting

November 6, 2017 • 3:30 PM Manteno High School Lab N23

Minutes

Meeting called to order at 3:30

In attendance: Jake Emerson, Roger Schnitzler, Chris Bohula, Dan Christ, Tom Steele, Gale Dodge, Kristi Van Zeyl, Rebecca Ganger, Cara Baldwin, Cathy Creek, Matthew Glenn

1) Tech Dept updates

- a. E-rate Our Form 470 is filed and 4 RFP's have been issued. All are due December 1 and should be ready for board action at the December meeting.
 - i. If it doesn't happen for December it will be at the January meeting at the latest.
- b. DO radios the DO radios seem to be much more stable, but we are continuing to explore a licensed frequency. Entre Systems from Bloomington is coming Tuesday to do a site survey.
 - i. No cost for Entre to come to district.
- c. Cameras we received a quote from Precision Control who did the initial camera install. It was about twice what we were expecting. We are also seeking a quote from Sound Inc for comparison purposes.
- d. Printers the major Windows Update to Fall Creators Edition broke printing. Fortunately a problem with McAfee has prevented most of our workstations from updating. We are working with Proven on a solution but the problem appears to be with Windows. Tom emailed a workaround to all staff last week. We have implemented a policy to delay the update 180 days for workstations that have not updated.
- e. Phones we discovered a couple weeks ago that callers could get through to classrooms by dialing the main number, then dialing the extension bypassing our redirect mechanism. The direct dialing by extension has been disabled but callers can still dial the classroom directly using the directory. We are exploring options for sending those calls directly to voicemail.

2) Website reliability

- a. We are once again having trouble with our district and school websites going
 - i. It has gone down a few times in the last few weeks. It is also occurring for another district using School-in-Sites in Illinois. They approached us about whether we were considering a switch.
- b. School-in-Sites blames the problem on Denial of Service attacks
- c. They should be able to mitigate this problem and is indicative of a weak or poorly designed infrastructure
- d. If we change, it will require a complete redesign of both the district and building websites, including all teacher websites. This will be a MAJOR change.
- e. If we keep it, we can expect to continue having downtime.
- f. The committee recommended to look into options and continue discussion in January.
 - i. Cathy asked when contract is up. Current contract is year to year. Cost is around 3500-4000/year.
 - ii. Roger asked what else is used in area. Tom said it varies greatly.

- iii. Chris said his district uses School Wires and will look into the cost.
- iv. Tom asked if a survey should be sent to teachers to see how they are using the school website. Consensus was that most teachers do not use their district webiste for large amounts of information outside of general bio page.
- v. Roger says most of the use by parents probably comes from parents looking at moving in and applicants.
- vi. Tom will look into School Wire and have a proposal for a later meeting.

3) HS 1:1

- a. Recap last month the committee decided to allow students to take Chromebooks home and not use the carts
- b. Cost of implementing HS 1:1 is estimated at approximately \$230,940. There are rumors Chromebook costs could increase by about \$25/Chromebook due to industry part constraints.
 - i. \$270.00/chromebook. Around 726s chromebooks needed for a total of 196,020. Probably high assumes 3% growth.
 - ii. Around 44 spare chromebooks for 11,800
 - iii. Cases will cost around 10,890
 - iv. Staff chromebooks 45 needed totalling 12,150.
 - v. Grand total 230,940 This is on the high side but cost of chromebooks is currently going up.
- c. Some expenses could be recouped by not purchasing new computer labs or textbooks. Also, \$25 of the registration fee was supposed to be earmarked for technology. We could see a net savings of over \$12,000/yr
 - i. current fee is around \$17,500/yr
 - ii. Not replacing labs saves around \$24,000/yr
 - iii. Eliminating textbooks could save around \$25,000 30,000/yr
 - iv. Cost of 1:1 \$230,940
 - v. Average 4 year savings due to 1:1 potentially up to \$280,000
- d. Options for funding
 - i. District pays 100%
 - 1. Pros
 - a. No burden on parents
 - b. Easier to maintain/manage no fees to collect
 - c. Equitable
 - 2. Cons
 - a. Significant hit to district funds
 - b. Difficult to sustain
 - i. have to replace in no more than 5 years
 - ii. BYOD
 - 1. Pros
 - a. Low cost to district
 - i. only cost for free and reduced students
 - b. Allows parents to find best deal; save money
 - c. Allows students to use the device of their choice
 - d. Students more accountable/take better care of device they own
 - 2. Cons
 - a. Few options to manage/control
 - b. Disparity of platforms is a challenge in the classroom

