

Washington County School District 652 3rd Street Chipley, FL 32428

2015

Administrative Evaluation System Template



Rule 6A-5.030 Form AEST-2015 Effective Date: _____ 2015 Washington County School District Joseph Taylor, Superintendent 11/30/2015

Table of Contents

- 1. Performance of Students
- 2. Instructional Leadership
- 3. Other Indicators of Performance
- 4. Summative Evaluation Score
- 5. Additional Requirements
- 6. District Evaluation Procedures
- 7. District Self-Monitoring
- 8. Appendix A Checklist for Approval

Directions:

This document has been provided in Microsoft Word format for the convenience of the district. The order of the template shall not be rearranged. Each section offers specific directions, but does not limit the amount of space or information that can be added to fit the needs of the district. All submitted documents shall be titled and paginated. Where documentation or evidence is required, copies of the source document(s) (for example, rubrics, policies and procedures, observation instruments) shall be provided. Upon completion, the district shall email the template and required supporting documentation for submission to the address <u>DistrictEvalSysEQ@fldoe.org</u>.

**Modifications to an approved evaluation system may be made by the district at any time. A revised evaluation system shall be submitted for approval, in accordance with Rule 6A-5.030(3), F.A.C. The entire template shall be sent for the approval process.

1. <u>Performance of Students</u>

Directions:

The district shall provide:

• For all school administrators, the percentage of the evaluation that is based on the performance of students criterion as outlined in s. 1012.34(3)(a)1., F.S., along with an explanation of the scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(a)1., F.A.C.].

The portion of evaluation that involves "impact on others" comes in two components:

1. Student Growth Measures: Student achievement and/or student growth will be used to determine 35% of a school leader's annual evaluation based on the performance of students in the school on specific state or district assessments (e.g. FSA, EOC exams, Subject Area Exams (SAEs), or other identified measures of student achievement or growth – Based on the last 3 years of data, if available. Washington County school administrators will receive a student performance measure that is proportional to the school site to which they are assigned. The VAM data that is provided by the state will be accepted by Washington County and the scores of 1-4 will be a part of the calculation.

School Average VAM Score Conversion Table

The chart as shown below will be used to determine the number of points earned on the student achievement/student growth portion of the overall summative evaluation for Washington County Administrators. This chart will also be used to determine the appropriate number of points to use for those teachers who have student achievement/student growth data from a combination of sources.

School Average VAM Score	Points Earned of 105
3.9 - 4.0	105
3.7 – 3.8	100
3.5 – 3.6	95
3.3 – 3.4	90
3.1 – 3.2	85
2.9 - 3.1	80
2.7 – 2.8	75

2.5 – 2.6	70
2.3 – 2.4	65
2.1 – 2.2	60
1.9 – 2.0	55
1.7 – 1.8	50
1.5 – 1.6	45
1.3 – 1.4	40
1.1 – 1.2	35
.9 - 1.0	30
.78	25
.56	20
.34	15
02	10

- 2. The Leadership Practice: The Florida Principal Leadership Standards will be used to determine 65% percent of the school leader's evaluation. Leadership Practice combines results of the Florida School Leader Assessment (FSLA) and an additional Metric Deliberate Practice and/or Professional Development. The FSLA will count as 55% of the total evaluation and Deliberate Practice/Professional Development will count as 10% of the total. The FSLA contribution to evaluation is based on observation of the leader's actions and the leader's impact on the actions and behaviors of others
- 3. The overall evaluation scoring process will be based on a 300 point scale.
- For all school administrators, confirmation of including student performance data for at least three years, including the current year and the two years immediately preceding the current year, when available. If less than the three most recent years of data are available, those years for which data are available must be used. If more than three years of student performance data are used, specify the years that will be used [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(a)3., F.A.C.].

See information from Item #1 above.

• For school administrators, the district-determined student performance measure(s) used for personnel evaluations [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(a)7., F.A.C.].

See information from Item #1 above.

2. Instructional Leadership

Directions

The district shall provide:

• For all school administrators, the percentage of the evaluation system that is based on the instructional leadership criterion as outlined in s. 1012.34(3)(a)3., F.S., along with an explanation of the scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(c)1., F.A.C.].

Scoring the Overall Evaluation Process

The overall evaluation scoring process will be based on a 300 point scale. A maximum of 165 points (55% of total) may be earned on the FSLA portion of the evaluation, a maximum of 30 points (10% of total) may be earned on the Deliberate Practice and/or Professional Development component and a maximum of 105 points (35% of total) may be earned on the student performance/student growth portion of the evaluation.

Leadership Score Range	Leadership Practice Rating
240 to 300	Highly Effective
151 to 239	Effective
75 to 150	Needs Improvement
0 to 74	Unsatisfactory

How to Calculate a Leadership Practice Score

FLSA Score + DP/Pro. Dev. Score + Student Achievement/Growth Score = Total

Example:

FLSA score (125) + DP/ Pro. Dev. Score (20) + Student Achievement/Growth (85)

= Overall School Leader Evaluation Score (230) - Effective

Calculating A Deliberate Practice or Professional Development Score

Deliberate Practice initiatives and/or Professional Development activities will be used to determine 10% of the total evaluation. These indicators may include but not be limited to targeted deliberate practices related to job embedded responsibilities, professional development activities, participation in professional learning community (PLC), and/or development and implementation of professional development plan.

<u>Method of calculation for 30 points metric based on IPLP, Professional</u> <u>Growth/Professional Development, completion and/or implementation of PD activities</u>

10 points possible – Completion of Individual Professional Learning Plan including implementation of elements as may be identified

10 points possible – Completion of at least 1 clearly defined Deliberate Practice activity or 1 professional development activity including follow-up and implementation (Must complete a minimum of 10 hours of in-service or professional development credit either in a single activity or in multiple activities

20 points possible – Completion of 2clearly defined Deliberate Practice activities or 2 or more professional development activities including follow-up and implementation (Must complete a minimum of 20 hours of in-service or professional development credit either in 2 individual activities or a combination of multiple activities (3 or more) that total 20 hours of credit

30 points possible – Completion of an academic course at a college or university related to professional job responsibilities with the general rule being that for each 1 hour class, 10 points would be earned. (Example: A 3 hour semester class would count as 30 points)

Note: A maximum of 30 points may be earned by the completion of any combination of the professional development activities as described above.

• Description of the district evaluation framework for school administrators and the contemporary research basis in effective educational practices [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(c)2., F.A.C.]. <u>A Multi-Dimensional Framework</u>: This evaluation system is based on contemporary research and metaanalyses by Dr. Douglas Reeves, Dr. John Hattie, Dr. Vivian Robinson, Dr. Robert Marzano and other research findings that identify school leadership strategies or behaviors that, done correctly and in appropriate circumstances, have a positive probability of improving student learning and faculty proficiency on instructional strategies that positively impact student learning.

REFERENCE LIST

Illustrative reference lists of works associated with this framework are provided below

MULTI-DIMENSIONAL LEADERSHIP FRAMEWORK: Illustrative references

- Reeves, D. (2009). Assessing Educational Leaders: Evaluating Performance for Improved Individual and Organizational Results. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement.* New York: Routledge.
- Horng, E., Klasik, D., & Loeb, S. (2010). *Principal's time use and school effectiveness*. Stanford University.
- Kouzes, J. M., & Posner, B. Z. (2010). *The truth about leadership*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Louis, K. S., Leithwood, K., Wahlstrom, K. L., & Anderson, S. E. (2010). *Investigating the links to improved student learning*. The Wallace Foundation.
- Robinson, V. M. J. (2011). Student-centered leadership. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Marzano, R. J., Frontier, T., & Livingston, D. (2011). *Effective supervision: Supporting the art and science of teaching*. Alexandria VA: ASCD

• For all school administrators, a crosswalk from the district's evaluation framework to the Principal Leadership Standards [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(c)3., F.A.C.].

Washington County School District utilized the administrative template as provided by

the Department of Education which is directly aligned to the framework for the Principal Leadership Standards.

• Observation or other data collection instrument(s) that include indicators, organized by domains, based on each of the Principal Leadership Standards, and additional elements provided in s. 1012.34(3)(a)3., F.S. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(c)4., F.A.C.].

Florida School Leader Assessment

Data Collection and Feedback Protocol Forms for

Domains 1, 2, 3 and 4

These forms provide guidance to school leaders and evaluators on what is expected regarding each indicator.

The forms provide:

- The text of all Proficiency Areas and FSLA indicators
- Rubrics to distinguish among proficiency levels
 - A generic rubric that applies to each indicator and
 - An indicator specific rubric that applies to the individual indicator
- Narratives to assist in understanding the focus and priorities embedded in the FSLA
- Illustrative examples of Leadership Actions and Impacts on Others of Leadership Action that assist in understanding how the issue(s) in an indicator are observed "on the job".
- Reflection questions to guide personal growth

FSLA Proficiency Areas with Indicators

Florida School Leader Assessment

A Multidimensional Leadership Assessment

4 Domains - 10 Proficiency Areas - 45 Indicators

A <u>summative performance level</u> is based 35% on Student Growth Measures (SGM) that conform to the requirements of s. 1012.34, F.S., and 55% on a Leadership Practice Score and 10% based on professional development and other professional responsibilities. In the Florida State Model, the Leadership Practice Score is obtained from two metrics:

- Florida School Leader Assessment (FSLA)
- Deliberate Practice Score

The school leader's FSLA Score is combined with a Deliberate Practice Score to generate a Leadership Practice Score. The tables below list the school leader performance proficiencies addressed in the four domains of the FSLA and the Deliberate Practice Metric.

Domain 1: The focus is on leadership practices that impact prioritization and results for student achievement on priority learning goals - knowing what's important, understanding what's needed, and taking actions that get results.

Domain 1: Student Achievement 2 Proficiency Areas – 8 Indicators

This domain contributes 20% of the FSLA Score

Proficiency Area 1 - Student Learning Results: Effective school leaders achieve results on the school's student learning goals and direct energy, influence, and resources toward data analysis for instructional improvement, development and implementation of quality standards-based curricula.

Indicator 1.1 – <u>Academic Standards</u>: The leader demonstrates understanding of student requirements and academic standards (Common Core and NGSSS).

Indicator 1.2 – <u>Performance Data</u>: The leader demonstrates the use of student and adult performance data to make instructional leadership decisions.

Indicator 1.3 – <u>Planning and Goal Setting</u>: The leader demonstrates planning and goal setting to improve student achievement.

Indicator 1.4 - <u>Student Achievement Results</u>: The leader demonstrates evidence of student improvement through student achievement results.

Proficiency Area 2 - Student Learning as a Priority: Effective school leaders demonstrate that student learning is their top priority through effective leadership actions that build and support a learning organization focused on student success.

Indicator 2.1 - <u>Learning Organization</u>: The leader enables faculty and staff to work as a system focused on student learning, and engages faculty and staff in efforts to close learning performance gaps among student subgroups within the school.

Indicator 2.2 - <u>School Climate</u>: The leader maintains a school climate that supports student engagement in learning.

Indicator 2.3 - <u>High Expectations</u>: The leader generates high expectations for learning growth by all students. Indicator 2.4 - <u>Student Performance Focus</u>: The leader demonstrates understanding of present levels of student performance

based on routine assessment processes that reflect the current reality of student proficiency on academic standards.

Domain 2: The focus is on instructional leadership – what the leader does and enables others to do that supports teaching and learning.

Domain 2: Instructional Leadership

3 Proficiency Areas – **17** Indicators

This domain contributes 40% of the FSLA Score

Proficiency Area 3 - Instructional Plan Implementation: Effective school leaders work collaboratively to develop and implement an instructional framework that aligns curriculum with state standards, effective instructional practices, student learning needs, and assessments.

Indicator 3.1 – <u>FEAPs</u>: The leader aligns the school's instructional programs and practices with the Florida Educator Accomplished Practices (FEAPs) (Rule 6A-5.065, F.A.C.), and models use of Florida's common language of instruction to guide faculty and staff's implementation of the foundational principles and practices.

Indicator 3.2 - <u>Standards-based Instruction</u>: The leader delivers an instructional program that implements the state's adopted academic standards (Common Core and NGSSS) in a manner that is rigorous and culturally relevant to the students by aligning

academic standards, effective instruction and leadership, and student performance practices with system objectives, improvement planning, faculty proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals, and communicating to faculty the cause and effect relationship between effective instruction on academic standards and student performance.

Indicator 3.3 - <u>Learning Goals Alignments</u>: The leader implements recurring monitoring and feedback processes to insure that priority learning goals established for students are based on the state's adopted student academic standards as defined in state course descriptions, presented in student accessible forms, and accompanied by scales or rubrics to guide tracking progress toward student mastery.

Indicator 3.4 - <u>Curriculum Alignments</u>: The leader implements systemic processes to insure alignment of curriculum resources with state standards for the courses taught.

Indicator 3.5 - <u>Quality Assessments</u>: The leader ensures the appropriate use of high quality formative and interim assessments aligned with the adopted standards and curricula.

Indicator 3.6 - <u>Faculty Effectiveness</u>: The leader monitors the effectiveness of classroom teachers and uses contemporary research and the district's instructional evaluation system criteria and procedures to improve student achievement and faculty proficiency on the FEAPs.

Proficiency Area 4 - Faculty Development: Effective school leaders recruit, retain, and develop an effective and diverse faculty and staff; focus on evidence, research, and classroom realities faced by teachers; link professional practice with student achievement to demonstrate the cause and effect relationship; facilitate effective professional development; monitor implementation of critical initiatives; and secure and provide timely feedback to teachers so that feedback can be used to increase teacher professional practice.

Indicator 4.1 - <u>Recruitment and Retention</u>: The leader employs a faculty with the instructional proficiencies needed for the school population served.

Indicator 4.2 - <u>Feedback Practices</u>: The leader monitors, evaluates proficiency, and secures and provides timely and actionable feedback to faculty on the effectiveness of instruction on priority instructional goals, and the cause and effect relationships between professional practice and student achievement on those goals.

Indicator 4.3 - <u>High Effect Size Strategies</u>: Instructional personnel receive recurring feedback on their proficiency on high effect size instructional strategies.

Indicator 4.4 -<u>Instructional Initiatives</u>: District-supported state initiatives focused on student growth are supported by the leader with specific and observable actions, including monitoring of implementation and measurement of progress toward initiative goals and professional learning to improve faculty capacity to implement the initiatives.

Indicator 4.5 - <u>Facilitating and Leading Professional Learning</u>: The leader manages the organization, operations, and facilities to provide the faculty with quality resources and time for professional learning and promotes, participates in, and engages faculty in effective individual and collaborative learning on priority professional goals throughout the school year.

Indicator 4.6 - <u>Faculty Development Alignments</u>: The leader implements professional learning processes that enable faculty to deliver culturally relevant and differentiated instruction by generating a focus on student and professional learning in the school that is clearly linked to the system-wide objectives and the school improvement plan; identifying faculty instructional proficiency needs (including standards-based content, research-based pedagogy, data analysis for instructional planning and improvement); aligning faculty development practices with system objectives, improvement planning, faculty proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals; and using instructional technology as a learning tool for students and faculty.

Indicator 4.7 - <u>Actual Improvement</u>: The leader improves the percentage of effective and highly effective teachers on the faculty.

Proficiency Area 5 - Learning Environment: Effective school leaders structure and monitor a school learning environment that improves learning for all of Florida's diverse student population.

Indicator 5.1 – <u>Student-Centered</u>: The leader maintains a safe, respectful and inclusive student-centered learning environment that is focused on equitable opportunities for learning, and building a foundation for a fulfilling life in a democratic society and global economy by providing recurring monitoring and feedback on the quality of the learning environment and aligning learning environment practices with system objectives, improvement planning, faculty proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals.

Indicator 5.2 – <u>Success-Oriented</u>: The leader initiates and supports continuous improvement processes and a multi-tiered system of supports focused on the students' opportunities for success and well-being.

Indicator 5.3 - <u>Diversity</u>: To align diversity practices with system objectives, improvement planning, faculty proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals, the leader recognizes and uses diversity as an asset in the development and

implementation of procedures and practices that motivate all students and improve student learning, and promotes school and classroom practices that validate and value similarities and differences among students.

Indicator 5.4 - <u>Achievement Gaps</u>: The leader engages faculty in recognizing and understanding cultural and developmental issues related to student learning by identifying and addressing strategies to minimize and/or eliminate achievement gaps associated with student subgroups within the school.

Domain 3: The focus is on school operations and leadership practices that integrate operations into an effective system of education.

Domain 3 - Operational Leadership 4 Proficiency Areas – 16 Indicators This domain contributes 20% of the FSLA Score

Proficiency Area 6 - Decision-Making: Effective school leaders employ and monitor a decision-making process that is based on vision, mission, and improvement priorities using facts and data; manage the decision-making process, but not all decisions, using the process to empower others and distribute leadership when appropriate; establish personal deadlines for themselves and the entire organization; and use a transparent process for making decisions and articulating who makes which decisions.

Indicator 6.1- <u>Prioritization Practices</u>: The leader gives priority attention to decisions that impact the quality of student learning and teacher proficiency, gathering and analyzing facts and data, and assessing alignment of decisions with school vision, mission, and improvement priorities.

Indicator 6.2 – <u>Problem-Solving</u>: The leader uses critical thinking and problem-solving techniques to define problems and identify solutions.

Indicator 6.3 - <u>Quality Control</u>: The leader maintains recurring processes for evaluating decisions for effectiveness, equity, intended and actual outcome(s); implements follow-up actions revealed as appropriate by feedback and monitoring; and revises decisions or implements actions as needed.

Indicator 6.4 - Distributive Leadership: The leader empowers others and distributes leadership when appropriate.

Indicator 6.5 - <u>Technology Integration</u>: The leader employs effective technology integration to enhance decision making and efficiency throughout the school. The leader processes changes and captures opportunities available through social networking tools, accesses and processes information through a variety of online resources, incorporates data-driven decision making with effective technology integration to analyze school results, and develops strategies for coaching staff as they integrate technology into teaching, learning, and assessment processes.

Proficiency Area 7 - Leadership Development: Effective school leaders actively cultivate, support, and develop other leaders within the organization, modeling trust, competency, and integrity in ways that positively impact and inspire growth in other potential leaders.

Indicator 7.1 - <u>Leadership Team</u>: The leader identifies and cultivates potential and emerging leaders, promotes teacherleadership functions focused on instructional proficiency and student learning, and aligns leadership development practices with system objectives, improvement planning, leadership proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals.

Indicator 7.2 – <u>Delegation</u>: The leader establishes delegated areas of responsibility for subordinate leaders and manages delegation and trust processes that enable such leaders to initiate projects or tasks, plan, implement, monitor, provide quality control, and bring projects and tasks to closure.

Indicator 7.3 - <u>Succession Planning</u>: The leader plans for and implements succession management in key positions. Indicator 7.4 - <u>Relationships</u>: The leader develops sustainable and supportive relationships between school leaders, parents, community, higher education, and business leaders.

Proficiency Area 8 - School Management: Effective school leaders manage the organization, operations, and facilities in ways that maximize the use of resources to promote a safe, efficient, legal, and effective learning environment; effectively manage and delegate tasks and consistently demonstrate fiscal efficiency; and understand the benefits of going deeper with fewer initiatives as opposed to superficial coverage of everything.

Indicator 8.1 - Organizational Skills: The leader organizes time, tasks, and projects effectively with clear objectives, coherent plans, and establishes appropriate deadlines for self, faculty, and staff.

Indicator 8.2 - <u>Strategic Instructional Resourcing</u>: The leader maximizes the impact of school personnel, fiscal and facility resources to provide recurring systemic support for instructional priorities and a supportive learning environment. Indicator 8.3 – <u>Collegial Learning Resources</u>: The leader manages schedules, delegates, and allocates resources to provide recurring systemic support for collegial learning processes focused on school improvement and faculty development.

Proficiency Area 9 - Communication: Effective school leaders use appropriate oral, written, and electronic communication and collaboration skills to accomplish school and system goals by practicing two-way communications, seeking to listen and learn from and building and maintaining relationships with students, faculty, parents, and community; managing a process of regular communications to staff and community keeping all stakeholders engaged in the work of the school; recognizing individuals for good work; and maintaining high visibility at school and in the community.

Indicator 9.1 - <u>Constructive Conversations</u>: The leader actively listens to and learns from students, staff, parents, and community stakeholders and creates opportunities within the school to engage students, faculty, parents, and community stakeholders in constructive conversations about important issues.

Indicator 9.2 - <u>Clear Goals and Expectations</u>: The leader communicates goals and expectations clearly and concisely using Florida's common language of instruction and appropriate written and oral skills, communicates student expectations and performance information to students, parents, and community, and ensures faculty receive timely information about student learning requirements, academic standards, and all other local, state, and federal administrative requirements and decisions. Indicator 9.3 - <u>Accessibility</u>: The leader maintains high visibility at school and in the community, regularly engages stakeholders in the work of the school, and utilizes appropriate technologies for communication and collaboration.

Indicator 9.4 - <u>Recognitions</u>: The leader recognizes individuals, collegial work groups, and supporting organizations for effective performance.

Domain 4: The focus is on the leader's professional conduct and leadership practices that represent quality leadership.

Domain 4 - Professional and Ethical Behaviors 1 Proficiency Area – 4 Indicators This domain contributes 20% of the FSLA Score

Proficiency Area 10 - Professional and Ethical Behaviors: Effective school leaders demonstrate personal and professional behaviors consistent with quality practices in education and as a community leader by staying informed on current research in education and demonstrating their understanding of the research, engage in professional development opportunities that improve personal professional practice and align with the needs of the school system, and generate a professional development focus in their school that is clearly linked to the system-wide strategic objectives.

Indicator 10.1 – <u>Resiliency</u>: The leader demonstrates resiliency in pursuit of student learning and faculty development by staying focused on the school vision and reacting constructively to adversity and barriers to success, acknowledging and learning from errors, constructively managing disagreement and dissent with leadership, and bringing together people and resources with the common belief that the organization can grow stronger when it applies knowledge, skills, and productive attitudes in the face of adversity.

Indicator 10.2 - <u>Professional Learning</u>: The leader engages in professional learning that improves professional practice in alignment with the needs of the school and system and demonstrates explicit improvement in specific performance areas based on previous evaluations and formative feedback.

Indicator 10.3 – <u>Commitment</u>: The leader demonstrates a commitment to the success of all students, identifying barriers and their impact on the well being of the school, families, and local community.

Indicator 10.4 - <u>Professional Conduct</u>: The leader adheres to the Code of Ethics of the Education Profession in Florida (Rule 6B-1.001, F.A.C.) and to the Principles of Professional Conduct for the Education Profession (Rule 6B-1.006, F.A.C.).

Domain 1 - Student Achievement

Narrative: Student achievement results in the student growth measures (SGM) segment of evaluation represent student results on specific statewide or district assessments or end-of-course exams. The leadership practice segment of the evaluation, through the proficiency areas and indicators in this domain, focuses on <u>leadership behaviors</u> that influence the desired student results.

Proficiency Area 1. <u>Student Learning Results</u>: Effective school leaders achieve results on the school's student learning goals and direct energy, influence, and resources toward data analysis for instructional improvement, development and implementation of quality standards-based curricula.

Narrative: This proficiency area focuses on the leader's knowledge and actions regarding academic standards, use of performance data, planning and goal setting related to targeted student results, and capacities to understand what results are being obtained. This proficiency area is aligned with Florida Principal Leadership Standard #1.