- c. No way to support/breakage is student's responsibility
- d. District must still provide for students in NSLP
 - i. HS is around 28% in NSLP

iii. Increase fees to parents

- 1. Pros
 - a. Reduces financial strain on district/makes program more sustainable
 - b. District owned devices are fully manageable
 - c. Provides equity in device distribution (everyone has like model)
- 2. Cons
 - a. High cost for parents
 - b. No reasonable way to force parents to pay
 - c. District responsible for all devices
 - d. District must still provide for students in NSLP
- 3. The committee discussed fees and recommended to continue discussion in January.
- 4. Amortized over life of Chromebook (\$270/4=\$67.50/yr)
- 5. Estimated cost of 44 spares is \$11,880 which should not be passed along to parents
- 6. Only recoup about 50% in fees after Free/Reduced Lunch and refusals to pay
 - a. Cathy says she wants equity across fees for MS and HS students.
 - b. Cathy also asked if the fee would be \$67.50 plus the \$25 currently built-in to the registration fee the parents currently pay. Tom said he didn't think it would but it would be up to the board.
 - c. Cathy is concerned with the fact that parents can refuse to pay and the cost is put on those parents that agree.
- iv. Discussed Pana IL Case Study
 - 1. Charge \$80 for full pay, \$40 fee for free and reduced
 - 2. Reduced textbook fee from 55 to 30 dollars
 - 3. They charge \$19/yr for insurance
 - 4. On a 3 year replacement cycle and seniors use retired chromebooks or BYOD.
 - 5. Students in good standing keep chromebook at the end.
 - 6. Parent can opt out of purchase program and if they do the Chromebook is checked out from library on a daily basis.
 - a. Gale asked how long it took Pana's parents to accept the increase in cost. Tom said after about 2 years they noticed parents accepting of 1:1 fees.
- v. Lease should we lease the Chromebooks to spread the hit over 4 years?
 - 1. Leasing increases about 10% cost to the chromebooks.
- vi. Recommendations:
 - 1. Roger says he would like to sit on this for another month. He is not understanding why we need to increase fee by 45.00.
 - 2. Currently the plans are to purchase Chromebooks for 3rd and 4th graded. Roger asked why can't this money be used to offset the cost. Gale asked what we had budgeted for 3rd and 4th grade

- Chromebook purchase. Jake will look at what was budgeted. Roger suggests doing a small increase at first.
- 3. Matthew said during finance meeting one of the HS teachers said they would not use a Chomebook so some teachers might be opposed.
- 4. Gale asked if Roger can get a group of teachers together at the HS to propose what they think will work best and present to the committees and board.
- 5. Tom says we have to do something with the 3rd and 4th grade next year and so either we purchase for them or we move the current HS chromebooks down to the ES. Around 300-350 chromebooks needed at ES.
- e. Next meeting No meeting in December. Continue talk about about Chromebooks in January to come up with a fee for proposal at February board meeting.
- 4) Building updates
 - a. ES
- i. Still having printer issues
- b. MS
 - i. none
- c. HS
- i. none
- 5) Future items
 - a. HS 1:1 Implementation
 - b. Continue talk about website possibility for a possible change next year at the end of current contract.
- 6) Other
- 7) Adjournment
 - a. Roger Schnitzler made a motion to adjourn. Matthew Glenn seconded. Motion carried.
 - b. Meeting was adjourned at 4:42.