Indicator 1.1 - Academic Standards: The leader demonstrates understanding of student requirements and academic standards (Common Core Standard, Florida Standards).

Narrative: Standards-based instruction is an essential element in the state's plan of action for preparing Florida's students for success in a 21st century global economy. This indicator is focused on the leader's understanding of what students are to know and be able to do. School leaders need to know the academic standards teachers are to teach and students are to master.

Note: Every credit course has specific academic standards assigned to it. Common Core Standards and Next Generation Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS) assigned to each course are found at <u>www.floridastandards.org</u>.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. Every faculty meeting and staff development forum is focused on student achievement on the Common Core Standards and NGSSS, including periodic reviews of student work. The leader can articulate which Common Core Standards are designated for implementation in multiple courses.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The link between standards and student performance is in evidence from the alignment in lesson plans of learning goals, activities and assignments to course standards. The leader is able to recognize whether or not learning goals and student activities are related to standards in the course descriptions.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Common Core Standards and NGSSS are accessible to faculty and students. Required training on standards-based instruction has been conducted, but the link between standards and student performance is not readily evident to many faculty or students. Assignments and activities in most, but not all courses relate to the standards in the course descriptions.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. Classroom learning goals and curriculum are not monitored for alignment to standards or are considered a matter of individual discretion regardless of course description requirements. The leader is hesitant to intrude or is indifferent to decisions in the classroom that are at variance from the requirements of academic standards in the course descriptions. Training for the faculty on
		descriptions.	Training for the faculty on standards-based instruction does not occur and the leader does

	not demonstrate knowledge of how to access standards.		
 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: School leader extracts data on standards associated with courses in the master schedule from the course descriptions and monitor for actual implementation. Lesson plans are monitored for alignment with correct standards. Agendas, memoranda, etc. reflect leader's communications to faculty on the role of state standards in curriculum, lesson planning, and tracking student progress. Common Core Standards shared by multiple courses are identified and teachers with shared Common Core Standards 	 Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Lesson plans identify connections of activities to standards. Teacher leaders' meeting records verify recurring review of progress on state standards. Students can articulate what they are expected to learn in a course and their perceptions align with standards in the course description. Teachers routinely access course descriptions to maintain alignment of instruction with standards. 		
are organized by the leader into collegial teams to coordinate instruction on those shared standards.Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator.			
	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples what is expected):		

Reflection Questions for Indicator 1.1

Highly Effective:	Effective:	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Do you routinely share examples of specific leadership, teaching, and curriculum strategies that are associated with improved student achievement on the Common Core Standards or NGSSS?	How do you support teachers' conversations about how they recognize student growth toward mastery of the standards assigned to their courses?	How do you monitor what happens in classrooms to insure that instruction and curriculum are aligned to academic standards?	Where do you find the standards that are required for the courses in your master schedule?

Indicator 1.2 – Performance Data: The leader demonstrates the use of student and adult performance data to make instructional leadership decisions.

Narrative: This indicator addresses the leader's proficiency in use of student and adult performance data to make instructional leadership decisions. What does test data and other sources of student performance data related to targeted academic goals say about what is needed? What does data about teacher proficiency or professional learning needs indicate needs to be done? The focus is what the leader does with data about student and adult performance to make instructional decisions that impact student achievement.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader can specifically document examples of decisions in teaching, assignment, curriculum, assessment, and intervention that have been made on the basis of data analysis. The leader has coached school administrators in other schools to	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader uses multiple data sources, including state, district, school, and classroom assessments, and systematically examines data at the subscale level to find strengths and challenges. The leader empowers teaching and administrative staff to	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader is aware of state and district results and has discussed those results with staff, but has not linked specific decisions to the data. Data about adult performance (e.g. evaluation feedback data, professional learning needs	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader is unaware of or indifferent to the data about student and adult performance, or fails to use such data as a basis for making decisions.
administrators in other schools to improve their data analysis skills and to inform instructional decision making.	and administrative staff to determine priorities using data on student and adult performance. Data insights are regularly the subject of faculty meetings and professional development sessions.	assessments) are seldom used to inform instructional leadership decisions.	proficiency may be seen in the
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	
 Data files and analyses on a wide range of student performance assessments are in routine use by the leader. Analyses of trends and patterns in student performance over time are reflected in presentations to faculty on instructional improvement needs. Analyses of trends and patterns in evaluation feedback on faculty proficiencies and professional learning needs are reflected in presentations to faculty on instructional improvement needs. Leader's agendas, memoranda, etc. reflect recurring attention to 		 Department and team meetin student performance data. Teacher leaders identify chan or departments based on performance 	tations to colleagues on uses of structional practices.
	proficiency on this indicator. Where there is sufficient evide one of the four proficiency lev	ence to rate current proficiency rels below. If not being rated a [] Needs Improvement	
Evidence Log (Specifically,		reflects current proficiency on	

Reflection Questions for Indicator 1.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How do you aggregate data about teacher proficiencies on instructional practices to stimulate dialogue about what changes in instruction are needed in order to improve student performance?	How do you verify that all faculty have sufficient grasp of the significance of student performance data to formulate rational improvement plans?	By what methods do you enable faculty to participate in useful discussions about the relationship between student performance data and the instructional actions under the teachers' control?	How much of the discussions with district staff about student performance data are confusing to you and how do you correct that?

Indicator 1.3 – Planning and Goal Setting: The leader demonstrates planning and goal setting to improve student achievement.

Narrative: Knowing the standards and making use of performance data is expected to play a significant role in planning and goal setting. This indicator is focused on the leader's alignment of planning and goal setting with improvement of student achievement.

Highly Effective: Leader's	Effective: Leader's actions or	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory: Leader's		
actions or impact of leader's actions	impact of leader's actions relevant to	Leader's actions or impact of leader's	actions or impact of leader's actions		
relevant to this indicator exceed	this indicator are sufficient and	actions relevant to this indicator are	relevant to this indicator are minimal		
effective levels and constitute models	appropriate reflections of quality work	evident but are inconsistent or of	or are not occurring, or are having an		
of proficiency for other leaders.	with only normal variations.	insufficient scope or proficiency.	adverse impact.		
The leader routinely shares	Goals and strategies reflect a	Specific and measurable goals	Planning for improvement in		
examples of specific leadership,	clear relationship between the	related to student achievement	student achievement is not		
teaching, and curriculum	actions of teachers and leaders	are established, but these efforts	evident and goals are neither		
strategies that are associated	and the impact on student	have yet to result in improved	measurable nor specific.		
with improved student	achievement. Results show	student achievement or planning	The leader focuses more on		
achievement.	steady improvements based on	for methods of monitoring	student characteristics as an		
	these leadership initiatives.	improvements.	explanation for student results		
Other leaders credit this leader	Priorities for student growth are		than on the actions of the		
with sharing ideas, coaching,		Priorities for student growth are			
and providing technical	established, understood by staff	established in some areas,	teachers and leaders in the		
assistance to implement	and students, and plans to	understood by some staff and	system.		
successful new initiatives	achieve those priorities are	students, and plans to achieve			
supported by quality planning	aligned with the actual actions of	those priorities are aligned with			
and goal setting.	the staff and students.	the actual actions of some of the			
5 5		staff.			
Leadership Evidence of profic	iency on this indicator may be	Impact Evidence of leadership	proficiency may be seen in the		
seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and					
		community. <u>Illustrative example</u>			
of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the					
following: include, but are not limited to the following:					
Clearly stated goals are accessible to faculty and students. Faculty members are able to describe their participation in					
Agendas, memoranda, and o		planning and goal setting proc			
	cess that resulted in formulation of		d teachers' actions are evident and		
	the adopted goals. accessible.				
	ulty provide recurring updates on		e the goals for their achievement		
	tion and progress toward goals.	which emerged from faculty a			
 Leader's presentations to par 	ents focus on the school goals for	Teachers and students track			
student achievement.		accomplishment of the stated	goals.		
Other leadership evidence of		 Other impact evidence of prof 			
Scale Levels: (choose one)	Where there is sufficient evide	ence to rate current proficiency	on this indicator, assign a		
	g one of the four proficiency lev				
[] Highly Effective		[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory		
	what has been observed that		this mulcator? The examples		
above are illustrative and do	not reflect an exclusive list of	what is expected):			

Reflection Questions for Indicator 1.3

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What methods of sharing	How will you monitor progress	How do you engage more faculty	How are other school leaders
successful planning processes	toward the goals so that	in the planning process so that	implementing planning and goal
with other school leaders are	adjustments needed are	there is a uniform faculty	setting?
most likely to generate district-	evident in time to make	understanding of the goals set?	
wide improvements?	"course corrections?"		

Indicator 1.4 - Student Achievement Results: The leader demonstrates evidence of student improvement through student achievement results.

Narrative: Engagement with the standards, using data, making plans and setting goals are important. This indicator shifts focus to the leader's use of evidence of actual improvement to build support for continued effort and further improvement.

		1	
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. A consistent record of improved student achievement exists on multiple indicators of student success. Student success occurs not only on the overall averages, but in each group of historically disadvantaged students. Explicit use of previous data indicates that the leader has focused on improving performance. In areas of previous success, the leader aggressively identifies new challenges, moving proficient performance to the exemplary level. Where new challenges emerge, the leader highlights the need, creates effective interventions, and reports improved results.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader reaches the required numbers, meeting performance goals for student achievement. Results on accomplished goals are used to maintain gains and stimulate future goal setting. The average of the student population improves, as does the achievement of each group of students who have previously been identified as needing improvement.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Accumulation and exhibition of student improvement results are inconsistent or untimely. Some evidence of improvement exists, but there is insufficient evidence of using such improvements to initiate changes in leadership, teaching, and curriculum that will create the improvements necessary to achieve student performance goals. The leader has taken some decisive actions to make some changes in time, teacher assignment, curriculum, leadership practices, or other variables in order to improve student achievement, but additional actions are needed to generate improvements for all	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. Evidence of student improvement is not routinely gathered and used to promote further growth. Indifferent to the data about learning needs, the leader blames students, families, and external characteristics for insufficient progress. The leader does not believe that student achievement can improve. The leader has not taken decisive action to change time, teacher assignment, curriculum, leadership practices, or other variables in order to improve student achievement.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: • The leader generates data that describes what improvements have occurred. • Teachers routinely inform students and parents on student progress on instructional goals. • Agendas, memoranda, and other documents for faculty and students communicate the progress made and relate that progress to teacher and student capacity to make further gains. • Posters and other informational signage informing of student improvements are distributed in the school and community. • Evidence on student improvement is routinely shared with parents. • Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. • Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. • Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. • Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. • Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. • Other leadership evidence of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Unsatisfactory [] Highly Effective [] Effective [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory [] Highly Effective [] Effective [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory			
above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):			

Reflection Questions for Indicator 1.4

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How do you share with other school leaders how to use student improvement results to raise expectations and improve future results?	How do you engage students in sharing examples of their growth with other students?	How do you engage faculty in routinely sharing examples of student improvement?	What processes should you employ to gather data on student improvements?

Proficiency Area 2. <u>Student Learning as a Priority</u>: Effective school leaders demonstrate that student learning is their top priority through effective leadership actions that build and support a learning organization focused on student success.

Narrative: This proficiency area is aligned with Florida Principal Leadership Standard #2. A learning organization has essential elements regarding the behavior of people in the organization. When all elements are present and interacting, productive systemic change is possible. This proficiency area is focused on the degree to which learning organization elements exist in the school and reflect the following priorities on student learning:

- Supports for personal mastery of each person's job focus on job aspects related to student learning
- Team learning among faculty is focused on student learning
- Processes for exploring and challenging mental models that hamper understanding and progress on student learning are in use
- A shared vision has student learning as a priority
- Systems thinking is employed to align various aspects of school life in ways that promote learning

Indicator 2.1 – Learning Organization: The leader enables faculty and staff to work as a system focused on student learning and engages faculty and staff in efforts to close learning performance gaps among student subgroups within the school.

Narrative: Are the elements of a learning organization present among the adults in the school? Are the learning organization elements focused on student learning? Is the system in operation at the school engaging faculty in improving results for underachieving subgroups? This indicator addresses the systemic processes that make gap reduction possible. Is the leader proficient in building capacity for change?

Note: Indicator 5.4 from Florida Principal Leadership Standard #5 addresses actual success in reducing achievement gaps.

Highly Effective: Leader's	Effective: Leader's actions or	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory: Leader's
actions or impact of leader's actions	impact of leader's actions relevant to	Leader's actions or impact of leader's	actions or impact of leader's actions
relevant to this indicator exceed	this indicator are sufficient and	actions relevant to this indicator are	relevant to this indicator are minimal
effective levels and constitute models	appropriate reflections of quality work	evident but are inconsistent or of	or are not occurring, or are having an
of proficiency for other leaders.	with only normal variations.	insufficient scope or proficiency.	adverse impact.
The essential elements of a	The leader's actions and	The leader's actions reflect	There is no or minimal evidence
learning organization (i.e.	supported processes enable the	attention to building an	of proactive leadership that
personal mastery of	instructional and administrative	organization where the essential	supports emergence of a
competencies, team learning,	workforce of the school to	elements of a learning	learning organization focused on
examination of mental models,	function as a learning	organization (i.e. personal	student learning as the priority
shared vision, and systemic	organization with all faculty	mastery of competencies, team	function of the organization.
thinking) are focused on	having recurring opportunities to	learning, examination of mental	Anu warke in prograde on
improving student learning	participate in deepening personal	models, shared vision, and	Any works in progress on
results. Positive trends are	mastery of competencies, team	systemic thinking) are emerging,	personal mastery of instructional
evident in closing learning	learning, examination of mental	but processes that support each	competencies, team learning
performance gaps among all	models, a shared vision, and	of the essential elements are not	processes, examinations of
student subgroups within the	systemic thinking. These fully	fully implemented, or are not yet	mental models, a shared vision
	operational capacities are	consistently focused on student	of outcomes sought, or systemic

school. There is evidence that the interaction among the elements of the learning organization deepen the impact on student learning. The leader routinely shares with colleagues throughout the district the effective leadership practices learned from proficient implementation of the essential elements of a learning organization.	focused on improving all students' learning <u>and</u> closing learning performance gaps among student subgroups within the school.	learning as the priority, or are not focused on closing learning performance gaps among student subgroups within the school.	thinking about instructional practices are not aligned or are not organized in ways that impact student achievement gaps.
 place on the agenda and time School Improvement Plan refl actionable causes of gaps in s goals that support systemic in The principal supports through learning by self and faculty, es learning, shared vision, and so on improving student learning 	r actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> out are not limited to the arning processes focused on oughout the school year. esses are focused on student eflect student learning topics ver other issues as reflected by committed to the issues. ects a systemic analysis of the student performance and contains nprovement. n personal action, professional kploration of mental models, team ystems thinking practices focused	 the school. Professional learning actions gaps among student subgrou Performance gaps among stusshow improvement trends. Faculty, department, team, ar on student learning. Data Teams, Professional Learning Learning show every focus on student learning issues the student student learning issues the student student learning issues the student learning issues the student student learning issues the studen	Ity, staff, students and/or <u>s</u> of such evidence may <u>e</u> following: evident among the faculty and s among student subgroups within by faculty address performance ps within the school. dent subgroups within the school ad cross-curricular meetings focus arning Communities, and/or vidence of recurring meetings and tes. eing part of something larger than ed, of being generative of
and is focused on learning that to create improved outcomes • Other leadership evidence of Scale Levels: (choose one) proficiency level by checking [] Highly Effective	proficiency on this indicator. Where there is sufficient evide one of the four proficiency lev [] Effective	an emphasis on reflection on Teacher or student questionn organization's essential eleme Other impact evidence of prof ence to rate current proficiency rels below. If not being rated a [] Needs Improvement	aire results address learning ents. iciency on this indicator. on this indicator, assign a t this time, leave blank: [] Unsatisfactory
Evidence Log (Specifically,	what has been observed that not reflect an exclusive list of	reflects current proficiency on	this indicator? The examples

Reflection Questions for Indicator 2.1

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Has your leadership resulted in	Where the essential elements	What essential elements of a	What happens in schools that are
people continually expanding	of a learning organization are	learning organization have	effective learning organizations that
their capacity to create the	in place and interacting, how	supports in place and which need	does not happen in this school?
results they truly desire? Is	do you monitor what you are	development?	

there evidence that new and expansive patterns of thinking are nurtured? Are the people who make up your school community continually learning to see the "big picture" (i.e. the systemic connections between practices and processes)?	creating collectively is focused on student learning needs and making a difference for all students?	Understanding that systemic change does not occur unless all of the essential elements of the learning organization are in operation, interacting, and focused on student learning as their priority function, what gaps do you need to fill in your supporting processes and what leadership actions will enable all faculty and staff to get involved?	How can you initiate work toward a learning organization by developing effective collaborative work systems (e.g., Data Teams, Professional Learning Communities, Lesson Studies)?
---	---	--	---

Indicator 2.2 – School Climate: The leader maintains a school climate that supports student engagement in learning.

Narrative: "Climate" at a school is determined by how people treat one another and what is respected and what is not. School leaders who promote a school climate where learning is respected, effort is valued, improvement is recognized, and it is safe to acknowledge learning needs have provided students support for sustained engagement in learning.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader ensures that the school's identity and climate (e.g., vision, mission, values, beliefs, and goals) actually drives decisions and informs the climate of the school. Respect for students' cultural, linguistic and family background is evident in the leader's conduct and expectations for the faculty. The leader is proactive in guiding faculty in adapting the learning environment to accommodate the differing needs and diversity of students. School-wide values, beliefs, and goals are supported by individual and class behaviors through a well-planned management system.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader systematically (e.g., has a plan, with goals, measurable strategies, and recurring monitoring) establishes and maintains a school climate of collaboration, distributed leadership, and continuous improvement, which guides the disciplined thoughts and actions of all staff and students. Policies and the implementation of those policies result in a climate of respect for student learning needs and cultural, linguistic and family background. Classroom practices on adapting the learning environment to accommodate the differing needs and diversity of students are consistently applied throughout the school.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Some practices promote respect for student learning needs and cultural, linguistic and family background, but there are discernable subgroups who do not perceive the school climate as supportive of their needs. The school climate does not generate a level of school-wide student engagement that leads to improvement trends in all student subgroups. The leader provides school rules and class management practices that promote student engagement and are fairly implemented across all subgroups. Classroom practices on adapting the learning environment to accommodate the differing needs and diversity of students are inconsistently	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. Student and/or faculty apathy in regard to student achievement and the importance of learning is easily discernable across the school population and there are no or minimal leadership actions to change school climate. Student subgroups are evident that do not perceive the school as focused on or respectful of their learning needs or cultural, linguistic and family background or there is no to minimal support for managing individual and class behaviors through a well- planned management system.
 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: The leader organizes, allocates, and manages the resources of time, space, and attention so that the needs of all student subgroups are recognized and addressed. There are recurring examples of the leader's presentations, documents, and actions that reflect respect for students' cultural, linguistic and family background. The leader maintains a climate of openness and inquiry and supports student and faculty access to leadership. 		A multi-tiered system of supp	Ity, staff, students and/or <u>s</u> of such evidence may <u>e</u> following: procedures stress positive nots." pate in school events and activities. orts that accommodates the of students is evident across all press a belief that the school

 The school's vision, mission, values, beliefs, and goals reflect an expectation that student learning needs and cultural, linguistic and family backgrounds are respected and school rules consistent with those beliefs are routinely implemented. Professional learning is provided to sustain faculty understanding of student needs. Procedures are in place and monitored to ensure students have effective means to express concerns over any aspect of school climate. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 future well-being. Walkthroughs provide recurring trends of high student engagement in lessons. Student services staff/counselors' anecdotal evidence shows trends in student attitudes toward the school and engagement in learning. Teacher/student/parent survey or questionnaire results reflect a school climate that supports student engagement in learning. The availability of and student participation in academic supports outside the classroom that assist student engagement in learning. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide	
proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency lev	0
[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory
0 1 5	reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples
above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 2.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
In what ways might you further extend your reach within the district to help others benefit from your knowledge and skill in establishing and maintaining a school climate that supports student engagement in learning?	What strategies have you considered that would ensure that the school's identity and climate (e.g., vision, mission, values, beliefs, and goals) actually drives decisions and informs the climate of the school? How could you share with your colleagues across the district the successes (or failures) of your efforts?	How might you structure a plan that establishes and maintains a school climate of collaboration, distributed leadership, and continuous improvement, which guides the disciplined thought and action of all staff and students?	What might be the importance of developing a shared vision, mission, values, beliefs, and goals to establish and maintain a school climate that supports student engagement in learning?

Indicator 2.3 – High Expectations: The leader generates high expectations for learning growth by all students.

Narrative: The leader who expects little from students and faculty will get less than they are capable of accomplishing. "Every child can learn" takes on new meaning when supported by faculty and school leader expectations that students can and will learn a lot...not just a minimum to get by. Expecting quality is a measure of respect.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader incorporates community members and other stakeholder groups into the establishment and support of high academic expectations. The leader benchmarks expectations to the performance of the state's, nation's, and world's highest performing schools. The leader creates systems and approaches to monitor the level of academic expectations. The leader encourages a culture in which students are able to clearly articulate their diverse personal academic goals.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader systematically (e.g., has a plan, with goals, measurable strategies, and a frequent monitoring schedule) creates and supports high academic expectations by empowering teachers and staff to set high and demanding academic expectations for every student. The leader ensures that students are consistently learning, respectful, and on task. The leader sets clear expectations for student academics and establishing consistent practices across classrooms. The leader ensures the use of instructional practices with proven effectiveness in creating success for all students, including those with diverse characteristics and needs.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader creates and supports high academic expectations by setting clear expectations for student academics, but is inconsistent or occasionally fails to hold all students to these expectations. The leader sets expectations, but fails to empower teachers to set high expectations for student academic performance.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader does not create or support high academic expectations by accepting poor academic performance. The leader fails to set high expectations or sets unrealistic or unattainable goals. Perceptions among students, faculty, or community that academic shortcomings of student subgroups are explained by inadequacy of parent involvement, community conditions, or student apathy are not challenged by the school leader.
Leadership Evidence of profic	iency on this indicator may be	Impact Evidence of leadership	
seen in the leader's behaviors of		the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. <u>Illustrative</u>	
of such evidence may include, I following:		examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	
School Improvement Plan tar	gets meaningful growth beyond	Rewards and recognitions are	e aligned with efforts for the more
what normal variation might provide.		 difficult rather than easier outcomes. Learning goals routinely identify performance levels above the 	
 Test specification documents and state standards are used to identify levels of student performance and performance at the 		 Learning goals routinely ident targeted implementation level 	
higher levels of implementation is stressed.		Teachers can attest to the lead	
Samples of written feedback provided to teachers regarding		academic expectations.	
 student goal setting practices are focused on high expectations. Agendas/Minutes from collaborative work systems (e.g., Data 		 Students can attest to the tea expectations. 	cher's high academic
	a Communities) address processes		her's high academic expectations.
for "raising the bar."		 Other impact evidence of prof 	
Other leadership evidence of	proficiency on this indicator.	· · ·	-

Scale Levels: (choose one) Wher	e there is sufficient evid	ence to rate current proficiency	on this indicator, assign a
proficiency level by checking one of	of the four proficiency le	vels below. If not being rated at	this time, leave blank:
[] Highly Effective		[] Needs Improvement	
Evidence Log (Specifically, what	has been observed that	reflects current proficiency on th	nis indicator? The examples
above are illustrative and do not re	eflect an exclusive list of	what is expected):	

Reflection Questions for Indicator 2.3

Reflection Questions			
Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What strategies have you considered using that would increase the professional knowledge opportunities for colleagues across the school district in the area of setting high academic expectations for students?	How might you incorporate community members and other stakeholder groups into the establishment and support of high academic expectations?	What are 2-3 key strategies you have thought about using that would increase your consistency in creating and supporting high academic expectations for every student?	What might be some strategies you could use to create or support high academic expectations of students?

Indicator 2.4 – Student Performance Focus: The leader demonstrates understanding of present levels of student performance based on routine assessment processes that reflect the current reality of student proficiency on academic standards.

Narrative: Lots of talk about high expectations, goal setting, working hard, rigor, and getting results is important, but leaders need to know where students' actual performance levels are to be able to track real progress. Knowing annual test results is useful, but it is not enough. What does the leader do to know whether progress is being made or not and whether "mid-course" corrections are required?

actions or impact of leader's actions maget of leader's actions actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to his indicator exceeding the leader's actions of impact of leader's actions the actions or impact of leader's actions actions or impact of leader's actions of proficiency for other leader's this indicator are indicator. Additional are indicator are indicator. There is no or inhimal caseases are indicator are indicator. Addit proficiency on are in				
 seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Documents, charts, graphs, tables, and other forms of graphic displays reflecting students' current levels of performance are routinely used by the leader to communicate "current realities." Documents, charts, graphs, tables, and other forms of graphic displays reflect trend lines over time on student growth on learning priorities. Teacher schedule changes are based on student data. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Highly Effective [] Effective [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory 	actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. Assessment data generated at the school level provides an on- going perspective of the current reality of student proficiency on academic standards. There is evidence of decisive changes in teacher assignments and curriculum based on student and adult performance data. Case studies of effective decisions based on performance data are shared widely with other leaders and throughout the district.	this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. Each academic standard has been analyzed and translated into student-accessible language and processes for tracking student progress are in operation. Power (high priority) standards are widely shared by faculty members and are visible throughout the building. Assessments on student progress on them are a routine event. The link between standards and student performance is in evidence from the posting of proficient student work	Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Standards have been analyzed, but are not translated into student-accessible language. School level assessments are inconsistent in their alignment with the course standards. Power (high priority) standards are developed, but not widely known or used by faculty, and/or are not aligned with assessment data on student progress. Student work is posted, but does not reflect proficient work	relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. There is no or minimal coordination of assessment practices to provide on-going data about student progress toward academic standards. School level assessments are not monitored for alignment with the implementation level of the standards. No processes in use to analyze standards and identify assessment priorities. No high priority standards are identified and aligned with
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples				

Reflection Questions for Indicator 2.4

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What data other than end of	What data other than end of	What data other than end of year	What data other than end of year
year state assessments would	year state assessments would	state assessments would be	state assessments would be helpful
be helpful in understanding	be helpful in understanding	helpful in understanding student	in understanding student progress?
student progress at least every	student progress on at least a	progress on at least a semi-	
3-4 weeks?	quarterly basis?	annual basis?	

Domain 2 - Instructional Leadership

Narrative: School leaders do many things. Domain 2 of the FSLA addresses a core of leader behaviors that impact the quality of essential elements for student learning growth. The skill sets and knowledge bases employed for this domain generate 40% of the FSLA Score. The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty

<u>Proficiency Area 3</u>. <u>Instructional Plan Implementation</u>: Effective school leaders work collaboratively to develop and implement an instructional framework that aligns curriculum with state standards, effective instructional practices, student learning needs, and assessments.

development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential to student achievement.

Narrative: Proficiency Area 3 is focused on Florida Principal Leadership Standard #3 (FPLS). Aligning the key issues identified in the indicators into an efficient system is the leader's responsibility. This area stresses the leader's proficiency at understanding the current reality of what faculty and students know and can do regarding priority practices and goals.

Indicator 3.1 – FEAPs: The leader aligns the school's instructional programs and practices with the Florida Educator Accomplished Practices (Rule 6A-5.065, F.A.C.) and models use of the Florida common language of instruction to guide faculty and staff implementation of the foundational principles and practices.

Narrative: Indicator 3.1 is focused on the school leader's understanding of the Florida Educator Accomplished Practices (FEAPs) and ability to use Florida's common language of instruction. To be effective participants in school, district and statewide communities of practice working collegially for high quality implementation of the FEAPs, educators at the school level must be able to communicate and organize their efforts using the terms and concepts in the FEAPs and the Florida common language of instruction. This indicator is about the school leader's proficiency in making that happen by using a core set of expectations (the FEAPs) and terminology (the common language) to guide and focus teacher discussions on instructional improvements. Florida's common language of instruction is used so that educators in Florida use the core terms in the same way and with a common understanding.

Note: The FEAPs, a FEAPs brochure, and Florida's common language may be explored at http://www.floridaschoolleaders.org.

Highly Effective: Leader's	Effective: Leader's actions or	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory: Leader's
actions or impact of leader's actions	impact of leader's actions relevant to	Leader's actions or impact of leader's	actions or impact of leader's actions
relevant to this indicator exceed	this indicator are sufficient and	actions relevant to this indicator are	relevant to this indicator are minimal
effective levels and constitute models	appropriate reflections of quality work	evident but are inconsistent or of	or are not occurring, or are having an
of proficiency for other leaders.	with only normal variations.	insufficient scope or proficiency.	adverse impact.
The instructional program and	The leader's use of FEAPs	The leader demonstrates some	There is no or minimal evidence
practices are fully aligned with	content and terms from the	use of the FEAPs and common	that the principles and practices
the FEAPs. Faculty and staff	common language is a routine	language to focus faculty on	of the FEAPs are presented to
implementation of the FEAPs is	event and most instructional	instructional improvement, but is	the faculty as priority
consistently proficient and		inconsistent in addressing the	5 1 5

professional conversations among school leadership and	activities align with the FEAPs.	FEAPs.	expectations.	
faculty about instruction use the Florida common language of instruction and the terminology of the FEAPs. The leader's use of FEAPs and common language resources results in all educators at the school site having access to and making use of the FEAPs and common language. Teacher-leaders at the school use the FEAPs and common language.	Coordinated processes are underway that link progress on student learning growth with proficient FEAPs implementation. The leader's use of FEAPs and common language resources results in most faculty at the school site having access to and making use of the FEAPs and common language. The leader uses the common language to enable faculty to recognize connections between	The leader's use of FEAPs and common language resources results in some faculty at the school site having access to and making use of the FEAPs and common language. There are gaps in alignment of ongoing instructional practices at the school site with the FEAPs. There is some correct use of terms in the common language but errors or omissions are evident.	The leader does not give evidence of being conversant with the FEAPs or the common language. The leader's use of FEAPs and common language resources results in few faculty at the school site having access to and making use of the FEAPs and common language.	
	the FEAPs, the district's			
	evaluation indicators, and contemporary research on			
	effective instructional practice.			
Leadership Evidence of profic		Impact Evidence of leadership		
	seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the		behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students, and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may	
following:		include, but are not limited to the following:		
 The leader's documents, agendas, memorandum, etc. make reference to the content of the FEAPs and make correct use of the common language. School improvement documents reflect concepts from the FEAPs and common language. The leader can articulate the instructional practices set forth in the FEAPs. Faculty meetings focus on issues related to the FEAPs. The leader's monitoring practices result in written feedback to faculty on quality of alignment of instructional practice with the FEAPs. The leader's communications to parents and other stakeholders reflect use of FEAPs and common language references. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		 Teachers are conversant with the content of the FEAPs. Teachers can describe their primary instructional practices using the terms and concepts in the FEAPs. Teachers use the common language and attribute their use to the leader providing access to the online resources. School level support programs for new hires include training on the FEAPs. FEAPs brochures and excerpts from the common language are readily accessible to faculty. Faculty members are able to connect indicators in the district's instructional evaluation system with the FEAPs. Sub-ordinate leaders (e.g. teacher leaders, assistant principals) use FEAPs and common language terms accurately in their communications. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator, assign a 		
		ence to rate current pronciency rels below. If not being rated a		
[] Highly Effective [] Effective [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory				
	what has been observed that not reflect an exclusive list of	reflects current proficiency on what is expected):	this indicator? The examples	
Enter data here:				

Reflection Questions for Indicator 3.1

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How are you able to provide	How do you recognize	Do you review the FEAPs and/or	Do you know where to find the text
specific feedback to teachers	practices reflected in the	common language resources	of the FEAPs and common
on improving proficiency in the	FEAPs and/or common	frequently enough to be able to	language?
FEAPs and/or common	language as you conduct	recall the main practices and	
language?	teacher observations?	principles contained in them?	

Indicator 3.2 – Standards-Based Instruction: The leader delivers an instructional program that implements the state's adopted academic standards (Common Core and NGSSS) in a manner that is rigorous and culturally relevant to the students by:

- aligning academic standards, effective instruction and leadership, and student performance practices with system objectives, improvement planning, faculty proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals, and
- communicating to faculty the cause and effect relationship between effective instruction on academic standards and student performance.

Narrative: Florida's plan of action for educating our children for the 21st century is based on standards-based instruction. Course descriptions specify the standards that are to be learned in each course. All of the course content in courses for which students receive credit toward promotion/graduation is expected to be focused on the standards in the course description. This indicator addresses the leader's proficiency at making sure all students receive rigorous, culturally relevant standards-based instruction by aligning key practices with the state's academic standards (Common Core, NGSSS, Access Points). The leader does what is necessary to make sure faculty recognize and act on the cause and effect relationship between good instruction (i.e., research-based strategies, rigorous, culturally relevant,) on the "right stuff" (the state standards adapted based on data about student needs).

Note: Course descriptions and the standards for each course may be explored at www.floridastandards.org.

seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples	behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or		
of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the	community. Illustrative examples of such evidence may		
following:	include, but are not limited to the following:		
 The leader's faculty, department, grade-level meeting agendas, minutes, and other documents focus on the alignment of curriculum and instruction with state standards. School Improvement Plan goals and actions are linked to targeted academic standards. The leader's presentations to faculty on proficiency expectations include illustrations of what "rigor" and "culturally relevant" mean. Monitoring documents indicate frequent review of research-based instructional practices regarding alignment, rigor and cultural relevance. Results of monitoring on research-based instruction are used to increase alignment to standards, rigor, and/ or cultural relevance. School's financial documents reflect expenditures supporting standards-based instruction, rigor, and/or cultural relevance. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Faculty members routinely access or provide evidence of using content from <u>www.floridastandards.org</u> Faculty has and makes use of the list of standards associated with their course(s). Activities and assignments are aligned with standards applicable to the course and those connections are conveyed to students. Teachers can describe a school wide "plan of action" that aligns curriculum and standards and provide examples of how they implement that plan in their courses. Teachers attest to the leader's efforts to preserve instructional time for standards-based instruction. Teachers attest to the leader's frequent monitoring of research-based instructional practices and application of those practices in pursuit of student progress on the course standards. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide	ence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a		
proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency lev			
	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory		
	reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples		
above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	what is expected):		
Enter data here:			

Reflection Questions for Indicator 3.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What procedures might you establish to increase your ability to help your colleagues lead the implementation of the district's curriculum to provide instruction that is standards- based, rigorous, and culturally relevant? What can you share about your leadership actions to ensure that staff members have adequate time and support, and effective monitoring and feedback on proficiency in use of research-based instruction focused on the standards?	In what ways can you offer professional learning for individual and collegial groups within the school or district that illustrate how to provide rigor and cultural relevance when delivering instruction on the standards? How do you engage teachers in deliberate practice focused on mastery of standards-based instruction?	What might be 2-3 key leadership strategies that would help you to systematically act on the belief that all students can learn at high levels? How can your leadership in curriculum and instruction convey respect for the diversity of students and staff? How might you increase the consistency with which you monitor and support staff to effectively use research-based instruction to meet the learning needs of all students?	Where do you go to find out what standards are to be addressed in each course? How might you open up opportunities for all students to meet high expectations through your leadership in curriculum and instruction? Do you have processes to monitor how students spend their learning time? In what ways are you monitoring teacher implementation of effective, research-based instruction? In what ways are you monitoring

	What are ways you can ensure that staff members are aligning their instructional practices with state standards?	teacher instruction in the state's academic standards?
--	---	--

Indicator 3.3 – Learning Goals Alignments: The leader implements recurring monitoring and feedback processes to insure that priority learning goals established for students are based on the state's adopted student academic standards as defined in state course descriptions, presented in student accessible forms, and accompanied by scales or rubric to guide tracking progress toward student mastery.

Narrative: "Learning goals" is a high-effect size strategy that uses scales or progressive levels to monitor student growth on the way to mastery of a state academic standard. Learning goals typically take 2-9 weeks of student time to master so are more comprehensive than daily objectives. The essential issue is that the teacher creates "scales" or levels of progress toward mastery of the learning goal. Teacher and students use those scales to track progress toward mastery of the goal(s). This indicator addresses the leader's proficiency at monitoring and providing feedback on teacher and student use of priority learning goals with scales. The leader is expected to go beyond low levels of monitoring that address whether the teacher provides such goals and attends to the levels of student understanding and engagement with the learning goals. Do the students pursue those goals? Do they track their own progress? Is celebrations of success on learning goals focused on how success was achieved more than that is was obtained?

Note: Beginning in the 2012-13 school year, professional learning about learning goals and sample learning goals may be explored at <u>www.floridastandards.org</u>, <u>www.floridaschoolleaders.org</u>, and <u>www.startwithsuccess.org</u>.

Highly Effective: Leader's	Effective: Leader's actions or	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory: Leader's
actions or impact of leader's actions	impact of leader's actions relevant to	Leader's actions or impact of leader's	actions or impact of leader's actions
relevant to this indicator exceed	this indicator are sufficient and	actions relevant to this indicator are	relevant to this indicator are minimal
effective levels and constitute models	appropriate reflections of quality work	evident but are inconsistent or of	or are not occurring, or are having an
of proficiency for other leaders.	with only normal variations.	insufficient scope or proficiency.	adverse impact.
Recurring leadership	Clearly stated learning goals	Specific and measurable	Clearly stated priority learning
involvement in the improvement	accompanied by a scale or rubric	learning goals with progress	goals accompanied by a scale or
in quality of daily classroom	that describes measurable levels	scales, aligned to the state's	rubric that describes levels of
practice is evident and is focused	of performance, aligned to the	adopted student academic	performance relative to the
on student progress on priority	state's adopted student	standards in the course	learning goal are <u>not</u>
learning goals.	academic standards, is an	description, are in use in some	systematically provided across
Deutine and according and these	instructional strategy in routine	but not most of the courses.	the curriculum to guide student
Routine and recurring practices	use in courses school wide.		learning, or learning goals,
are evident that support		Learning goals are	where provided, are not aligned
celebration of student success in	Standards-based instruction is	posted/provided in some classes	to state standards in the course
accomplishing priority learning	an evident priority in the school	are not current, do not relate to	description.
goals and such celebrations	and student results on	the students current assignments	'
focus on how the success was	incremental measures of	and/or activities, or are not	The leader engages in minimal
obtained.	success, like progress on	recognized by the students as	to non-existent monitoring and
The leader routinely charge	learning goals, are routinely	priorities for their own effort.	feedback practices on the quality
The leader routinely shares	monitored and acknowledged.		and timeliness of information
examples of effective learning		Learning goals tend to be	provided to students on what
goals that are associated with	The formats or templates used to	expressed at levels of text	they are expected to know and
improved student achievement.	express learning goals and	complexity not accessible by the	be able to do (i.e. no alignment
Other leaders credit this leader	scales are adapted to support	targeted students and/or at	of learning goals with state
with sharing ideas, coaching,	the complexity of the	levels of complexity too	standards for the course).
and providing technical	expectations and the learning	simplified to promote mastery of	
assistance to implement	needs of the students.	the associated standards.	There are minimal or no
	Clearly stated learning goals	Processes that enable students	leadership practices to monitor
successful use of leaning goals	Clearly stated learning goals		faculty practices on tracking
in standards-based instruction.	aligned to state or district	and teachers to track progress	student progress on priority
	initiatives in support of student	toward mastery of priority	learning goals.
	reading skills are in use school	learning goals are not widely	

wide.	implemented throughout the
	school.
 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative exam</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Agendas, meeting minutes, and memoranda to the faculty revident a focus on importance of learning goals with scales engage students in focusing on what they are to understand be able to do. The leader's practices on teacher observation and feedback routinely address learning goals and tracking student progre The leader provides coaching or other assistance to teacher 	be Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: make to d and a d and sets. • Clearly stated learning goals accompanied by a scale or rubric that describes levels of performance relative to the learning goal are posted or easily assessable to students. • Teams or departments meet regularly to discuss the quality of learning goals with scales being employed and adapt them based on student success rates.
 struggling with use of the learning goals strategy. Procedures are in place to monitor and promote faculty coll discussion on the implementation levels of learning goals to promote alignment with the implementation level of the associated state standards. Leader's communications to students provide evidence of support of students making progress on learning goals. Progress monitoring of adult and student performance on targeted priority learning goals is documented, charted, and posted in high traffic areas of the school. Evidence of the leader's intervention(s) with teachers who const provide learning goals that increase students' opportuni for success. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 the targeted learning goals for the students. Students are able to express their learning goals during walkthroughs or classroom observations. Students are able to explain the relationship between current activities and assignments and priory learning goals. Lesson study groups and other collegial learning teams routinely discuss learning goals and scales for progression Methods of both teachers and students tracking student progress toward learning goals are evident. Celebrations of student success include reflections by teachers and students on the reasons for the success Teachers can identify the learning goals that result in the high levels of student learning. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator
	t evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a hcy levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory
	I that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples
Enter data here:	

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What specific strategies have you employed to measure improvements in teaching and innovations in use of learning goals and how can you use such measures as predictors of improved student achievement?	What system supports are in place to ensure that the best ideas and thinking on learning goals are shared with colleagues and are a priority of collegial professional learning?	To what extent do learning goals presented to the students reflect a clear relationship between the course standards and the assignments and activities students are given?	What have I done to deepen my understanding of the connection between the instructional strategies of learning goals and tracking student progress?

Indicator 3.4 – Curriculum Alignments: Systemic processes are implemented to ensure alignment of curriculum resources with state standards for the courses taught.

Narrative: Academic standards are determined at the state level and the curriculum used to enable students to master those standards is determined at the district and school level. Curriculum must be aligned with the standards if it is to support standards-based instruction. Curriculum resources may or may not be fully aligned with the standards assigned to a specific course. The learning needs of students in specific classes may require additional or adapted curriculum materials to address issues of rigor, cultural relevance, or support for needed learning goals. School leaders maintain processes to monitor the appropriateness and alignment of curriculum to standards and intervene to make adjustments as needed to enable students to access curriculum that supports the standards.

Note: Where gaps or misalignments are noted by the processes addressed in this indicator, the leader's actions relevant to Indicator 8.2 (Strategic Instructional Resourcing) should be addressed.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader routinely engages faculty in processes to improve the quality of curriculum resources in regard to their alignment with standards and impact on student achievement and supports replacing resources as more effective ones are available. The leader is proactive in engaging other school leaders in sharing feedback on identification and effective use of curriculum resources that are associated with improved student achievement. Parents and community members credit this leader with sharing ideas or curriculum supports that enable home and community to support student mastery of priority standards.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. Specific and recurring procedures are in place to monitor the quality of alignment between curriculum resources and standards. Procedures under the control of the leader for acquiring new curriculum resources include assessment of alignment with standards. Curriculum resources aligned to state standards by resource publishers/developers are used school wide to focus instruction on state standards, and state, district, or school supplementary materials are routinely used that identify and fill gaps, and align instruction with the implementation level of the standards.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Processes to monitor alignment of curriculum resources with standards in the course descriptions are untimely or not comprehensive across the curriculum. Efforts to align curriculum with standards are emerging but have not yet resulted in improved student achievement. Curriculum resources aligned to state standards by text publishers/developers are used school wide to focus instruction on state standards, but there is no to minimal use of state, district, or school supplementary materials that identify and fill gaps, and align instruction with the implementation level of the standards.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. There are no or minimal processes managed by the leader to verify that curriculum resources are aligned with the standards in the course descriptions.
 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Curriculum is presented to faculty and students as the content reflected in course descriptions rather than the content in a textbook. School procedures for acquisition of instructional materials include assessment of their usefulness in helping students' master state standards and include processes to address gaps 		course description.Students are able to characte	lty, staff, students and/or s of such evidence may e following:

•	or misalignments. Course descriptions play a larger role in focusing course content than do test item specification documents. Agendas, meeting minutes, and memoranda to the faculty make evident a focus on importance of curriculum being a vehicle for enabling students to master standards in the course description. Media center acquisitions reflect a systematic effort to build curriculum supports that support student mastery of content standards at various levels of implementation. NGSSS and Common Core standards are routinely used to frame discussions on the quality and sufficiency of curriculum support materials. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator.	 Pacing guides focus assignments and activities planned for students on learning goals and state standards rather than coverage of chapters in a text. Documents can be presented that inform of the alignment between curriculum resources and standards for the course. Teachers can identify supplementary material used to deepen student mastery of standards. Parent feedback/questionnaire results indicate recognition that the school is focused on standards-based instruction rather than covering topics or chapters. Student feedback/questionnaire results indicate recognition that the curriculum is focused on what students are to understand and be able to do. Results on student growth measures show steady improvements in student learning. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
pro	ficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency lev	ence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a rels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory
Evi		reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples
Ent	er data here:	

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What system is in place to ensure that your best ideas and thinking on using curriculum to enable students to master standards are shared with colleagues, particularly when there is evidence at your school of improved student achievement?	What specific school improvement strategies have you employed to measure improvements in teaching and innovations in curriculum that serve as predictors of improved student achievement?	How can you monitor whether the activities and assignments student get that involve use of curriculum resources are aligned with learning goals and standards?	Do you know which standards are addressed in your curriculum?

Indicator 3.5 – Quality Assessments: The leader ensures the appropriate use of high quality formative and interim assessments aligned with the adopted standards and curricula.

Narrative: How do we know what our students already know, what they need to know, and how they are doing as we move forward with instruction? The school leader needs "assessment literacy" to address these questions. Where indicator 1.2 addresses the leader's proficiency in use of student performance data, this indicator focuses on actions taken at the school site to generate interim assessment data and make sure faculty use formative assessment practices to monitor and adjust instruction. Assessment of student progress toward academic standards is an important aspect of tracking student progress. Leaders need to make use of data on interim and formative assessments to guide goal setting and progress monitoring. They need to provide teachers access to quality assessments and promote teacher use of formative assessments as a routine strategy. The leader needs on-going assessment data to inform a variety of decisions regarding such issues as resource allocations, student and teacher schedules, professional learning impacts, and adjustments in plans.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader uses a variety of creative ways to provide professional learning for individual and collegial groups within the district focused on applying the knowledge and skills of assessment literacy, data analysis, and the use of state, district, school, and classroom assessment data to improve student achievement. Formative assessments are part of the school culture and interim assessment data is routinely used to review and adapt plans and priorities.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader systematically seeks, synthesizes, and applies knowledge and skills of assessment literacy and data analysis. The leader routinely shares knowledge with staff to increase students' achievement. Formative assessment practices are employed routinely as part of the instructional program. The leader uses state, district, school, and classroom assessment data to make specific and observable changes in teaching, curriculum, and leadership decisions. These specific and observable changes result in increased achievement for students.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader haphazardly applies rudimentary knowledge and skills of assessment literacy and is unsure of how to build knowledge and develop skills of assessment literacy and data analysis. The leader inconsistently shares knowledge with staff to increase student achievement. There is inconsistency in how assessment data are used to change schedules, instruction, curriculum, or leadership. There is rudimentary use of assessment data from state, district, school, and classroom.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader has little knowledge and/or skills of assessment literacy and data analysis. There is little or no evidence of interaction with staff concerning assessments. The leader is indifferent to data and does not use data to change schedules, instruction, curriculum or leadership. Student achievement remains unchanged or declines. The leader does not use assessment data from state, district, school, and classroom.
 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Documents for faculty use that set clear expectations for the use of formative assessments to monitor student progress on mastering course standards Samples of written feedback provided to teachers regarding effective assessment practices. Collaborative work systems' (e.g., data teams, professional learning communities) agendas and minutes reflect recurring 		 standards of the course. Teachers attest to the leader' skills of effective assessment 	y and staff. <u>Illustrative</u> y include, but are not limited to ctions with the leader where is are promoted. ocused on student progress on the s efforts to apply knowledge and

 engagements with interim and formative assessment data. Faculty meeting agendas and minutes reflect attention to formative and interim assessment processes. Classroom walkthrough data reveals routine use of formative assessment practices in the classrooms. Assessment rubrics are being used by the school. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 course standard. Teachers attest to the leader's frequent monitoring of assessment practices. Student folders and progress tracking records reflect use of formative data. Documents are in use that informs teachers of the alignment between standards and assessments. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 			
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide				
proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency lev	els below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank:			
[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory			
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):				
Enter data here:				

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How might you engage other school leaders in sharing quality examples of formative assessment and use of interim assessment data? What procedures might you establish to increase your ability to help your colleagues provide professional learning for individual and collegial groups within the district focused on applying the knowledge and skills of assessment literacy, data analysis, and the use of state, district, school, and classroom assessment data to improve student achievement?	How might you engage teacher leaders in sharing quality examples of formative assessment practices with other faculty? How can you provide ongoing professional learning for individual and collegial groups within the district focused on applying the knowledge and skills of assessment literacy, data analysis, and the use of state, district, school, and classroom assessment data to improve student achievement?	How are you systematically seeking, synthesizing, and applying knowledge and skills of assessment literacy and data analysis? In what ways are you sharing your knowledge with staff to increase all students' achievement? In what ways are you using state, district, school, and classroom assessment data to make specific and observable changes in teaching, curriculum, and leadership decisions to increase student achievement?	How are you expanding your knowledge and/or skills of assessment literacy and data analysis? What strategies have you considered that would increase your interaction with staff concerning assessments? How are you using your knowledge and skills of assessment literacy to change schedules, instruction, and curriculum or leadership practices to increase student achievement?

Indicator 3.6 – Faculty Effectiveness: The leader monitors the effectiveness of classroom teachers and uses contemporary research and the district's instructional evaluation system criteria and procedures to improve student achievement and faculty proficiency on the FEAPs.

Narrative: School leaders are responsible for monitoring the effectiveness of classroom teachers. This indicator addresses the proficiency and focus of the leader's monitoring processes to maintain awareness of faculty effectiveness and the use of monitoring data to improve student and faculty performance. The focus here is on monitoring teacher use of strategies supported by contemporary research, teacher proficiency on issues contained in the district's teacher evaluation system, what teachers do to improve student achievement, and faculty proficiency on the FEAPs.

Note: Indicator 3.1 is focused on the leader's grasp of the FEAPs whereas this indicator focuses on monitoring the faculties' grasp of the FEAPs. Indicator 4.2 is focused on the leader's use of monitoring data to provide timely feedback.

Rubric

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader's monitoring process generates a shared vision with the faculty of high expectations for faculty proficiency in the FEAPs, research-based instructional strategies, and the indicators in the teacher evaluation system. The leader shares productive monitoring methods with other school leaders to support district wide improvements.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations The leader's effectiveness monitoring process provides the leader and leadership team with a realistic overview of the current reality of faculty effectiveness on the FEAPs, the indicators in the teacher evaluation system, and research-based instructional strategies. The leader's monitoring practices are consistently implemented in a supportive and constructive	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency The district teacher evaluation system is being implemented but the process is focused on procedural compliance rather than improving faculty proficiency on instructional strategies that impact student achievement. The manner in which monitoring is conducted is not generally perceived by faculty as supportive of their professional	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. Monitoring does not comply with the minimum requirements of the district teacher evaluation system. Monitoring is not focused on teacher proficiency in research- based strategies and the FEAPs.
manner. Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the		improvement. Impact Evidence of leadership behaviors or actions of the facu community. Illustrative example	lty, staff, students and/or s of such evidence may
 of faculty. Records or notes indicatinformal observations. Data from classroom wa effect size strategies and Notes and memorandun regarding feedback on full 	 include, but are not limited to the following: classroom observation document monitoring The teachers document that the leader initiated professional development focused on issues are faculty effectiveness monitoring. Teacher-leader meeting agendas or memorand follow-up actions based on feedback from lead monitoring on FEAPs, teacher evaluation indicates issues and research-based Lesson study, PLC, or teacher team work is ini address issues arising from monitoring process Teachers can describe the high-effect size inst 		that the leader initiated nt focused on issues arising from initoring. agendas or memoranda reflect on feedback from leadership eacher evaluation indicators, or es. eacher team work is initiated to rom monitoring process.

 Agendas for meetings address faculty proficiency issues arising from the monitoring process. The leader meets with teachers to provide feedback on their growth in proficiency on instructional strategies. Leadership team agendas or memoranda focused on issues arising from monitoring. Principal's resource allocation actions are adjusted based on monitoring data. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 strategies employed across the grades and curriculum and how they are adapted in the teacher's classroom to meet student needs. Data and feedback from school leader(s) generated from walkthroughs and observations are used by teachers to revise instructional practices. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 			
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide	ence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a			
proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency level				
[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory			
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that	reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples			
above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):				
	what is expected).			
Enter data here:				

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How do you convey to highly effective teachers specific	How do you improve your conferencing skills so your	How do you restructure your use of time so that you spend enough	How do you improve your own grasp of what the FEAPs require so
feedback that would move	feedback to teachers is both	time on monitoring the proficiency	that your monitoring has a useful
them toward even higher levels	specific enough to be helpful	of instructional practices and	focus?
of proficiency?	and perceived as support rather than negative criticism?	giving feedback to be an effective support for the faculty?	
How do you engage highly			
effective teachers in sharing a vision of high quality teaching			
with their colleagues so that			
there is no plateau of "good			
enough"?			

Proficiency Area 4: <u>Faculty Development</u>: Effective school leaders recruit, retain, and develop an effective and diverse faculty and staff; focus on evidence, research, and classroom realities faced by teachers; link professional practice with student achievement to demonstrate the cause and effect relationship; facilitate effective professional development; monitor implementation of critical initiatives; and provide timely feedback to teachers so that feedback can be used to increase teacher professional practice.

Narrative: This proficiency area is aligned with FPLS standard 4. It moves the focus from "what is the current reality" of faculty proficiency to continuous progress toward what the faculty can achieve with effort and focus.

Indicator 4.1 – Recruitment and Retention: The leader employs a faculty with the instructional proficiencies needed for the school population served.

Narrative: The focus of this indicator is on the leader's actions to staff the school with the best faculty possible for the needs of the school population. It addresses actions taken to anticipate staffing needs, seek out quality applicants, and efforts to retain quality staff once on the faculty.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader tracks the success of her or his recruitment and hiring strategies, learns from past experience, and revisits the process annually to continually improve the process. The leader engages in a variety of traditional and non-traditional recruitment strategies and then prioritizes based on where they find their most effective teachers. Effective recruiting and hiring practices are frequently shared with other administrators and colleagues throughout the system.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader works collaboratively with the staff in the human resources office to define the ideal teacher based upon the school population served. The leader is sensitive to the various legal guidelines about the kind of data that can be sought in interviews. A hiring selection tool that helps interviewers focus on key instructional proficiencies that are aligned with the teacher evaluation criteria is developed and effectively utilized. A hiring process is clearly communicated including how staff is involved.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader relies on the district office to post notices of vacancies and identify potential applicants. Efforts to identify replacements tend to be slow and come after other schools have made selections. Interview processes are disorganized, not focused on the schools needs, and do not improve from year to year.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader approaches the recruitment and hiring process from a reactive rather than a proactive standpoint. Consequently, the process may not be well thought out, is disjointed, and not aligned with key success criteria embedded within the teacher evaluation documents essential to organizational success. No coherent plan or process is employed to encourage quality staff to remain on the faculty.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: The leader maintains an updated assessment of the instructional capacities needed to improve faculty effectiveness		Impact Evidence of leadership behaviors or status of the facult <u>examples of such evidence may</u> the following: • Teachers can describe a hirin specific focus on essential ins	y and staff. <u>Illustrative</u> y include, but are not limited to

 and uses that assessment in filling vacancies. Samples of hiring documents (e.g., posting notices, interview questions with look/listen fors) that identify highly desirable instructional proficiencies needed in teacher applicants. Documentation that the recruitment and select process is subjected to an in-depth review and evaluation for continuous improvement purposes. The leader has an established record of retaining effective and highly effective teachers on the staff. The leader has a systematic process for selecting new hires and reviews that process for its impact on faculty effectiveness. Programs for new and transfer teachers that promote adjustment to the school culture and instructional responsibilities is provided. Evidence that the leader has shared successful hiring practices with other administrators and colleagues within the district. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 the school population served. Teachers confirm that a critical part of the hiring process includes an evaluation of the effectiveness of the process. Teacher leaders are involved in monitoring staffing needs and providing input to the leader. Teachers new to the school can describe effective induction processes that had a positive impact on their adjustment to the school. Teacher leaders (e.g. department heads, team leaders) can describe the instructional capacities needed in finding candidates to fill vacancies on the faculty. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency lev	
	reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples
Enter data here:	

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What can be done to encourage quality teachers to stay with your school and quality applicants to seek to join the faculty?	What connections do you have to reach potential applicants other that the districts personnel office?	Have you gathered data about why teachers choose to leave your faculty? What strategies have you employed to meet the learning needs of your faculty, from novice to veteran to expert?	At what point in the school year do you check on staff retention and estimate future staffing needs? In what ways are professional learning opportunities linked to individual faculty needs?

Indicator 4.2 – Feedback Practices: The leader monitors, evaluates proficiency, and provides timely and actionable feedback to faculty on the effectiveness of instruction on priority instructional goals and the cause and effect relationships between professional practice and student achievement on those goals.

Narrative: Where indicator 3.6 focuses on monitoring to maintain awareness of faculty effectiveness, this indicator focuses on the use of the monitoring process to provide quality and timely feedback to teachers. The feedback processes need to deepen teacher understanding of the impact of their practices on student learning.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader uses a variety of creative ways to provide positive and corrective feedback. The entire organization reflects the leader's focus on accurate, timely, and specific recognition of proficiency and improvement in proficiency. The focus and specificity of feedback creates a clear vision of what the priority instructional goals are for the school and the cause and effective relationship between practice and student achievement on those priority goals. The leader balances individual recognition with team and organization-wide recognition.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader provides formal feedback consistent with the district personnel policies, and provides informal feedback to reinforce proficient performance and highlight the strengths of colleagues and staff. The leader has effectively implemented a system for collecting feedback from teachers as to what they know, what they understand, where they make errors, and when they have misconceptions about use of instructional practices. Corrective and positive feedback is linked to organizational goals and both the leader and employees can cite examples of where feedback is used to improve individual and organizational performance.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader adheres to the personnel policies in providing formal feedback, although the feedback is just beginning to provide details that improve teaching or organizational performance, or there are faculty to whom feedback Is not timely or not focused on priority improvement needs. The leader tends to view feedback as a linear process; something they provide teachers rather than a collegial exchange of perspectives on proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. There is no or only minimal monitoring that results in feedback on proficiency. Formal feedback, when provided, is nonspecific. Informal feedback is rare, nonspecific, and not constructive.
 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Rubrics that distinguish among proficiency levels on evaluation indicators are used by the leader to focus feedback needed improvements in instructional practice. Samples of written feedback provided teachers regarding prioritized instructional practices. Documentation of an instructional monitoring schedule that supports frequent instructional monitoring by the school's administrative staff. The leader implements a schedule that results in frequent 		 observations. Teachers report recognition a individuals. Teachers describe feedback f recognizing instructional strer teaching to a new level. Teachers report that leader used the strest rest of the strest	y and staff <u>. Illustrative</u> y include, but are not limited to rly scheduled formal and informal s team members and as

		1		
	walkthroughs and observation of teaching and learning		feedback.	
•	School improvement plan reflects monitoring data analyses.	•	Feedback to teachers, over the co	ourse of the year, is based on
•	Evidence the leader has a system for securing feedback from		multiple sources of information (e.	.g. observations, walkthroughs,
	teachers specific to prioritized instructional practices.		videos, self-reflections, lesson stu	
•	The leader's use of time results in at least 2 work days a week		and from more than one person.	
	spent on monitoring instructional issues (i.e. "watching the	•	Teacher leaders have opportunitie	
	game") and providing specific and actionable feedback on		teaching practices and provide fee	edback.
	instructional practices.	•	Feedback and evaluation data is u	used by teachers to formulate
•	The leader provides feedback that describes ways to enhance		growth plans.	
	performance and reach the next level of proficiency.	•	Other impact evidence of proficier	ncy on this indicator.
•	Feedback reflects judgment on proficiency, not just a "yes-no"			
	checklist approach.			
•	Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator.			
Sca	le Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide	ence	to rate current proficiency on	this indicator, assign a
	iciency level by checking one of the four proficiency lev			
	[] Highly Effective [] Effective		Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory
	dence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that		1 5	indicator? The examples
abo	ve are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	what	is expected):	
Ent	er data here:			
LIIU				

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How frequently do teachers recognize that your feedback is directly linked to improving both their personal performance and that of the school? What might you do to ensure that they see this important connection?	What are some examples of focused, constructive, and meaningful feedback that you provide to your staff? How does this support their learning?	In what ways do you currently recognize faculty in providing feedback and affirmation to them? To what extent do you acknowledge the efforts of teams, as well as that of individuals?	How can frequent, focused, and constructive feedback support teachers in improving their instructional practice?

Indicator 4.3 – High effect size strategies: Instructional personnel receive recurring feedback on their proficiency on high effect size strategies.

Narrative: Teaching is a complex process. The "right thing to do" varies with conditions in the classroom. However, teachers need proficiency on a core repertoire of high importance strategies. These are strategies all teachers are expected to be able to use effectively. This indicator is focused on the leader's proficiency in focusing faculty attention on improvement of those "high effect size" strategies – those with higher probabilities of causing student growth when done correctly and in appropriate circumstances.

Note: Department lists of high-effect size strategies are posted at www.fldoe.org and www.floridaschoolleaders.org

Rating	Rubric
runng	1 COLING

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader uses a variety of creative ways to provide positive and corrective feedback on the implementation of high effect size strategies. As a result, the correct and appropriate implementation of high effect size instructional strategies across the curriculum and grades is a routine part of the learning environment for all students. The entire organization reflects the leader's focus on accurate, timely, and specific recognition of correct and appropriate implementation of high effect size strategies. The leader balances individual recognition on high effect size strategies with team and organization-wide recognition.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. In addition to the formal feedback consistent with the district evaluation system indictors, the leader provides recurring informal feedback on high effect size strategies to reinforce proficient performance and highlight the strengths of colleagues and staff. The leader has effectively implemented a system for collecting feedback from teachers as to what they know, what they understand, where they make errors, and when they have misconceptions about use of high effect size strategies. Corrective and positive feedback on high effect size strategies is linked to organizational goals. Both the leader and employees can cite examples of where feedback on high effect size strategies is used to improve individual and organizational performance.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader adheres to the district evaluation system requirements for providing formal feedback on high effect size strategies, but the feedback is general rather than providing details that improve teaching or organizational performance related to high effect size strategies. The leader tends to view feedback as a linear process; something they provide teachers rather than two way communications where the leader also learns from the teachers' expertise.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader is not aware of the high effect size strategies expected to be used in district schools or fails to communicate them to faculty. Feedback on high effect size strategies is rare, nonspecific, and not constructive.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leadership behaviors or status of the facult <u>examples</u> of such evidence may the following:	y and staff. <u>Illustrative</u> y include, but are not limited to
Professional learning support strategies are readily availabl		 Teachers can attest to regula observations with feedback or 	rly scheduled formal and informal n high effect strategies.

• Samples of written feedback provided teachers high effect size	Teachers report recognition as team members and as		
instructional strategies.	individuals for quality work on high effect strategies.		
 Walkthrough and observation practices are designed to 	 Teachers describe feedback from the leader in terms of 		
emphasize feedback on use of high effective size strategies.	recognizing instructional strengths and suggestions to take their		
 School improvement plan includes actions to improve 	teaching to a new level.		
proficiency in high effect size strategies.	 Teachers report that leader uses a combination of classroom 		
• Evidence the leader has a system for securing specific feedback	observation and teacher-self assessment data as part of the		
from teachers on their implementation of high effect size	feedback on high effect size strategies.		
strategies correctly and in appropriate circumstances.	 High effect size strategies provided through various state and 		
Documentation of an instructional monitoring schedule that	district initiatives are employed by teachers to whom the		
supports frequent (every other week) instructional monitoring of	initiatives apply.		
high effect size strategies.	 Departments routinely discuss their capacity to implement the 		
• The leader provides feedback that describes ways to enhance	high effect strategies applicable to their subject area.		
performance on high effect size strategies and reach the next	Teachers are afforded opportunities to observe mentor teachers		
level on same.	using the high effect size strategies.		
• The leader manages schedules that enable teachers to make	 Lesson study teams use the process to improve application of 		
observational rounds or view video examples of other teachers	high effect strategies to the content of targeted lessons.		
using the high effect size strategies.	Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.		
• Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator.			
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evid	ence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a		
proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency le			
[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory		
	reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples		
above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	what is expected):		
Enter data here:			

Reflection Questions				
Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
How frequently do teachers recognize that your feedback is directly linked to improving both their personal performance on high effect size strategies and as well as the organizational performance? What might you do to ensure that they see this important connection?	What are some examples of focused, constructive, and meaningful feedback on high effect size strategies that you provide to your staff? How does this support their learning?	In what ways do you currently recognize faculty in providing feedback and affirmation to them on high effect size strategies? To what extent do you acknowledge the efforts of teams, as well as that of individuals?	How can frequent, focused, and constructive feedback support teachers in improving their instructional practice?	

Indicator 4.4 - <u>Instructional Initiatives</u>: District supported state initiatives focused on student growth are supported by the leader with specific and observable actions including monitoring of implementation and measurement of progress toward initiative goals and professional learning to improve faculty capacity to implement the initiatives.

Narrative: The Department of Education and/or district-supported initiatives focused on improving student performance require school leader support to be successful at the school site. This indicator addresses the leader's proficiency in supporting such initiatives. Indicator 4.4 also focuses on professional learning needed to implement priority initiatives.

Note: District and FLDOE websites provide support and information about priority initiatives.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. All initiatives are implemented across the grades and subjects as appropriate with full fidelity to the components of each	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. Most of the district and state initiatives are implemented across the grades and subjects as appropriate with full fidelity to	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Some initiatives are implemented across the some of the grades and subjects as appropriate with work in progress to implement	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. District and state supported initiatives are not supported by the leader with any specific plans, actions, feedback or
initiative. The leader monitors teachers' implementation of the initiative, tracks the impact of the initiative on student growth, and shares effective practices and impacts with other school leaders.	the components of each initiative. The leader is conversant with the impact the initiative is expected to have and monitors teacher and student implementation of the elements of the initiative.	the components of each initiative. The leader relies on teachers to implement the initiatives and is seldom involved in monitoring or providing feedback on the impact of the initiative's implementation on student growth.	monitoring. The leader is unaware of what state and district initiatives are expected to be implemented at the school.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or	
of such evidence may include, b		community. Illustrative examples of such evidence may	
following:		include, but are not limited to the following:	
 The initiatives being pursued are explicitly identified and access to supporting resources is provided. Leader's agendas, memoranda, etc. reflect presentations to faculty on the targeted initiatives. A Multi-tiered System of Supports (MTSS) and Response to Intervention (Rti) is fully implemented and the leader monitors regularly to sustain implementation. The leader monitors practices in areas where subject specific strategies are expected and provides feedback on the effective sue of such strategies (e.g. ESOL strategies) Reading Strategies from Just Read, Floridal are implemented. The leader can identify all of the initiatives in use and describe how progress is monitored for each. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		implementing the initiatives are logy supports that deepened is are used by faculty. isources aligned with the initiatives fulty, in professional development and implemented the strategies	

		t evidence to rate current proficient acy levels below. If not being rated	
[] Highly Effective		[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory
0 1 5		that reflects current proficiency or	n this indicator? The examples
above are illustrative and do n	ot reflect an exclusive l	list of what is expected):	
Enter data here:			

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How do you engage your faculty in communities of practice where practices related to the initiatives are shared with faculty in other schools or districts?	How do you use monitoring of these initiatives to identify faculty professional development needs that, if addressed, would improve the quality of implementation?	How do you communicate with district and state resources to learn more about what these initiatives can contribute to my school?	How do you find out what initiatives should be implemented?

Indicator 4.5 – Facilitating and Leading Professional Learning: The leader manages the organization, operations, and facilities to provide the faculty with quality resources and time for professional learning and promotes, participates in, and engages faculty in effective individual and collaborative learning on priority professional goals throughout the school year.

Narrative: Indicator 4.5 is focused on what the leader does to engage faculty in meaningful professional learning (which includes being involved in what the faculty is learning).Professional learning on-the-job is an essential aspect of effective schools. School leaders who manage the school in ways that support both individual and collegial professional learning get better outcomes than those who do not. The leader's personal participation in professional learning plays a major role in making professional learning efforts pay off. This indicator addresses the leader's role as a leader in professional development.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader uses a variety of creative ways to provide professional learning for individual and collegial groups focused on deepening subject matter knowledge and proficiency at high effect size strategies. The leader is personally involved in the learning activities of the faculty in way s that both show support and deepen understanding of what to monitor. The entire organization reflects the leader's focus on accurate, timely, and specific professional learning that targets improved instruction and student learning on the standards in the course descriptions. Leadership monitoring of professional learning is focused on the impact of instructional proficiency on student learning.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader provides recurring opportunities for professional learning for individual and collegial groups focused on issues directly related to faculty proficiency at high effect size strategies and student learning needs. The leader removes barriers to time for professional learning and provides needed resources as a priority. Participation in specific professional learning that target improved instruction and student learning is recognized by the faculty as a school priority. Leadership monitoring of professional learning is focused on the impact of instructional proficiency on student learning.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Less than a majority of the faculty can verify participation in professional learning focused on student needs or faculty proficiency at high effect size strategies. Time for professional learning is provided but is not a consistent priority. Minimal effort expended to assess the impact of professional learning on instructional proficiency. Leadership monitoring of professional learning is focused primarily participation with minimal attention given to the impact of instructional proficiency on student learning.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. Focused professional development on priority learning needs is not operational. Few faculty members have opportunities to engage in collegial professional development processes on the campus. Individual professional learning is not monitored and is not connected to the school improvement plan or student learning needs.
 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Documents generated by or at the direction of the leader establish a clear pattern of attention to individual professional 			y and staff. Illustrative

•	development. Documents generated by or at the direction of the leader establish a clear pattern of attention to collegial professional development. Schedules provide evidence of recurring time allocated for	•	involvement. Minutes and/or summary records of lesson study teams, book study groups, and/or PLCs provide evidence that these collegi opportunities are active on the campus. Agendas, documents, or anecdotal records of teams and/or	
•	professional learning. Technology is used to provide easy and recurring access to professional learning. Budget records verify resources allocated to support prioritized professional learning.	•	department meetings reflect recurring engagement in professional learning. Information on the availability of professional learning is easily accessible for faculty. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.	
•	Documents generated provide evidence that administrators are monitoring faculty participation in professional learning. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator.			
	le Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide			
	ficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency lev			
	[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[]] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory	
	dence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that is ve are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of			ξS
Ent	er data here:			

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What strategies have you implemented so that you spread your learning about providing professional learning for individual and collegial groups within your school to your colleagues across the school system?	What might be some creative ways to provide professional learning for individual and collegial groups focused on deepening subject matter knowledge and proficiency at high effect size strategies?	As you think about your leadership in providing professional learning, what are key strategies for you to consider that would help you provide recurring opportunities for professional learning for individual and collegial groups focused on issues directly related to faculty proficiency at high effect size strategies and student learning needs?	How would you describe your efforts to make certain that your professional learning is focused on student needs or faculty proficiency at high effect size strategies?

Indicator 4.6 – Faculty Development Alignments: The leader implements professional learning processes that enable faculty to deliver culturally relevant and differentiated instruction by:

- generating a focus on student and professional learning in the school that is clearly linked to the system-wide objectives and the school improvement plan,
- identifying faculty instructional proficiency needs (including standards-based content, research-based pedagogy, data analysis for instructional planning and improvement),
- aligning faculty development practices with system objectives, improvement planning, faculty proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals,
- and using instructional technology as a learning tool for students and faculty.

Narrative: Faculty development has many aspects. This indicator addresses the leader's proficiency at developing faculty capacity to implement culturally relevant differentiated instruction by <u>aligning</u> the various faculty developments processes and practices with certain key issues (Standards-based content, research-based methods, data for planning, etc. as specified in the text of the standard.)

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader has demonstrated a record of differentiated professional learning for faculty based on student needs. The leader has developed a system of job-embedded professional learning that differentiates training and implementation of instructional priorities based on teacher needs, which help retain proficient and highly exemplary staff. The leader routinely shares professional learning opportunities with other schools, departments, districts, and organizations.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. Professional learning includes a plan for the implementation of the prioritized instructional needs (e.g., research-based instruction, data analysis, instructional technology, culturally relevant) aligned to school improvement plan and some effort has been made to differentiate (coaching, mentoring, collaborative teams, coaching) and embed professional development to meet the needs of all faculty members. The leader is able to use data from evaluation of instructional personnel to assess proficiencies and identify priority needs to support and retain proficient and exemplary faculty	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader attempts to implement all of the priority instructional needs without a plan for doing so. The leader is aware of the differentiated needs of faculty and staff members, but professional development is only embedded in faculty meetings at this time, rather than incorporating the use of collaboration, study teams, etc. in order to meet the unique needs of staff.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. Professional learning is typically "one size fits all," and there is little or no evidence of recognition of individual faculty needs or matching of faculty needs to student achievement needs. Consequently, retaining proficient and exemplary staff is problematic.
	members.	Impact Evidence of leadership	proficiency may be seen in the
seen in the leader's behaviors of	Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		y and staff. <u>Illustrative</u> y include, but are not limited to
	nal learning is determined on the and teacher competency data.	 Staff describes ways that prof relevant to the population service. 	essional learning is culturally ved and differentiated to meet their

-		
•	Evidence that professional learning includes culturally relevant	unique instructional needs.
	instructional practices.	Lesson study groups and PLCs have explicitly stated goals and
•	Faculty meetings focus on professional learning related to the	a focus for their collegial learning.
	schools instructional priorities.	Teachers can articulate a process that helps them develop
•	The leader examines data on teacher proficiencies and	individualized learning plans.
	identifies needs that are subsequently addressed by	• Faculty requests for professional learning are filtered to ensure
	professional learning.	that they relate to identified needs within the school
•	Technology resources are provided to maximize faculty access	improvement plan.
	to online learning and sharing video exemplars for quality	Teachers can identify their learning needs as they relate to
	instructional practices.	student learning needs.
•	Individualized professional development plans approved by the	• Faculty can demonstrate their use of course descriptions as the
	principal are clearly aligned with school improvement priorities.	source of learning goals and objectives.
•	Meeting agendas and memorandum to faculty provide evidence	Faculty can provide evidence of culturally relevant and
	of on-going monitoring of the implementation of critical initiatives	differentiated instruction.
	(e.g., data analysis, text complexity), standards-based	Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
	instructional program, multi-tiered system of supports, and	···· [····· [·····]····]····
	differentiated instruction.	
•	The leader's documents and agendas provide evidence of	
	guiding faculty toward deeper understanding of the cultures of	
	students in the school and how instruction is adapted to improve	
	student engagement in learning.	
•	Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator.	
Sca		ence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a
	ficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency lev	
	[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory
		reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples
abo	ve are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	what is expected):
Ent	er data here:	

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What procedures have you	What system do you use to	What strategies have you	In what ways are professional
established to increase	prioritize learning needs and	employed to meet the learning	learning opportunities linked to
professional knowledge	empower faculty to create	needs of your faculty, from	individual faculty needs?
opportunities for colleagues	individual learning plans?	novice to veteran to expert?	
across the school system?			

Indicator 4.7 – Actual Improvement: The leader improves the percentage of effective and highly effective teachers on the faculty.

Narrative: An indicator required by 1012.34 F.S., the focus is on whether the accumulated impact of the leader's actions result in positive trend lines on teacher effectiveness. Evidence gathered from proficiency area #3 provide a base line that, along with teacher rating in the district's teacher evaluation system and student growth measures, enable assessment of whether actual improvement in teacher's proficiency is occurring.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The percentage of teachers rated effective or highly effective increases while the percentage rated needs improvement for two consecutive years declines. Student growth measure and instructional practice ratings are in substantial agreement for at least 75 percent of the faculty.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The percentage of teachers rated effective or highly effective increases or remains stable within five percentage points of the prior year, but there is evidence of specific improvements in student growth measures or proficiency in high effect size strategies.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. There is no evidence of improvement in student growth measures for the majority of the teachers rated as effective, needs improvement, or unsatisfactory. There is significant variation between teachers' student growth measures and principal's assessment of instructional practices.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The percentage of teachers rated effective or highly effective declines and cannot be explained by changes in staff membership. There is no evidence of improvement in student growth measures for the majority of the teachers rated as needs improvement or unsatisfactory.
 on student growth measures a demonstrable progress. Documents generated by or at establish that the leader tracks on high effect size strategies a demonstrable progress. Documents generated by or at establish that the leader tracks rated as needs improvement o specific areas of improvement. The leader tracks student grow data aligned to learning goals 	r actions. Illustrative examples ut are not limited to the the direction of the leader is the progress of faculty members nd identifies those making the direction of the leader is the progress of faculty members ind identifies those making the direction of the leader is the progress of faculty members in usatisfactory and can identify with data and teacher assessment to track actual improvement in natains records of the percentage of	 The percentage of teachers rational proviment (developing) or the percentage of teachers provement (developing) or the percentage of teachers rational strate of teachers with the percentage of teachers with th	y and staff. <u>Illustrative</u> y include, but are not limited to ated highly effective increases. ated effective increases. reviously rated as needing unsatisfactory decreases. anking at or above the district easures increases. with highly effective rating on high gies increases. ed lessons with improved student oduces data and trend lines her effectiveness. mproved student performance. sment show improvement and t in percentage of results based on

Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a				
proficiency level by checking one of	proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank:			
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory	
Evidence Log (Specifically, what	has been observed tha	t reflects current proficiency on this	indicator? The examples	
above are illustrative and do not re	eflect an exclusive list c	of what is expected):		
Enter data here:				

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How well aligned are your	How would you describe your	How would you describe your	How are you making a difference
assessments of instructional	efforts to improve instruction?	efforts to understand what	in the quality of teaching in your
practice with the results of		instructional improvements are	school?
student growth measures?	In what ways are you providing	needed and then communicate	
	feedback on instructional	that in useful ways?	What are some of the strategies
In what ways are you assisting	practice that result in improved	_	you are employing that help you
the better performing teachers to	student learning for those	What information are you	be aware of where the greatest
improve as much as you are	teachers most in need of	collecting to help you know what	problems are in terms of
assisting the lower performers?	growth?	is or is not happening in the	instructional proficiency?
		classrooms where teachers need	
		improvement?	

Proficiency Area 5: <u>Learning Environment</u>: Effective school leaders structure and monitor a school learning environment that improves learning for all of Florida's diverse student population.

Narrative: This proficiency area is aligned with FPLS standard 5. Much of what student's experience in school is a result of decisions and actions by the adults in the school. Learning environments that are success oriented, student centered, treat diversity as an asset, and focus on eliminating achievement gaps support students preparation for fulfilling lives.

Indicator 5.1 – Student Centered: The leader maintains a safe, respectful and inclusive studentcentered learning environment that is focused on equitable opportunities for learning and building a foundation for a fulfilling life in a democratic society and global economy by providing recurring monitoring and feedback on the quality of the learning environment and aligning learning environment practices with system objectives, improvement planning, faculty proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals.

Narrative: School leaders who monitor what students experience by being enrolled in the leader's school have better insights on how to make the system work than those who do not monitor impact of policies and practices on students. It is the leader's responsibility to know whether student life is equitable, respectful, and supportive of engagement in learning.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader provides clear, convincing, and consistent evidence that they ensure the creation and maintenance of a learning environment conducive to successful teaching and learning for all and shares these practices with others throughout the district. Involves the school and community to collect data on curricular and extra-curricular student involvement to assure equal opportunity for student participation.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader provides clear evidence that they create and maintain a learning environment that is generally conducive to ensuring effective teaching practices and learning, although there may be some exceptions. Collects data on curricular and extra-curricular student involvement to assure equal opportunity for student participation.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader provides limited evidence that they create a safe school either in planning or actions. Collects data on curricular and extra-curricular student involvement.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader provides little to no evidence that s/he make plans for a safe and respectful environment to ensure successful teaching and learning or addresses safety concerns as they arise. Does not collect data on curricular and extra-curricular student involvement.
 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Documents that establish safe, respectful, and inclusive schoolwide common expectations for students and staff. Agendas, meeting minutes, etc., show recurring attention to student needs. 		Impact Evidence of leadership behaviors or status of the facult examples of such evidence may the following: • Teachers can describe a spec procedures that result in a sat student-centered learning env. • Student questionnaire results	y and staff. <u>Illustrative</u> y include, but are not limited to cific policies, practices, and re, respectful, and inclusive

 attention to student needs and interests. Counseling services and safe school programs (e.g. antibullying") are implemented. Tutorial processes are provided and easily accessible by students. Teachers receive training on adapting instruction to student needs. Extended day or weekend programs focused on student academic needs are operational and monitored Parent questionnaire results reflect satisfaction with schools attention to student needs and interests. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		
ence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a		
els below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank:		
[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory		
[] Highly Effective [] Effective [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected): Image: Comparison of the examples		

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What practices have you engaged in to increase professional knowledge opportunities for colleagues across the school system regarding your efforts to ensure the creation and maintenance of a learning environment conducive to successful teaching and learning for all?	What evidence would you accept you were ensuring the creation and maintenance of a learning environment conducive to successful teaching and learning for all?	How would you describe your efforts to provide clear evidence that you create and maintain a learning environment that is generally conducive to ensure effective teaching and learning, although there may be some exceptions?	What strategies are you intentionally implementing to create and maintain a safe and respectful environment to ensure successful teaching and learning or addresses safety concerns as they arise?

Indicator 5.2 - Success Oriented: Initiates and supports continuous improvement processes and a multi-tiered system of supports focused on the students' opportunities for success and well-being.

Narrative: The issues in 5.1 focus on monitoring how school policy and practice affect the quality of student lives. This indicator shifts focus from those broad issues to what happens at the school that creates opportunities for student success and students' perceptions that school life is organized to do something good for them. School should be rigorous and demanding but also implemented in ways that create recurring opportunities for success.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. Through all grades and subjects a multi-tiered system of supports is operational providing core universal supports (research-based, high-quality, general education instruction and support; screening and benchmark assessments for all students, and continuous data collection continues to inform instruction). Where student are not successful on core instruction, problem solving is employed to identify and implement targeted supplemental supports (data based interventions and progress monitoring). Where targeted supplemental supports are not successful, intensive individual supports are employed based on individual student needs. Skillful problem solving to ensure staff have adequate time and support, and effectively monitoring teacher's effective use of research-based instruction. Leadership Evidence of profici	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. Problem solves skillfully (e.g., conceptualizing, applying, analyzing, synthesizing, and/or evaluating information) to provide adequate time, resources, and support to teachers to deliver the district's curriculum to all students. Celebrations of student success are common events and are focused on recognition of the methods and effort expended so students understand what behaviors led to the success. Most grades and subject track student learning growth on priority instructional targets. MTSS operational across the grades and subjects.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Problem solving efforts are unskillfully used to provide adequate time, resources, and support to teachers to deliver the district's curriculum and state's standards to students. Celebrations of student success are provided but are inconsistent in focusing on how/why students succeeded. MTSS operational in some classes.	
seen in the leader's behaviors of such evidence may include, be following:	or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> out are not limited to the	behaviors or actions of the facu community. <u>Illustrative example</u> include, but are not limited to th	Ity, staff, students and/or <u>s</u> of such evidence may e following:
on implementation of MTSS.	other documents provide direction other documents reflect recurring	 Teachers' records reveal data monitoring. Teacher-directed celebrations 	a-based interventions and progress s of student success identify

	discussion with faculty on continuous progress monitoring practices. The leader recognizes the accomplishments of individual teachers, student, groups and the whole school via newsletters , announcements, websites, social media and face-to-face exchanges) Leader solicits student input on processes that support or hamper their success. Leader does surveys and other data collections that assess school conditions that impact student well-being. Data collection processes are employed to collect student, parent, and stakeholder perception data on the school supports for student success. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. Ie Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide ficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency level	committed to student success in Faculty teams, departments, gra- teams who have worked togeth recognized. Teacher and student tracking of student success. Other impact evidence of profic	e leader as one who is genuinely n school and life. ade levels or collegial learning er on student success are f progress results in data on iency on this indicator.
[] Highly Effective [] Effective	Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory
	dence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that		is indicator? The examples
apo	ve are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	it is expected):	
Ent	er data here:		

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What supports do you need to provide to deepen the faculty's capacity to provide intensive individual supports?	How do you enable teachers proficient at MTSS to share the process with other teachers?	How do you monitor instructional practice to assess the quality of implementation of MTSS?	How do you obtain training on what the MTSS model requires and how do you convey the expectations inherent in the model to your
How do you share effective continuous progress practices	What continuous progress practices should be shared with the entire faculty?	How do you monitor the impact of targeted supplemental supports?	faculty?
with oth4r school leaders?		What barriers to student success are not being addressed in your school?	

Indicator 5.3 – <u>Diversity</u>: To align diversity practices with system objectives, improvement planning, faculty proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals, the leader recognizes and uses diversity as an asset in the development and implementation of procedures and practices that motivate all students and improve student learning, and promotes school and classroom practices that validate and value similarities and differences among students.

Narrative: "Diversity practices" refers to the capacity of teachers and school leaders to recognize the many variations in students that impact learning growth (e.g. learning processes, prior learning experiences, family and cultural backgrounds); implement practices that respect diversity in learning needs (e.g. multi-tiered system of supports) and make adjustments at the classroom level that make use of student strengths and promote growth needs.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader shares with others throughout the district strategies that help them put into action their belief that all students can learn at high levels by leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff. The leader provides an instructional program where recurring adaptations in instructional to address	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader systematically acts on the belief that all students can learn at high levels by leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff. Classroom practices consistently reflect appropriate adjustments based on cultural, racial, ethnic backgrounds of students. The leader's expectations that teashors adapt instructional	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader inconsistently acts on the belief that all students can learn at high levels by sometimes leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff. The leader has taken some actions that set expectations for teachers adapting instructional strategies to meet individual student needs and such individualization is evident in	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader limits opportunities for all students to meet high expectations by allowing or ignoring practices in curriculum, instruction, and assessment that are culturally, racially, or ethnically insensitive and/or inappropriate. Takes no actions that set expectations for teachers adapting instructional strategies to meet individual student needs.
variations in student learning needs, styles, and learning strengths are routine events in all classes.	teachers adapt instructional strategies to meet individual student needs are an accepted part of the shared vision of the leader and faculty.	individualization is evident in some but not most classes.	
Leadership Evidence of profici		Impact Evidence of leadership	
seen in the leader's behaviors of		behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. <u>Illustrative</u>	
of such evidence may include, t	but are not limited to the	examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to	
 development and implementa Agendas, memorandum, etc., faculty meetings to capacity to adapt instruction accordingly. Leader's actions in providing that deepens understanding or evidence of monitoring for implementation appropriate diversity practices 	cedures that validate and value	 among students. Professional development op teachers regarding ways to ar issues in the student body an Student questionnaire results characteristics are respected Parent questionnaire results r characteristics are respected 	value similarities and differences portunities are provided for new dapt instruction to address diversity d community. reflect belief that their individual by school leader and faculty. reflect belief that their individual

 The school leader collects and reviews agenda and minutes from departmental or team meetings to monitor attention to diversity issues in pursuit of student learning growth. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 classrooms in ways that respect and make adjustments for diversity factors. The school provides an interactive website for students, parents, and the community designed to be "user friendly" and sensitive to diversity issues in the community, providing information of interest to various segments of the school community Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide	ence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a
proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency lev	
[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory
	reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples
above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	what is expected):
Enter data here:	

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What procedures might you establish to increase your ability to help your colleagues develop curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff?	What strategies might you employ so that you could share with others throughout the district practices that help them put into action your belief that all students can learn at high levels by leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff?	How might you increase the consistency with which you act on the belief that all students can learn at high levels by sometimes leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff?	How might you expand the opportunities for all students to meet high expectations by leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff?

Indicator 5.4 – Achievement Gaps: The leader engages faculty in recognizing and understanding cultural and developmental issues related to student learning by identifying and addressing strategies to minimize and/or eliminate achievement gaps associated with student subgroups within the school.

Narrative: Where indicator 5.3 is focused on the broad array of diversity factors that impact success of individual students and student sub-groups, indicator 5.4 focuses on <u>academic growth</u> of specific sub-groups whose academic performance lags behind what they are capable of achieving. The leader is expected to prepare the faculty to do what is needed to meet the academic improvement needs of the sub-group(s).

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal
effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader has created a self- regulating system based on data that guarantees regular and predictable success of all sub- groups, even if conditions change from one year to another. Achievements gaps have been eliminated or substantially minimized with trend lines consistently moving toward elimination of such gaps.	Processes to minimize achievement gaps within all impacted subs-groups are employed for all sub-groups with positive trend lines showing reduction of gaps for all subgroups. The leader consistently applies the process of inquiry and/or has enabled development of processes that generate greater understanding of the school's current systems and their impact on sub-group academic achievement.	Sub-groups within the school and associated with achievement gaps have been identified and some processes are underway to understand root causes. Some actions to minimize the gaps have been implemented but either do not reach all sub- group students or have inconsistent or minimal results. The leader inconsistently applies the process of inquiry and/or has enabled only limited efforts to develop of processes that generate greater understanding of the school's current systems and their impact on sub-group academic achievement.	The leader does not identify nor implement strategies to understand the causes of sub- group achievement gaps. No changes in practices or processes have been implemented under the leader's direction that is designed to address achievement gaps. The leader does not apply the processes that generate greater understanding of the school's current systems and their impact on sub-group academic achievement.
Leadership Evidence of profic		Impact Evidence of leadership	
seen in the leader's behaviors of such evidence may include, b following:		behaviors or status of the facult examples of such evidence may the following:	
 The leader uses statistical analyses identifying academic needs of sub-group members. Written goals are developed and provided to faculty that focus on reducing or eliminating achievement gaps for students in under-performing sub-groups and for students with disabilities. Documents reflecting the leader's work in deepening faculty understanding of cultural and development issues related to improvement of academic learning growth by sub-group 		goals focused on narrowing a that implement those goals to	udents are enrolled in advanced igh expectations. ic policies, practices, and e culture and developmental

•	students. The leader develops school policies, practices, procedures that validate and value similarities and differences among students. Leader's actions in support of engaging sub-group students in self-help processes and goal setting related to academic achievement. The leader personally engages students in under-performing sub-groups with support, encouragement, and high expectations. Leader's take actions in aligning parent and community resources with efforts to reduce achievement gaps. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator.	• • • • •	Faculty and staff can explain how goals eliminate differences in achievement for students at different socioeconomic levels. English language learners, and students with disabilities Teacher records reflecting tracking sub-group student progress on targeted learning goals related to academic achievement. Student questionnaire results (from sub-group students) reflecting recognition of school efforts to improve their academic performance. Parent questionnaire results from sub-group parents reflecting recognition of school efforts to improve student achievement. Lesson study groups focused on improving lessons to impact achievement gap. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
Sca	le Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide	ence	to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a
	ficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency lev		
	[] Highly Effective [] Effective		Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory
	dence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that r		
	ve are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of		
			, ,
Ent	er data here:		
-			

Reflection Questions				
Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
What strategies might you employ to increase your ability to help your colleagues understand how the elements of culture are impacted by the current systems (e.g., curriculum, instruction, assessment, etc.) in order to improve student achievement?	What are one or two critical steps you could take that would shift your examination of culture to a point that they become a self-regulating system based on data that guarantees regular and predictable success even if conditions change?	How might you systematically apply the process of inquiry to develop methods of generating greater understanding of the cultures of individuals within the building and how the elements of culture are impacted by the current systems (e.g., curriculum, instruction, assessment) to improve student achievement?	Why do sub-groups students like those in your school not perform as well as similar groups in other schools? In what ways might you demonstrate greater understanding of cultures and their impact on the current systems in your school to improve student learning?	

Domain 3: Organizational Leadership

Narrative: This domain addresses proficiencies that impact the quality of a broad array of school operations. The focus is applying these proficiencies to improve student achievement, instructional leadership, and professional conduct.

Narrative: This proficiency area is aligned to FPLS standard #6. How decisions are made can be as important as what decisions are made. The leader's proficiency at balancing the various aspects of decision-making is the focus of this area.

Indicator 6.1 – Prioritization Practices: The leader gives priority attention to decisions that impact the quality of student learning and teacher proficiency, gathering and analyzing facts and data, and assessing alignment of decisions with school vision, mission, and improvement priorities.

Narrative: Leaders make many decisions. Those that impact student learning and teacher proficiency require priority attention. The focus is the leader's ability to make sure that decisions on student learning and faculty proficiency are not lost among the lower priority issues or given inadequate attention because of all the other things leaders do.

		-		
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader produces clear, convincing, and consistent evidence that demonstrates an understanding of learning, teaching, and student development to inform all decisions and continuously uses this information to enhance teaching and learning. The leader produces clear, convincing, and consistent evidence that, on an ongoing basis, all decisions are made in a way that promotes the school's vision and mission. Effective decision-making practices are frequently shared with other administrators and colloagues threautory.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader's decisions consistently demonstrate an understanding of learning, teaching, and student development. The leader produces clear evidence of making most decisions in a way that supports the school's vision and mission regarding student learning and faculty proficiency.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader provides limited evidence that demonstrates understanding of learning, teaching, and student development to inform decisions or is inconsistent in using this information to enhance decisions about teaching and learning. The leader produces limited evidence that the school's vision and mission impacts decision making.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader provides little or no evidence that demonstrate awareness of learning, teaching, and student development to inform decisions. The leader produces little to no evidence of making decisions that are linked to the school's vision and mission. Decisions adverse to student growth and/or faculty development are made.	
colleagues throughout the system.				
Leadership Evidence of profic	ency on this indicator may be	Impact Evidence of leadership	proficiency may be seen in the	
seen in the leader's behaviors of		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the		
		behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or		
3	of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the		community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may	
following:		include, but are not limited to th	e following:	

 The school's vision and mission statement developed under this leader is focused on student growth and improving faculty proficiency. Staff evaluations and professional development documents emphasize student learning or faculty proficiency growth. Documents showing the development and modification of teacher and student schedules are based on data about student needs. Leader's meeting schedules reflect recurring attention to student learning and faculty proficiency issues. Artifacts substantiating school improvement and curriculum review/revision are based on student learning needs or assessments of teacher proficiency. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Teachers can describe a decision-making process that reflects an emphasis on vision, mission, student learning, and teacher proficiency requirements. Teachers can recall decisions that were made resulting in changes to their teaching schedule to support student learning. Team and department meeting minutes reflect student learning and faculty proficiency as priority issues. Sub-ordinate leaders give priority attention to issues impacting student learning and teacher proficiency. Principal's secretary prioritizes mail based on relation to student learning and faculty growth. Office staff handles routine events to protect leader's time for instructional and faculty development issues. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency lev [] Highly Effective [] Effective	
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that r above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What procedures have you	What system do you use to	What strategies have you	How should your awareness of
established to increase	prioritize learning needs and	employed to meet the learning	learning, teaching, and student
professional knowledge	empower faculty to create	needs of your faculty, from novice	development inform decisions?
opportunities for colleagues	individual learning plans?	to veteran to expert?	
across the school system?		·	How might you better align your
-	How might you reinforce and	Why is it necessary to explicitly	decisions with the vision and
How do you promote and foster	establish your efforts so that	reference your vision and mission,	mission of your school?
continuous improvement with	direct reports and your entire	even though they are visibly	-
new staff? What changes might	school community understand	posted in high traffic areas of your	
you make to your decision-	the link between decisions and	school?	
making process for further	your priorities?		
improvement?			

Indicator 6.2 – Problem Solving: The leader uses critical thinking and data-based problem solving techniques to define problems and identify solutions.

Narrative: Problem solving is an essential support to decision making. The leader's skill in using thinking skills and data to define problems and identify solutions is the focus here.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader demonstrates the ability to construct a clear and insightful problem statement with evidence of relevant contextual factors. The leader identifies multiple approaches for solving a problem and proposes one or more solutions/hypotheses that indicate a deep comprehension of the problem. The solutions are sensitive to contextual factors as well as all of the following: ethical, logical, and cultural dimensions of the problem. The solution of solutions is comprehensive and includes all of the following: history of the problem, logic/reasoning, feasibility and impact of the solution. The solution is implemented in a	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader demonstrates the ability to construct a problem statement with evidence of most relevant contextual factors and the problem statement is adequately detailed. The leader identifies multiple approaches for solving a problem. The leader's solutions are sensitive to contextual factors as well as at least one of the following: ethical, logical, or cultural dimensions of the problem. Evaluation of solutions is adequate and includes: history of the problem, reviews logic and reasoning, examines feasibility of solution, and weighs impact. The solution is implemented and the results reviewed with some	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader is beginning to demonstrate the ability to construct a problem statement with evidence of most relevant contextual factors, but the problem statements are superficial or inconsistent in quality. Typically, a single "off the shelf" solution is identified rather than designing a solution to address the contextual factors. The solution is implemented in a manner that addresses the problem statement but ignores relevant factors. Results are reviewed with little, if any, consideration for further work.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader demonstrates a limited ability to identify a problem statement or related contextual factors. Solutions are vague or only indirectly address the problem statement. Solutions are implemented in a manner that does not directly address the problem statement and are reviewed superficially with no consideration for further work.
impact of the solution.	solution, and weighs impact.		
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	
 Samples of problem statements, contextual factors, recommended approaches, proposed solutions, evaluation, and review with consideration for further work are presented. A well-established problem-solving process can be described by the leader. Data records reveal the range of problems addressed and after- 		 Teachers can personally attest to the problem-solving skills of the leader. Teachers report a high degree of satisfaction with the problem-solving process established by the leader. Teacher and/or students describe participating in problem solving led by the school leader. 	

 implementation data collections. Reports and newsletters to stakeholders inform of problems addressed and the impact of solutions implemented. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Multi-tiered System of Supports (MTSS) is fully operational in classrooms. Sub-ordinate leaders are engaged in data-based problem solving. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
	lence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a
proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency le	evels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank:
[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples f what is expected):

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What might be some of the things you learned about problem solving that will influence your leadership practice in the future?	What can you do to enable your sub-ordinate leaders to be more effective in problem solving?	What are some specific recollections (data) that come to mind that define your thinking about effective problem solving?	How would you describe your problem solving process?

Indicator 6.3 – Quality Control: The leader maintains recurring processes for evaluating decisions for effectiveness, equity, intended and actual outcome(s); implements follow-up actions revealed as appropriate by feedback and monitoring; and revises decisions or implementing actions as needed.

Narrative: Decisions are made....but there is a follow-up process. What was the impact of the decisions? The focus here is the leader's follow-up on decisions and capacity to make revisions where needed.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader can provide clear and consistent evidence of decisions that have been changed based on new data. The leader has a regular pattern of decision reviews and "sunsetting" in which previous decisions are reevaluated in light of the most current data. There is a culture of open acknowledgement of undesired outcomes in which the leader and everyone in the organization	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader has a record of evaluating and revising decisions based on new data. Review of decision and follow-up actions are consistently timely.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader has some processes for acquiring new information on impact of decisions and appears to be willing to reconsider previous decisions, but does not have a clear or consistent record of making changes where needed or as soon as needed.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. There is little or no evidence of reflection and reevaluation of previous decisions. Sub-ordinate leaders are not encouraged to evaluate prior decisions.
can discuss what is not working without fear of embarrassment or reprisal.			
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	
 Examples of documents related to previous decisions that indicate re-evaluation in light of emerging data or trends. Evidence that re-evaluations in light of emerging data or trends resulted in changes or adjustments in actions. A well-articulated problem-solving process can be produced. Principal's work schedule reflects time for monitoring the implementation of priority decisions. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		 Teachers can attest to having participated in a re-evaluation of a decision based on emerging trends and data. Teachers report confidence in the decisions being made by the leader. Sub-ordinate leaders' records reveal time committed to gathering data and following up on impact and implementation of leader's decisions. Sub-ordinate leaders' records reveal time committed to gathering data and following up on impact and implementation of leader's decisions. Sub-ordinate leaders' records reveal time committed to gathering data and following up on impact and implementation of the sub-ordinate leaders' decisions. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank:			
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How do you continue to clarify the decision-making process in a dynamic, changing environment?	Why is it necessary for you as a school leader to reevaluate prior decisions and programs in light of emerging research, personal experience, and changing situations?	What will you do from now on to ensure previous decisions and programs are revisited and evaluated on a routine basis?	When do you take time with your leadership team to reflect on decisions that have been made? In what ways do you evaluate decisions on the basis of student achievement?

Indicator 6.4 – Distributive Leadership: The leader empowers others and distributes leadership when appropriate.

Narrative: A school is too complex for one person to make all decisions. Some of the functions of leadership must be shared with others. Developing capacity for success in a workforce requires enabling other people to be responsible for meaningful decisions. The leader's capacity to share the "right stuff' and distribute decision making among other appropriate staff is the focus here.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. Innovation and improvement in instructional processes, faculty development, or school operations have resulted from distributive leadership. The leader encourages staff members to accept leadership responsibilities outside of the school building. The leader incorporates teacher and support staff into leadership and decision-making roles in the school in ways that foster the career development of participating teachers.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader creates opportunities for staff to demonstrate leadership skills by allowing them to assume leadership and decision-making roles. The leader supports the decisions made as part of the collective decision-making process. Decision-making delegations are clear: Sub-ordinates know what decisions are made by the leader, which by the leader after input from others, and which are delegated to sub-ordinates to decide.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Some well-understood leadership roles other than the school principal are functioning and contributing to effective and timely decisions on some school priorities, but there are recurring delays in reaching decisions on other issues. Decisions are often rushed or made without appropriate input due to lack of planning and implementation of development activities by staff members.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. There is no or only minimal evidence that anyone other than the principal has a meaningful role in making timely decisions. The leader rarely seeks input on significant issues from a variety of stakeholder groups (e.g. faculty leaders, teachers, student, parents, community, or business leaders).
seen in the leader's behaviors of	Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		proficiency may be seen in the Ity, staff, students and/or <u>s</u> of such evidence may e following:
 Organizational charts or other documents reveal how leadership is distributed and informs who is involved in what. School improvement plan process reflects involvement by a variety of parties. Evidence of shared decision-making and distributed leadership is present in leader's memorandums, e-mails, and other communications. Leader's communication to faculty and stakeholders recognizes the role of those to whom leadership functions were distributed. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		 roles in decision making. Minutes, agendas, and other ordinate leaders reflect their i making. Teachers are able to identify leadership or decision making. Teacher and or parent survey 	g role in any given issue. rs reflect satisfaction with access to ders rather than requiring access
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Highly Effective [] Effective [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory			

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 6.4

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
To what extent do you have a	How might you increase the	Under what circumstances would	What factors prevent you from
systematic process in place for	range and scope of tasks and	you be willing to release	releasing responsibilities to staff?
delegating authority to	responsibilities you delegate to	increased decision-making	
subordinates?	key individuals or teams?	authority to your staff and	
		faculty?	
	In what areas do faculty and staff		
	bring expertise that will improve	How might you use the function	
	the quality of decisions at your	of delegation to empower staff	
	school?	and faculty at your school?	

Indicator 6.5 – Technology Integration: The leader employs effective technology integration to enhance decision making and efficiency throughout the school. The leader processes changes and captures opportunities available through social networking tools, accesses and processes information through a variety of online resources, incorporates data-driven decision making with effective technology integration to analyze school results, and develops strategies for coaching staff as they integrate technology into teaching, learning, and assessment processes.

Narrative: Technology was a separate standard in the 2005 Florida Principal Leadership Standards (FPLS). By 2011 the state had made great strides toward accepting technology into the schools. In the 2011 FPLS, technology moved from a separate general "pro-technology" standard to focused <u>applications</u> of technology embedded in several standards. This indicator focuses on technology integration and the leader's use of technology to improve decision-making processes in several priority areas.

			1
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader mentors other school leaders on effective means of acquiring technology and integrating it into the decision- making process. The leader provides direct mentoring and coaching supports so that new staff and new sub-ordinate leaders are quickly engaged in effective use of technology supports needed to enhance decision-making quality.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. Technology support for decision- making processes is provided for all of the staff involved in decision making on school instructional and faculty improvement efforts. Technology integration supports all of the following processes: decision-making prioritization, problem solving, decision evaluation and distributed leadership. Engages sub-ordinate leaders in developing strategies for coaching staff on integration of technology.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Technology support for decision- making processes is provided for some, but not all of the staff involved in decision making on school instructional and faculty improvement efforts. Technology integration supports some, but not all of the following processes: decision-making prioritization, problem solving, decision evaluation and distributed leadership.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. There is no or only minimal evidence that decision-making prioritization, problem solving, decision evaluation or distributed leadership processes are supported by technology integration. Decision making is not supported by a well-understood system of procedures to identify problems and generate solutions. Technology integration does not support data exchanges, project management, and feedback processes.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	
 School improvement plan reflects technology integration as a support in improvement plans. Leader has a technology integration plan used to provide technology supports to the degree possible with available resources. School website provides stakeholders with information about and access to the leader. Technology tools are used to aid in data collection and analyses and distribution of data findings. Evidence that shared decision -making and distributed leadership is supported by technology. Technology used to enhance coaching and mentoring functions. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		 Sub-ordinate leaders integrate technology into their work functions and use technology to streamline the process. Data from faculty that supports decision making and monitoring impact of decisions are shared via technology. PowerPoint presentations, e-mails, and web pages of faculty members support involvement in decision making and dissemination of decisions made. Faculty use social network methods to involve students and parents in data collection that supports decision making and to inform stakeholders of decisions made. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	

Reflection Questions for Indicator 6.5

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
To what extent do you have a systematic process in place for integrating new technology so that faculty and students are keeping pace with the communications and thinking supports used in the emerging	How might you increase the range and scope of technology integration to support communications and information acquisition processes used by faculty and staff ?	Under what circumstances would you be willing to support increased use of technology to support efficiency in communication and decision- making processes?	What factors prevent you from supporting technology integration??
global economy?	How might the technology improve the quality of decisions at your school?	How might you use the function of delegation to empower staff and faculty at your school to make more proficient use of technology integration?	

Proficiency Area 7. <u>Leadership Development</u>: Effective school leaders actively cultivate, support, and develop other leaders within the organization, modeling trust, competency, and integrity in ways that positively impact and inspire growth in other potential leaders.

Narrative: This proficiency area aligns to Standard 7. Leaders are developed by other leaders. This is a process critical to an organization's capacity to improve over time and sustain quality processes. This proficiency area focuses on what leaders do to develop leadership in others.

Indicator 7.1 – Leadership Team: The leader identifies and cultivates potential and emerging leaders, promotes teacher-leadership functions focused on instructional proficiency and student learning, and aligns leadership development practices with system objectives, improvement planning, leadership proficiency needs, and appropriate instructional goals.

Narrative: The FPLS are based on a presumption that the school leader works with and through a team of other people to insure coordination and focus of school operations and improvements. Leadership teams get things done!

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The participants in the school's leadership team function independently with clear and efficient implementation of their role(s) and work in a collegial partnership with other leadership team participants to coordinate operations on student growth and faculty development. Leadership development processes employed by the school leader are shared with other school leaders as a model for developing quality leadership teams. The leader has specifically identified at least two emerging leaders in the past year, and has entered them into the ranks of leadership training or provided personal mentoring on site. Other school leaders cite this leader as a mentor in identifying and cultivating emergent leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. Those who are assigned or have accepted leadership functions have consistent support from the school leader in focusing their efforts on instructional improvement and faculty development. The leader has specifically identified and cultivated potential and emerging leaders for the major functions of the school. The leader has personally mentored at least one emerging leader to assume leadership responsibility in instructional leadership or at an administrative level, with positive results.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader has identified staff for leadership functions, follows district personnel guidelines for accepting applications for new leaders, but has not implemented any systemic process for identifying emergent leaders, or is inconsistent in application of such a process. The leader provides some training to some of the people assigned leadership functions, but does not involve staff other than those in the designated roles.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader does not recognize the need for leadership by other people. Staff with leadership titles (e.g., department heads, team leaders, deans, assistant principals) has little or no involvement in processes that build leadership capacities. Persons under the leader's direction are unable or unwilling to assume added responsibilities. There is no or only minimal evidence of effort to develop leadership potential in others.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. Illustrative examples of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the		Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may	

following:	 include, but are not limited to the following: Teachers at the school can describe informal and formal opportunities to demonstrate and develop leadership competencies. Teachers at the school report that leadership development is supported and encouraged. Current leadership team members can describe training or mentoring they receive from the school leader regarding leadership. Teachers can describe processes that encourage them to be involved in school improvement and prepare for leadership roles. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	
 Organizational charts identify the leadership roles and team members. The leader has a system for identifying and mentoring potential leaders. The leader can cite examples in which s/he coached several emerging leaders to assume greater levels of responsibility within the organization. Minutes, e-mails, and memorandums reflecting exchanges among leadership team members are focused on school improvement goals, student growth, and faculty development. The leader's communications to faculty and stakeholders reflect recognition of the leadership team. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 		
proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency lev		
	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory	
	reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples what is expected):	

Reflection Questions for Indicator 7.1

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How do you provide guidance and mentorship to emerging leaders outside of your personal job description and leadership responsibilities? How would you describe the system you use to ensure that emerging leaders pursue job opportunities when they are available? How might you embed this preparation into their job duties, and what changes will you need to make to help build such leadership capacity at your school?	How have you designed the school improvement process to develop leadership capacity from existing faculty? What strategies and lessons might you impart to your direct reports to better prepare them for expanded leadership opportunities?	What process do you employ to encourage participation in leadership development? When do you release responsibility to your assistants to own key decisions? How do you leverage school improvement activities to build leadership capacity for assistants and emerging teacher leaders?	What process is available to you that help you screen and develop potential leaders? How might you spend time explicitly preparing your assistants to assume your role as principal? What steps would you take to spend more time in preparing your assistants to assume your role as principal?

Indicator 7.2 – Delegation: The leader establishes delegated areas of responsibility for sub-ordinate leaders and manages delegation and trust processes that enable such leaders to initiate projects or tasks, plan, implement, monitor, provide quality control, and bring projects and tasks to closure.

Narrative: Leadership teams engage other skilled people in the business of the school. However, involvement does not insure effective organizations. This indicator focuses on the distribution of responsibility and whether sub-ordinate leaders have been delegated all that is needed to succeed.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. Staff throughout the organization is empowered in formal and informal ways. Faculty members participate in the facilitation of meetings and exercise leadership in committees and task forces; other employees, including noncertified staff, exercise appropriate authority and assume leadership roles where appropriate. The climate of trust and delegation in this organization contributes directly to the identification and empowerment of the next generation of leadership.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. There is a clear pattern of delegated decisions, with authority to match responsibility at every level in the organization. The relationship of authority and responsibility and delegation of authority is clear in personnel documents, such as evaluations, and also in the daily conduct of meetings and organizational business.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader sometimes delegates, but also maintains decision-making authority that could be delegated to others. Clarity of the scope of delegated authority is inconsistent from one delegation to another. Actions taken by those to who tasks are delegated are sometimes overruled without explanation.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader does not afford subordinates the opportunity or support to develop or to exercise independent judgment. If delegation has occurred there is a lack of clarify on what was to be accomplished or what resources were available to carry out delegated tasks.
 Leadership: Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: A Responsibility Matrix or chart of "who does what" provides evidence that the leader trust others within the school by identifying how leadership responsibilities are delegated to other faculty members on his or her staff. The leader's processes keep people from performing redundant activities. The leader has crafted "job descriptions" for sub-ordinate leaders' roles that clarify what they are to do and have the delegated authority to do. Communications to delegated leaders provide predetermined decision-making responsibility. Documents initiating projects and tasks identify personal responsibility for success at the beginning of the project. 		 authority to make decisions a parameters. Faculty and staff can cite exa leader supported the staff me Faculty report that building leader confidence in their capacity to shared task of educating child Staff to whom responsibility h 	y and staff. <u>Illustrative</u> y include, but are not limited to delegated responsibility include nd take action within defined mples of delegation where the mber's decision. aders express high levels of o fulfill obligations relevant to the tren. as been delegated in turn s of their tasks to other staff thus

 Delegation and trust are evident in person Delegation and trust are evident in the sc as a variety of school staff are identified a responsible for various components of the 	hool improvement plan as being directly e planning effort.		
 Meeting minutes provide evidence of dele extended to select members of the facult 			
Other leadership evidence of proficiency	5		
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where the			
proficiency level by checking one of th			
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has			indicator? The examples
above are illustrative and do not reflect	t an exclusive list of	what is expected):	

Reflection Questions for Indicator 7.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
To what extent do you have a systematic process in place for delegating authority to subordinates?	How might you increase the range and scope of tasks and responsibilities you delegate to key individuals or teams? In what areas do faculty and staff	Under what circumstances would you be willing to release increased decision-making authority to your staff and faculty?	What factors prevent you from releasing responsibilities to staff?
	bring expertise that will improve the quality of decisions at your school?	How might you use the function of delegation to empower staff and faculty at your school?	

Indicator 7.3 – Succession Planning: The leader plans for and implements succession management in key positions.

Narrative: When the leader is off campus – who is in charge? When the leader changes jobs or retires, who is prepared to take over? What about the school's subs-ordinate leaders? Who takes over for them? Succession planning is building relationships and preparation processes for involving others in ways that prepare them to move into key positions as they become vacant.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. In addition to the practices at the effective level, the leader systematically evaluates the success of the succession program, making adjustments as needed and engaging sub- ordinate leaders in succession management processes in their own areas of responsibility. Central office personnel rely upon this leader to share highly successful succession planning practices with other leaders throughout the district.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader proficiently implements a plan for succession management in key positions that includes identification of key and hard-to- fill positions for which critical competencies have been identified. In conjunction with central office staff, the leader identifies and evaluates applicant pools, collects information on competency levels of employees in identified applicant pools and identifies competency gaps. Based on an analysis of these gaps, the leader develops and uses programs and strategies for smooth succession including temporary strategies for getting work done during vacancy periods.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Inasmuch as the leader understands the need to establish a plan for succession management, the plan remains simply that - a plan - as thoughts about the plan and its component parts have yet to be implemented. The leader primarily relies on central office staff to identify and evaluate applicant pools, the competency levels of employees in identified applicant pools, and the competency gaps. Little to no effort on the part of the leader is made to increase the competency level of the potential successor leaders within the faculty or such efforts are limited in scope.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader takes little or no actions to establish a plan for succession management. Staff are hired to fill vacancies in key positions who do not possess the critical instructional capabilities required of the school, which compromises the school's efforts to increase student academic achievement, and no processes to remedy the trend are taken.
 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Documents generated by or at the direction of the leader establish a clear pattern of attention to individual professional development that addresses succession management priorities. The leader has processes to monitor potential staff departures. The leader accesses district applicant pools to review options as soon as district processes permit. Informal dialogues with faculty routinely explore their interests in expanded involvement and future leadership roles. 		 that may develop in the future Select teachers report that the competency levels needed fo positions. Select teachers describe prov 	y and staff. <u>Illustrative</u> y include, but are not limited to having been identified into in key and hard-to-fill positions e principal has identified various r key or hard-to-fill leadership riding the leader feedback as to tency for which the leader has
 Leader has documents or processes to inform potential leaders of the tasks and qualifications involved in moving into leadership roles. A succession management plan that identifies succession problems, key and hard-to-fill positions for which critical 		 Teachers can describe transp considered for leadership pos Sub-ordinate leaders engage building tasks that prepare the 	arent processes for being itions within the school. other faculty in competency

competencies have been identified, and key contacts within the	 Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	
school community.		
Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator.		
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide		
proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency level		
[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory	
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The example		
above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):		

Reflection Questions for Indicator 7.3

Reflection Questions			
Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
In what ways might you further extend your reach within the district to help others throughout the district benefit from your knowledge and skill in succession management practices? What have you prepared to assist your successor when the time comes?	In what ways are you interacting with central office personal to share highly effective succession planning practices with other leaders throughout the district? What are some of your strategies you have employed that help your school get work done during vacancy periods?	What are the key components of within your succession management plan? What might be the one or two personal leadership practices to which you will pay particular attention as you implement your succession management plan?	In what ways would a plan for succession management be helpful to you as you move to replace key and hard-to-fill positions at your school?

Indicator 7.4 – Relationships: The leader develops sustainable and supportive relationships between school leaders, parents, community, higher education, and business leaders.

Narrative: This is a fundamentally important skill set. Leaders get quality work done through other people. The skill set of relationship building, including networking and engaging others in a shared vision, are hallmarks of quality leaders.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. While maintaining on-site work relationships with faculty and students as a priority, the leader finds ways to develop, support, and sustain key stakeholder relationships with parent organizations, community leaders, and businesses, and mentors other school leaders in quality relationship building. The leader has effective relationships throughout all stakeholder groups and models effective relationship building for other school leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader systematically (e.g., has a plan, with goals, measurable strategies, and a frequent-monthly-monitoring schedule) networks with all key stakeholder groups (e.g., school leaders, parents, community members, higher education, and business leaders) in order to cultivate, support, and develop potential and emerging leaders. Leader has effective collegial relationships with most faculty and subordinates.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader is inconsistent in planning and taking action to network with stakeholder groups (e.g., school leaders, parents, community members, higher education, and business leaders) to support leadership development. Relationship skills are employed inconsistently.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader makes no attempt to or has difficulty working with a diverse group of people. Consequently, the leader does not network with individuals and groups in other organizations to build collaborative partnerships in support of leadership development.
Leadership Evidence of profici seen in the leader's behaviors c of such evidence may include, b following:	or actions. Illustrative examples	Impact Evidence of leadership behaviors or status of the facult examples of such evidence may the following:	y and staff. Illustrative
 Documentation can be provided describing the leader's plan—with goals, measurable strategies, and a frequent-monthly-monitoring schedule—to develop sustainable and supportive relations with they stakeholder groups in support of potential and emerging leaders. Documentation can be provided as to the relationships with other building leaders the leader has established in support of potential and emerging leaders within the school. Documentation can be provided as to the relationships with other building leaders the leader has established in support of potential and emerging leaders at the school. Documentation can be provided as to the relationships with parents, community members, higher education, and business leaders the leader has established in support of potential and emerging leaders within the school. Doter leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: 			in support of potential and ol. hat the leader has developed lations with them in support of rs at the school. ithin the area report that the leader id supportive relations with them in ging leaders at the school. rea report that the leader has upportive relations with them in ging leaders at the school. iciency on this indicator.
[] Highly Effective[] Effective[] Needs Improvement[] UnsatisfactoryEvidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples			

Reflection Questions for Indicator 7.4

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
In what ways might you further extend your reach within the district to help others throughout the district benefit from your knowledge and skill in establishing relationships among key stakeholder groups?	What strategies are you employing so you can share your experiences relative to establishing relationships with key stakeholders to support potential and emerging leaders?	In what ways are you working to establish networks with key stakeholder groups to cultivate and support potential and emerging leaders in your school?	How might your relationships with faculty and key stakeholder groups help to cultivate and support potential and emerging leaders in your school?

Proficiency Area 8. <u>School Management</u>: Effective school leaders manage the organization, operations, and facilities in ways that maximize the use of resources to promote a safe, efficient, legal, and effective learning environment; effectively manage and delegate tasks and consistently demonstrate fiscal efficiency; and understand the benefits of going deeper with fewer initiatives as opposed to superficial coverage of everything.

Narrative: This proficiency area aligns with Standard 8. A school is an "organization." School leaders manage implementation of many rules, regulations, and policies. However, the "organization" is the people working together to provide learning to students. What leaders do to manage those people and the environment in which they work is the focus of this area.

Indicator 8.1 – Organizational Skills: The leader organizes time, tasks, and projects effectively with clear objectives, coherent plans, and establishes appropriate deadlines for self, faculty, and staff.

Narrative: Time, tasks, and projects all need organization to have the desired impact. This indicator focuses on the key aspects of organization essential to school success.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader uses project management as a teaching device, helping others understand the interrelationship of complex project milestones throughout the organization. The leader uses complex project management to build system thinking throughout the organization. Project plans are visible in heavily trafficked areas, so that accomplishments are publicly celebrated and project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources. Successful project results can be documented.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. Project management documents are revised and updated as milestones are achieved or deadlines are changed. The leader understands the impact of a change in a milestone or deadline on the entire project, and communicates those changes to the appropriate people in the organization. Task and project management and tracking of deadlines are routinely monitored with an emphasis of issues related to instruction and faculty development.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Project management methodologies are vague or it is unclear how proposed project management tools will work together in order to help keep tasks and projects on time and within budget. The impact of changes in an action plan or deadline is inconsistently documented and communicated to people within the organization.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. There is little or no evidence of time, task or project management focused on goals, resources, timelines, and results.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leadership behaviors or status of the facult examples of such evidence may the following:	y and staff. Illustrative
 Examples of projects that have been adjusted based on the input from a variety of sources. Examples of timely completion of learning environment 		compliance with expectations	nput are submitted on time and in reveal specific levels of fiscal

 improvement projects focused on issues like safety, efficiency, effectiveness, or legal compliance. Examples of multiple projects and timelines managed by the leader by strategically delegating time, resources, and responsibilities. School Improvement Plan implementation records reveal planning of tasks with clear stages of progress and timelines to measure progress. Leadership responsibility matrix or chart describes how management of tasks and projects are allocated and reflects monitoring tasks. School financial information showing meeting deadlines and procedures and processes for assessing the adequacy of fiscal resources budgeted to tasks. (Is there a way to recognize when funds will run short or if there will be an excess which can be repurposed?) Examples of "systems planning tools" (e.g., tree diagram, matrix diagram, flowchart, PERT Chart, Gant Chart) are used that display the chronological interdependence of the project events that unfold over time. Tasks and reports for parties outside the school are monitored for timely completion. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 support to projects delegated to them and processes for tracking the expenses are implemented. Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers reveals consistent capacity of staff to describe ongoing projects and tasks. Random sampling (informal interviews) with teachers reveals consistent capacity of staff to describe how school leadership monitors work in progress and due dates. Minutes, agendas, records and/or anecdotal information from teachers reveal the preponderance of teacher meetings have clear objectives or purposes focused on system instructional goal, professional learning, or improvement planning. School-wide teacher questionnaire results related to school management issues reflect awareness of a positive impact of organization on school operations. Teachers are aware of time and task management processes and contribute data to them. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency level	
[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples what is expected):

Reflection Questions for Indicator 8.1

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How much of your work on	To what extent are tasks and	How do you ensure unanticipated	What changes in your practice are
organization of time and	major tasks delineated in your	changes do not derail or prevent	needed to ensure necessary
projects is reactive to establish	overall project design? What	completion of key projects at your	projects are identified, realistically
conformity with deadlines and	might you do to emphasize the	school?	designed, carefully implemented,
short term situations and how	most important components		and supported with sufficient time
much is proactive focused on	over minor tasks?	How do you monitor whether work	and resources?
creating capacity for		needed to meet deadlines is	
continuous improvement.?	How do you distinguish	proceeding at a necessary pace?	How to you distribute workloads
	between the support needed		so the appropriate people are
Are you able to identify and	for high priority projects and		involved and with sufficient clarity
articulate to others the	tasks that impact student		on goals and timeframes to get
systemic connections between	achievement or faculty		work done?
the various projects and tasks	development and compliance		
you manage?	with projects that have fixed		
	due dates for parties outside		
	the building?		

Indicator 8.2 – Strategic Instructional Resourcing: The leader maximizes the impact of school personnel, fiscal and facility resources to provide recurring systemic support for instructional priorities and a supportive learning environment.

Narrative: Resources are always limited. How well a leader does at putting resources where they are needed and when they are needed to support instructional goals is the focus here. Do teachers and students get what they need when they need it?

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
The leader regularly saves resources of time and money for the organization, and proactively redeploys those resources to help the organization achieve its strategic priorities. Results indicate the positive impact of redeployed resources in achieving strategic priorities. The leader has established processes to leverage existing limited funds and increase capacity through grants, donations, and community resourcefulness.	The leader leverages knowledge of the budgeting process, categories, and funding sources to maximize all available dollars to achieve strategic priorities. The leader has a documented history of managing complex projects, meeting deadlines, and keeping budget commitments. The leader documents a process to direct funds to increase student achievement that is based on best practice and leveraging of antecedents of excellence in resources, time, and instructional strategies.	The leader sometimes meets deadlines, but only at the expense of breaking the budget; or, the leader meets budgets, but fails to meet deadlines. The leader lacks proficiency in using the budget to focus resources on school improvement priorities. Resources are not committed or used until late in the year or are carried over to another year due to lack of planning and coordination. The leader makes minimal attempts to secure added resources.	The leader has no clear plan for focusing resources on instructional priorities and little or no record of keeping commitments for schedules and budgets.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leadership behaviors or status of the facult examples of such evidence may the following:	y and staff. Illustrative
 School financial information s instructional needs. Documents are provided to fa for accessing school resource School Improvement Plan and Leader's documents reveal re time, facility use, and human needs. 	d spending plans are aligned. ccurring involvement in aligning resources with priority school use of the facility reflect attention	 School-wide teacher question with resources provided for in development. Staff receipt books, activity ag reflect priority attention to inst Teachers can describe the pr money in support of instructio Teachers can provide example 	preements, and fundraiser requests ructional needs. ocess for accessing and spending nal priorities. les of resource problems being o as a priority issue to be resolved.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Highly Effective [] Effective [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples			
above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):			

Reflection Questions for Indicator 8.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How would you describe the systematic method for pursuing grants, partnerships, and combining community resources you have implemented to support increases to student achievement?	To what extent are faculty and staff aware of your budgeting expectations? How are your budgeting expectations delineated, published, and communicated?	Have there been instances in which you failed to meet deadlines or where expenditures resulted in budget overruns? What did you learn from that experience and how did you apply lessons from it?	When resources are limited, what actions do you take as the school leader to allocate them most efficiently?

Indicator 8.3 – Collegial Learning Resources: The leader manages schedules, delegates, and allocates resources to provide recurring systemic support for collegial learning processes focused on school improvement and faculty development.

Narrative: Team learning is an essential element in a learning organization. Does the leader provide needed supports to collegial learning? Are barriers to success removed? Everyone working in isolation reduces the probability of improvements. Collegial processes need resource support. This indicator assesses the leader's proficiency at providing that support.

		r	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The leader leverages knowledge of the budgeting process, categories, and funding sources to maximize the impact of available dollars on collegial processes and faculty development. Results indicate the positive impact of deployed resources in achieving a culture of deliberate practice focused on school improvement needs. The leader has established processes to support collegial processes and faculty development through grants, business or higher education partnerships, and/or community resourcefulness.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader has established routines regarding allocation of time and facility resources that result in wide faculty participation in collegial processes and faculty development. School fiscal resources are allocated to support collegial processes and faculty development. Clear delegations of responsibility are evident that involve highly effective faculty in sustaining collegial processes and faculty development.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader lacks proficiency in using budget, work schedules, and/ or delegation of involvement to focus time and resources on collegial processes and faculty development. There is a lack of sustained and focused resource allocation on these issues.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader has little or no record of making plans or keeping commitments to provide resources or build schedules of events that support collegial processes and faculty development.
 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: School financial information identifies resources employed in support of collegial learning. Procedures for collegial groups to reserve rooms for meetings are provided to all faculty. Protocol for accessing school resources to support collegial learning needs. School Improvement Plan reflects role(s) of collegial learning teams. Leader's memorandums, e-mails, and other documents reflect support for team learning processes both on-campus and via digital participation on communities of practice. 		 learning or problem solving fc Lesson study groups, PLC's, learning teams are operationa School-wide teacher questior participation in collegial learning Teachers' professional learning in collegial learning. 	y and staff. <u>Illustrative</u> y include, but are not limited to camples of collegial work, team ocused on student achievement. and other forms of collegial al. inaire results reflect teacher ing groups. ng plans incorporate participation evel meetings devote a majority of

 Master schedules are modified to promote collegial use through common planning times. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.	
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evid		
proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency le		
[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory	
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The example		
above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of	what is expected):	

Reflection Questions for Indicator 8.3

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How would you describe the systematic method for pursuing grants, partnerships, and combining community resources you have implemented to support increases in the quality of collegial processes?	To what extent are faculty and staff aware of your focus on collegial processes? How are faculty given opportunities to request or recommend time or resource allocations that support collegial processes and faculty development?	Have there been instances in which you failed to act on opportunities to support collegial processes or faculty development? What did you learn from that experience and how did you apply lessons from it?	When resources are limited, what actions do you take as the school leader to reallocate them to the high impact functions like collegial processes and faculty development?

Proficiency Area 9. <u>Communication</u>: Effective school leaders use appropriate oral, written, and electronic communication and collaboration skills to accomplish school and system goals by:

- Practicing two-way communications, seeking to listen and learn from and building and maintaining relationships with students, faculty, parents, and community;
- Managing a process of regular communications to staff and community keeping all stakeholders engaged in the work of the school; and
- Recognizing individuals for good work; and maintaining high visibility at school and in the community.

Narrative: The "voice of the school" represents a core set of communication processes that shape perceptions about the school – the leader's communications central among them. The leader must manage the "voice of the school" so clear, coherent and accurate information flows to faculty, students, and stakeholders. The perceptions of those involved in the success of the school need to be heard, acknowledged, and understood.

Indicator 9.1 – Constructive Conversations: The leader actively listens to and learns from students, staff, parents, and community stakeholders and creates opportunities within the school to engage students, faculty, parents, and community stakeholders in constructive conversations about important issues.

Narrative: Skillful "speaking" is important. So is skillful listening. People can engage in conversation on many things, but some things are more important to school improvement than others. Making sure speaking and listening occurs on the important issues is a leader's task.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. In addition to the practices at the effective level, the highly effective leader routinely mentors others within the district to effectively employ key active listening skills (e.g. wait time, paraphrasing, asking clarifying questions) when interacting with	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader systematically (e.g., has a plan, with goals, measurable strategies, and a frequent-monthly-monitoring schedule) and reciprocally listens to and communicates with students, parents, staff, and community using multiple	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader's involvement in regard to listening to and communicating with students, parents, staff, and community is primarily unplanned and/or initiated by others rather than the leader "reaching out."	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader's visibility within the community is virtually non- existent; conducts little to no interactions with stakeholders regarding the work of the school. The leader is isolated from students, parents, staff, and
listening skills (e.g. wait time, paraphrasing, asking clarifying questions) when interacting with diverse stakeholder groups	to and communicates with students, parents, staff, and community using multiple methods (i.e., oral, written, and	initiated by others rather than the leader "reaching out." The leader has only a few	The leader is isolated from students, parents, staff, and community and engages in no or
about high achievement for all students.	electronic) to seek input/ feedback and to inform instructional and leadership practices.	methods to seek input/feedback with the intent to inform instructional and leadership practices.	minimal listening to and communicating with them to seek input/feedback and inform instructional and leadership
making use of what was learned in constructive conversations	The leader systematically	The leader's communications	practices.

with others in the leader's subsequent actions, presentations, and adjustments to actions.	communicates with diverse stakeholders about high achievement for all students.	with stakeholders about high achievement for all students are not carefully planned and implemented.	The leader avoids engaging faculty and/or stakeholders in conversations on controversial issues that need to be addressed in the interest of school improvement.
Leadership Evidence of profic seen in the leader's behaviors of of such evidence may include, b following:	or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> but are not limited to the	Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or status of the faculty and staff. <u>Illustrative</u> <u>examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:	
		 the following: Students confirm that the leader is a good listener and effectively uses a wide variety of methods of communication to describe expectations and seek input/feedback. Faculty members confirm that the leader is a good listener and effectively uses a wide variety of methods of communication to describe expectations and seek input/feedback. Parents and community members confirm that the leader is a good listener and effectively uses a wide variety of methods of communication to describe expectations and seek input/feedback. Parents and community members confirm that the leader is a good listener and effectively uses a wide variety of methods of communication to describe expectations and seek input/feedback. Local newspaper articles report involvement of school leader and faculty in school improvement actions. Letters and e-mails from stakeholders reflect exchanges on important issues. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	
proficiency level by checking [] Highly Effective Evidence Log (Specifically,	one of the four proficiency lev [] Effective	ence to rate current proficiency vels below. If not being rated a [] Needs Improvement reflects current proficiency on what is expected):	t this time, leave blank: [] Unsatisfactory

Reflection Questions for Indicator 9.1

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How might you further expand your influence over your colleagues within the district relative to the implementation of effective listening and communication techniques?	What support might you provide your colleagues within the school that would help them become as capable in the area of listening and communicating as you?	How would you describe your efforts to implement a plan to communicate with various stakeholders within your school community?	How might listening with the intent to learn from students, staff, parents, and community stakeholders be beneficial to the successful operation of the school?
		What might be some of the things you are taking away from this experience that will influence your	

commu	unication practice in the	
future?)	

Indicator 9.2 – Clear Goals and Expectations: The leader communicates goals and expectations clearly and concisely using Florida's common language of instruction and appropriate written and oral skills, communicates student expectations and performance information to students, parents, and community, and ensures faculty receives timely information about student learning requirements, academic standards, and all other local, state, and federal administrative requirements and decisions.

Narrative: Proficiency in the competencies addressed in this indicator impacts success on many other indicators. The most successful school leaders are able to provide clear goals and expectations on every aspect of school operations and instructional leadership. You need to do the "school leader's two step." Having clear goals and expectations is step one, communicating them so others can act on them is step two.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. Clear evidence communication on goals and expectations is present, including open forums, focus groups, surveys, personal visits, and use of available technology.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader conducts frequent interactions with students, faculty, and stakeholders to communicate and enforce clear expectations, structures, and fair rules and procedures.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Expectations and goals are provided and communicated in a timely, comprehensible and actionable form regarding some student and faculty performance issues.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. Expectations and goals regarding student and faculty performance are not provided or are not communicated in a timely, comprehensible and actionable form.
Ensures that all community stakeholders and educators are aware of the school goals for instruction, student achievement, and strategies and progress toward meeting these goals. The leader coaches others within the district to effectively employ the Florida common language of instruction in communicating school goals and expectations.	Utilizes a system of open communication that provides for the timely, responsible sharing of information with the school community using a variety of formats in multiple ways through different media in order to ensure communication with all members of the school community. Is proficient in use of the Florida common language of instruction to align school goals with district and state initiatives.	Designs a system of open communication that provides for the timely, responsible sharing of information to, from, and with the school community on goals and expectations, but it is inconsistently implemented. Has a limited capacity to employ Florida's common language of instruction in aligning school goals and expectations with district and state initiatives.	The leader's actions demonstrate a lack of understanding of the importance of establishing clear expectations, structures, rules, and procedures for students and staff. Uses terms in the Florida common language of instruction incorrectly thus misguiding others.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following:		Impact Evidence of leadership behaviors or status of the facult <u>examples</u> of such evidence may the following:	y and staff. Illustrative
 Evidence of visibility and accessibility (e.g., agendas of meetings, newsletters, e-mail correspondence, appointment book, etc.) is provided. Evidence of formal and informal systems of communication that include a variety of formats (e.g., written, oral) in multiple ways through different media (e.g., newsletter, electronic) used to communicate goals and expectations for how to accomplish the goals. School safety and behavioral expectations are accessible to all. Dissemination of clear norms and ground rules for standards-based instruction and Multi-tiered System of Supports (MTSS) is provided. 		 course content with state star Staff survey results reflect aw priority goals and expectation Parent survey results reflect u academic improvement goals 	vareness and understanding of s. understanding of the priority of the school. he school reflect understanding of at apply to their children. s and participation addresses goals. understanding of goals and

 School Improvement Plan is base Leader is able to access Florida's instruction via online resources. Other leadership evidence of profi 	common language of	 Sub-ordinate leaders use Florida's common language of instruction. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	
Scale Levels: (choose one) Wh		1 5	0
proficiency level by checking on	e of the four proficiency	levels below. If not being rated a	t this time, leave blank:
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):			

Reflection Questions for Indicator 9.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What additional strategies have you established to diffuse your practices on goals and	How might you articulate to faculty the benefits that could be gained by the school if parents	How might you improve your consistency of interactions with stakeholders regarding the work	What are your priority goals for school improvement?
expectations among your colleagues across the school	and community members understood the rationale for most	of the school?	How do you know whether others find them clear and
system? How does feedback from key	decisions on goals and expectations?	Knowing that some teachers and parents are reluctant to initiate conversations with school	comprehensible?
stakeholder groups inform the work of the school?		leaders, what strategies have you employed or considered in which you—as the leader—	
		would initiate communication on priority goals and expectations?	

Indicator 9.3 – Accessibility: Maintains high visibility at school and in the community, regularly engages stakeholders in the work of the school, and utilizes appropriate technologies for communication and collaboration.

Narrative: Leaders need to be seen by those they are to lead...and those who are asked to engage in rigorous effort on the leader's goals need access to the leader. While leaders must manage their time, they must also make sure those who need access can get it in reasonable ways and timeframes. In a 21st century technological society use of social networking and other technologies to promote accessibility is a valuable leadership competency.

Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. Leader provides timely access to all through a variety of methods using staff and scheduling practices to preserve time on instructional priorities while providing processes to enable access for parents and community. Leader is consistently visible within the school and community focusing attention and involvement on school improvement and recognition of success. Stakeholders have access via technology tools (e.g., e-mails, phone texts, video conferencing, websites) so that access is provided in ways that do not minimize the leader's time for instructional leadership and faculty development.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. Leader's actions to be visible and accessible are inconsistent or limited in scope. Limited use of technology to expand access and involvement. Leadership is focused within the school with minimal outreach to stakeholders.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. Leader is not accessible to staff, student, or stakeholders and does not engage stakeholders in the work of the school. Leader has low visibility to students, staff, and community.
 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following: Leader's work schedule reflects equivalent of two work days a week in classrooms and interacting with students and teachers on instructional issues. Meeting schedules reflect frequency of access by various stakeholders. Executive business partnerships engaging local business leaders in ongoing support of school improvement. E-mail exchanges with parents and other stakeholders. 		Ity, staff, students and/or <u>s</u> of such evidence may <u>e following:</u> ive procedures for routing parents ate parties for assistance and ect involvement of the leader is ment in community events where sed. greeting and determining needs of
	impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. Leader provides timely access to all through a variety of methods using staff and scheduling practices to preserve time on instructional priorities while providing processes to enable access for parents and community. Leader is consistently visible within the school and community focusing attention and involvement on school improvement and recognition of success. Stakeholders have access via technology tools (e.g., e-mails, phone texts, video conferencing, websites) so that access is provided in ways that do not minimize the leader's time for instructional leadership and faculty development. iency on this indicator may be or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> but are not limited to the cts equivalent of two work days a acting with students and teachers quency of access by various hips engaging local business school improvement.	impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.Leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.Leader provides timely access to all through a variety of methods using staff and scheduling practices to preserve time on instructional priorities while providing processes to enable access for parents and community.Leader's actions to be visible and accessible are inconsistent or limited in scope.Leader is consistently visible within the school and community focusing attention and involvement on school improvement and recognition of success.Leaders snool improvement. stakeholders have access via technology tools (e.g., e-mails, phone texts, video conferencing, websites) so that access is provided in ways that do not minimize the leader's time for instructional leadership and faculty development.Impact Evidence of leadership behaviors or actions of the facu community. Illustrative examples include, but are not limited to the.School office staff have effect and stakeholders to appropria informing the leader's involve school issues may be addres.Sub-ordinate leaders' involve school issues may be addres.Sub-ordinate leaders' involve school issues may be addres

 community. Leader's participation in community events. Leader has established policies that inform students, faculty, and parents on how to get access to the leader. Leader monitors office staff implementation of access policies to insure timely and responsive accessibility. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evided proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency level 			
[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory		
Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):			

Reflection Questions for Indicator 9.3

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
How can you involve sub- ordinate leaders as high visibility assets of the school?	What uses can you make of modern technology to deepen community engagement and expand your accessibility to all?	How can you assess what students, faculty, and stakeholders think of your level of accessibility?	What work habits would you need to change to be more visible to students, faculty, and stakeholders?

Indicator 9.4 – Recognitions: The leader recognizes individuals, collegial work groups, and supporting organizations for effective performance.

Narrative: Leading is about enabling others to succeed. Recognition of the successes and contributions of others is a key leadership function. Recognition from the leader is motivating and focusing. The recognition needed is more than "good job." It identifies what people did to generate the success being recognized. Recognizing the way in which people succeed encourages them to continue those practices and informs others "by what methods" they may do the same.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. In addition to meeting effective level criteria, the leader utilizes recognition reward, and advancement as a way to promote the accomplishments of the school. Shares the methods that lead to success with other leaders. Engages community groups in supporting and recognizing rigorous efforts to overcome past failures.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader systematically (e.g., has a plan, with goals, measurable strategies, and a frequent-monthly-monitoring schedule) recognizes individuals for praise, and where appropriate rewards and promotes based on established criteria. Recognizes individual and collective contributions toward attainment of strategic goals by focusing on what was done to generate the success being celebrated.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader uses established criteria for performance as the primary basis for recognition, and reward, but is inconsistent or untimely in doing so, with some people deserving of recognition not receiving it.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader does not celebrate accomplishments of the school and staff, or has minimal participation is such recognitions.
 recognized and the methods Samples of recognition criteria utilized. 	or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> but are not limited to the inely include recognitions of ls. points of collegial work groups are they employed shared. a and reward structures are spondence, awards, agendas,	 and as team members. Teachers describe feedback f specific instructional strengths Teachers report that the leads to promote the accomplishme 	y and staff. <u>Illustrative</u> y include, but are not limited to s recognition of them as individuals from the leader that acknowledges s or improvements. er uses a combination of methods
 based on established criteria. Communications to community groups are arranged recognizing student, faculty, and school accomplishments. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. Bulletin boards or other media display evidence of student growth. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Highly Effective [] Effective [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory 			
Evidence Log (Specifically,		reflects current proficiency on	

Reflection Questions for Indicator 9.4

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What might be some of the potential benefits that would come from you sharing your talents in this area with your colleagues in the district?	In what ways are you utilizing the recognition of failure as an opportunity to improve? How do you enable those that make progress to share "by what method" they did so?	How might you compare your beliefs about the importance of providing individual and collective praise to your actual practice? What do you want to be most aware of as you make future plans in this area?	As you assess the importance of acknowledging failures and celebrating accomplishments, what assumptions are guiding you?

Domain 4 - Professional and Ethical Behavior

Narrative: This domain is focused on the professional integrity and dedication to excellence of the school leader. The indicators in this domain focus on behaviors essential to success as a school leader.

Narrative: There are two broad proficiency areas that are the focus of evaluation of behavior and ethics. One is approached as Proficiency Area 10 of the FSLA which is focused on Florida Principal Leadership Standard #10 (FPLS). The indicators in proficiency area 10 address resiliency, professional learning, commitment, and conduct. The other major professional behavior area, Deliberate Practice, is a separate metric, scored separately and, when combined with the overall FLSA score, generates the Leadership Practice Score.

Indicator 10.1 – Resiliency: The leader demonstrates resiliency in pursuit of student learning and faculty development by:

- staying focused on the school vision,
- reacting constructively to adversity and barriers to success,
- acknowledging and learning from errors,
- constructively managing disagreement and dissent with leadership,
- bringing together people and resources with the common belief that the organization can grow stronger when it applies knowledge, skills, and
- productive attitudes in the face of adversity.

Narrative: The lead indicator in this FSLA domain is focused on resiliency. Leadership takes strength of character and a capacity to "weather the storm(s)" to get quality results. It includes learning from mistakes and sticking with it until you get it right.

Highly Effective: Leader's	Effective: Leader's actions or	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
actions or impact of leader's actions	impact of leader's actions relevant to	Leader's actions or impact of leader's	
relevant to this indicator exceed	this indicator are sufficient and	actions relevant to this indicator are	
effective levels and constitute models	appropriate reflections of quality work	evident but are inconsistent or of	
of proficiency for other leaders.	with only normal variations.	insufficient scope or proficiency.	
The leader builds resilience in colleagues and throughout the organization by habitually highlighting and praising "good mistakes" where risks were taken, mistakes were made, lessons were learned, and both	The leader readily acknowledges personal and organizational failures and offers clear suggestions for personal learning. The leader uses dissent to	The leader is able to accept evidence of personal and organizational failures or mistakes when offered by others, but does not initiate or support the evidence gathering.	The leader is unwilling to acknowledge errors. When confronted with evidence of mistakes, the leader is defensive and resistant to learning from mistakes.
the individual and the	inform final decisions, improve	Some evidence of learning from	The leader ignores or subverts
organization learned for the	the quality of decision-making,	mistakes is present.	policy decisions or initiatives
future.	and broaden support for his or	The leader tolerates dissent, but	focused on student learning or
The leader encourages	her final decision.	there is very little of it in public.	faculty development that are
constructive dissent in which	The leader admits failures	The leader sometimes	unpopular or difficult.
multiple voices are encouraged	quickly, honestly, and openly	implements unpopular policies	Dissent or dialogue about the
and heard; the final decision is	with direct supervisor and	unenthusiastically or in a	need for improvements is absent
made better and more broadly	immediate colleagues.	perfunctory manner.	due to a climate of fear and
supported as a result.	Non-defensive attitude exists in	The leader tolerates dissent, but	intimidation and/or apathy.

back quickly from adversity while remaining focused on the vision of the organization. The leader offers frank acknowledgement of prior personal and organizational failures and clear suggestions for system-wide learning resulting from those lessons	g feedback and g errors and failures. evidence of learning errors. Defined s and processes are in eliciting input. nent needs noted in the previous evaluations are reflected in projects, d priorities.	there are minimal to no systemic processes to enable revision of levels of engagement, mental models, and/or misconceptions. The leader is aware of improvement needs noted in previous evaluations, but has not translated them into an action plan.	No evidence or reference to previous leadership evaluations is present in the leader's choices of tasks and priorities.
 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on the seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. of such evidence may include, but are not following: The leader offers frank acknowledgemer organizational failures and clear suggest learning resulting from those lessons. The leader builds resilience in colleagues organization by habitually highlighting an mistakes" where risks were taken, mistal were learned, and both the individual and learned for the future. The leader demonstrates willingness to cauthority and policy leaders appropriately constructive criticism, but once a district supports, and professionally implements and leadership decisions. The leader offers evidence of learning from the leader's previous evaluations are exprojects, tasks, and priorities. The leader offers evidence of learning from the leader accepts and inplements lead fidelity and district and state initiatives ar leader in a thorough way citing the stude and performance goals relevant to these Other leadership evidence of proficiency 	<u>Illustrative examples</u> t limited to the nt of prior personal and ions for system-wide s and throughout the d praising "good kes were made, lessons d the organization question district y with evidence and decision is made, fully organizational policy ghtful dissent. plicitly reflected in om dissenting views adership practices. nding of current plans lership and policy with e represented by the int data, research base, initiatives. on this indicator.	 they disagree with policies or Faculty or students share and previously challenged or resis resilience, they have changed dysfunctional or harmful ways The principal's resilience in pigenerated a school climate w comfortable voicing concerns that their concerns are treated understanding. Previously resisted policies an faculty or students as approprivith fidelity. Results of staff, student, or cot the leader's vision and impact Changes advocated by the le resistance have had a positiv Faculty and staff describe the commitment to raising student Other impact evidence of profile 	Ity, staff, students, and/or <u>so</u> of such evidence may <u>ie following:</u> <u>sommunity members express</u> as and dissent receive fair ne input from the leader even when practices being implemented. ecdotes of practices/policies they sted but, due to principal's d ways of working without acting in s to others within the organization. ursuit of school improvements has here faculty and staff feel and disagreements and perceived d as a basis for deepening nd practices are now perceived by riate and are being implemented pommunity questionnaire regarding t on school improvement efforts. ader and implemented despite e impact on student growth. e school leader as unwavering in it achievement. ficiency on this indicator.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where th proficiency level by checking one of th [] Highly Effective Evidence Log (Specifically, what has above are illustrative and do not reflect	he four proficiency lev [] Effective been observed that	vels below. If not being rated a [] Needs Improvement reflects current proficiency on	t this time, leave blank: [] Unsatisfactory

Reflection Questions			
Highly effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What additional insights are you gaining about the challenges of reconciling points of view disagreements and fully supporting and executing organizational policy and leadership decisions? What additional insights have you gained about the value of supporting processes that enable faculty to reflect on and modify their own mental models based on evidence rather than assumptions?	How might you reconcile your opinions with final decisions in supporting and implementing organizational policy and leadership decisions? How can you help your staff grow to acknowledge and implement systems for gaining multiple perspectives in decision- making?	When or how is it appropriate to challenge policy and leadership decisions, if at all? What leadership practices, structures, and processes could you put in place that would help staff know that dissent is welcomed as part of an informed decision-making process?	How do you deal with decisions with which you are uncomfortable? Do you think about the impact when unpopular or difficult policy decisions are undermined, ignored, or executed with public disagreement or lack of enthusiasm from yourself or your staff? What needs to be done to establish enough trust that faculty and staff feel free to present opposing views with you in an open, sharing way?

Indicator 10.2 – Professional Learning: The leader engages in professional learning that improves professional practice in alignment with the needs of the school and system and demonstrates explicit improvement in specific performance areas based on previous evaluations and formative feedback.

Narrative: Professional learning is addressed in several FSLA indicators, each from a different perspective. Indicator 4.5 is focused on what the leader does to engage faculty in meaningful professional learning (which includes being involved in what the faculty is learning). Indicator 4.4 focuses on professional learning needed to implement priority initiatives. Indicator 4.6 addresses alignment of faculty professional learning with improvement of instruction. The Deliberate Practice metric concentrates on a very few issues where the leader drives for deep learning and personal mastery of a few "thin slices." Indicator 10.2 is focused on the impact of the leader's professional learning – does the leader's learning result in improved performance?

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. Performance improvements linked to professional learning are shared with other leaders thus expanding impact. The leader approaches every professional learning opportunity with a view toward multidimensional impact. Knowledge and skills are shared throughout the organization and with other departments, schools, and districts. Rather than merely adopting the tools of external professional learning, this leader creates specific adaptations so that learning tools become part of the culture of the organization and are "home-grown" rather than externally generated. The leader provides evidence of leverage, applying each learning opportunity throughout the organization. This leader creates forms, checklists, self- assessments, and other tools so that concepts learned in professional development are applied in the daily lives of teachers and leaders throughout the organization.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. The leader routinely shows improvement in areas where professional learning was implemented. The leader engages in professional learning that is directly linked to organizational needs. The priority is given to building on personal leadership strengths. The leader personally attends and actively participates in the professional learning that is required of other leaders in the organization. The leader personally attends and actively participates in the professional learning required of teachers. There is clear evidence of the actual application of personal learning in the organization, this leader rigorously analyzes the cause for this and does not continue investing time and money in professional learning programs that lack clear evidence of success when applied in the organization.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader demonstrates some growth in some areas based on professional learning. The leader actively participates in professional learning, but it is reflective of a personal agenda rather than addressing the strategic needs of the organization. The leader attends professional learning for colleagues, but does not fully engage in it and set an example of active participation. The leader has given intellectual assent to some important learning experiences, but can give only a few specific examples of application to the organization.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. There is no or only minimal impact of professional learning on the leader's performance. The leader might introduce a professional learning program, but does not participate in the learning activities along with the staff. The leader is not strategic in planning a personal professional learning focus aligned with the school or district goals. Even on those rare occasions when the leader engages in professional learning, the purpose appears to be merely collecting information rather than reflecting on it and applying it to the organization. Professional learning is an expense, not an investment in constructive improvements.
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this indicator may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the		Impact Evidence of leadership behaviors or actions of the facu community. Illustrative example	lty, staff, students, and/or

following:	include, but are not limited to the following:
 The leader is an active participant in professional learning provided for faculty. The leader's professional growth plan includes professional learning topics that are directly linked to the needs of the school or district. Evidence the leader has applied lessons learned from the research to enhance personal leadership practices. Case studies of action research shared with subordinates and/or colleagues. Forms, checklists, self-assessments, and other learning tools the leader has created that help the leader apply concepts learned in professional development. Membership and participation in professional learning provided by professional organizations. The leader shares professional learning with other school leaders. Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. 	 Teachers' anecdotal evidence of the leader's support for and participation in professional learning. The frequency with which faculty members are engaged in professional learning with the school leader. Changes in student growth data, discipline data, etc., after the leader's professional development. Teachers can articulate professional learning shared by the leader after the leader's professional learning was implemented. Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evide proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency level	vels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank:
[] Highly Effective [] Effective	[] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory
	reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples

Reflection Questions for Indicator 10.2

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What has been most effective in creating a focus on professional learning? How might you lead this effort across the district? How have you synthesized new professional learning into existing learning for more sophisticated application? How have you applied this learning to support and encourage the growth of other leaders? How will you leverage your professional learning throughout the school, district, and beyond?	To what degree do you explicitly identify the focus areas for professional development in faculty and grade level/department meetings? How will you determine whether application of your own professional learning is impacting student achievement and the school as a whole? How are you adjusting application when clear evidence of success is not apparent?	How are you investing your professional learning and applying it to your school on daily basis? How do you apply this learning in multiple leadership venues?	What steps can you take to participate in professional learning focused on school and district goals with your staff? What steps can you take to begin to apply professional learning to your daily work?

Indicator 10.3 – Commitment: The leader demonstrates a commitment to the success of all students, identifying barriers and their impact on the well-being of the school, families, and local community.

Narrative: Leaders are committed to carrying out the role of school leader in ways that benefit others: Students – faculty – community. Barriers to having that impact are not seen as reasons to give up but as problems to be solved.

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. The messaging and support systems of the effective principal are expanded to engage parents and the community at large in participating in actions that promote student success and mitigate or eliminate multiple barriers to success. The principal's actions on behalf of students form a foundation of mutual respect between students, faculty and the community.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. There are programs and processes within the school that focus all students on the importance of success in school and multiple tiers of support to assist them in overcoming barriers to success. Positive slogans and exhortations to succeed are supported with specific and realistic guidance and supports on how to succeed and overcome barriers. The schools vision of success for all students is shared with the community at large.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader demonstrates professional concern for students and for the development of the student's potential but implementation of processes to identify barriers to student success have limited scope and have resulted in actions to mitigate those barriers and provide supports for success only for some students. There are gaps in processes that engage all faculty in understanding the student population and the community in which they live. Some student sub-groups do not perceive the school as focused on their best interests	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. Other than slogans and exhortations to do better, there is minimal or no evidence of principal leadership being employed to implement the FEAPs and FPLS for the benefit of students in the school, and the leader is not perceived by staff, students, or community as a sincere and effective advocate for the students.
 seen in the leader's behaviors of of such evidence may include, befollowing: Agenda, memorandum, and or emphasis on student success barriers to success. Agenda, memorandum, and or emphasis on deepening facult and the community in which the The leader can describe the or lives and provide specific exal support student success. Barriers to student achievement identified in the SIP, and stratt them. 	 interests. include, but are not limited to the following: Student results show growth in all sub-groups. Faculty members' anecdotal evidence describes a leader focused on and community involvement in student success. Parent and community involve		Ity, staff, students and/or <u>s</u> of such evidence may <u>e</u> following: n all sub-groups. evidence describes a leader student success. ement in student supports are ds of a wide range of students. splayed throughout the community. Iraw attention to positive actions of
Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator. Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: [] Highly Effective [] Effective [] Needs Improvement [] Unsatisfactory Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this indicator? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected):			

Reflection Questions for Indicator 10.3

Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
What actions are needed to sustain the role of the school in generating a community wide effort to insure students succeed?	What outreach can you initiate to expand the involvement of parents and community leaders in supporting student success and deepening understanding of the barriers and actions that mitigate them?	Have you presented an effective challenge to perceptions that student apathy or lack of parent involvement are acceptable explanations for lack of success by some students or sub- groups?	Do you know enough about the students and the community in which they live to recognize the barriers that prevent success by all of the students?

Indicator 10.4 – Professional Conduct. The leader Adheres to the Code of Ethics (Rules 6B-1.001) of the Education Profession in Florida and to the Principles of Professional Conduct for the education profession (Rules 6B-1.006, F.A.C.).

Narrative: State Board Rules define specific expectations for the conduct and ethical behaviors for Florida educators.

Rating Rubric

Highly Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders. There is clear, convincing, and consistent evidence that the school leader abides by the spirit, as well as the intent, of policies, laws, and regulations that govern the school and the education profession in the state of Florida, and inspires others within the organization to abide by that same behavior. The leader clearly demonstrates the importance of maintaining the respect and confidence of his or her colleagues, of students, of parents, and of other members of the community, as a result the leader achieves and sustains the highest degree of ethical conduct and serves as a model for others within the district.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations. There is clear evidence that the leader values the worth and dignity of all people, the pursuit of truth, devotion to excellence (i.e., sets high expectations and goals for all learners, then tries in every way possible to help students reach them) acquisition of knowledge, and the nurture of democratic citizenship. The leader's primary professional concern is for the student and for the development of the student's potential. Therefore, the leader acquires the knowledge and skills to exercise the best professional judgment and integrity. The leader demonstrates the importance of maintaining the respect and confidence of his or her colleagues, of students, of parents, and of other members of the community. As a result the leader adheres to the prescribed ethical conduct.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency. The leader's behaviors enable recurring misunderstanding and misperceptions about the leader's conduct and ethics as expressed in the Code and Principles. There are segments of the school community whose developmental needs are not addressed and leadership efforts to understand and address those needs is not evident. The leader has only a general recollection of issues addressed in the Code and Principles and there is limited evidence that the school leader abides by the spirit, as well as the intent, of policies, laws, and regulations that govern the school and the education profession in the state of Florida.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact. The leader's patterns of behavior are inconsistent with the Code of Ethics, Rule 6B-1.001, or disciplinary action has been initiated based on violation of the Principles of Professional Conduct, Rule 6B-1.006.
 leader's judgment and/or intellearning environment, instructionganization. School improvement plan's for evidence of actions taken to a School safety and behavioral leader for the benefit of stude Other leadership evidence of 	or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> but are not limited to the from teachers regarding the grity on issues related to the itonal improvement or school provided by parents regarding the grity on issues related to the itonal improvement or school ocus on student success and accomplish such plans. expectations promoted by the nts. proficiency on this indicator.	for the principal's ethics and oRecognition by community and	Ity, staff, students and/or <u>s</u> of such evidence may <u>e following:</u> cdotal evidence reflecting respect conduct. Ind parent organizations of the odel for student and adults in the ire results. ficiency on this indicator.

Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this indicator, assign a

proficiency level by checking	one of the four proficienc	cy levels below. If not being rated	at this time, leave blank:
[] Highly Effective	[] Effective	[] Needs Improvement	[] Unsatisfactory
Evidence Log (Specifically, w	hat has been observed	that reflects current proficiency or	n this indicator? The examples
above are illustrative and do r	ot reflect an exclusive lis	st of what is expected):	

Reflection Questions for Indicator 10.4

Highly Effective: Leaders action's or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator exceed effective levels and constitute models of proficiency for other leaders.	Effective: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are sufficient and appropriate reflections of quality work with only normal variations.	Needs Improvement: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are evident but are inconsistent or of insufficient scope or proficiency.	Unsatisfactory: Leader's actions or impact of leader's actions relevant to this indicator are minimal or are not occurring, or are having an adverse impact.
How might you expand your influence within the district so that others achieve and sustain your high degree of ethical conduct?	What might be some strategies you could pursue that would inspire others within the organization to demonstrate your level of ethical behavior?	How might you be more overt in demonstrating that you abide by the spirit, as well as the intent, of policies, laws, and regulations that govern the school and the education profession in the state of Florida?	In what ways are you demonstrating that you abide by the spirit, as well as the intent, of policies, laws, and regulations that govern the school and the education profession in the state of Florida?

• Procedures for observing and collecting data and other evidence of instructional

leadership [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(c)5., F.A.C.].

3. Other Indicators of Performance

Directions:

The district shall provide:

- The additional performance indicators, if the district chooses to include such additional indicators pursuant to s. 1012.34(3)(a)4., F.S.;
- The percentage of the final evaluation that is based upon the additional indicators; and
- The scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(d), F.A.C.].

Examples include the following:

- Deliberate Practice the selection of indicators or practices, improvement on which is measured during an evaluation period
- Peer Reviews
- Objectively reliable survey information from students and parents based on teaching practices that are consistently associated with higher student achievement
- Individual Professional Leadership Plan
- Other indicators, as selected by the district

.....

4. Summative Evaluation Score

Directions:

The district shall provide:

- The summative evaluation form(s); and
- The scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined; and
- The performance standards used to determine the summative evaluation rating. Districts shall use the four performance levels provided in s. 1012.34(2)(e), F.S., [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(e), F.A.C.].
- The performance labels used in Section 1012.34, F.S. for summative performance levels are also used in the FSLA to summarize feedback on domains, proficiency areas, and indicators:
 - Highly Effective (HE)
 - Effective (E)
 - Needs Improvement (NI)
 - Unsatisfactory (U)

Scoring Guide for State Model Metrics

An evaluation system that is aligned with the purpose of Section 1012.34, F.S. and applicable State Board rules (e.g., 6A-5.065, 6A-5.080) has two functions:

- Providing quality feedback during a work year that focuses improvement effort on essential proficiencies.
- Generating an annual summative performance level based on the proficiency exhibited during the work year.

For Florida School Leaders being evaluated using the FSLA, the Florida state model for principal evaluation, the summative annual performance level is based on two factors:

- <u>Student Growth Measures Score (SGM)</u>: The performance of students under the leader's supervision represents 35% of the annual performance level. The specific growth measures used and "cut points" applied must conform to Florida Statutes and State Board rules.
- <u>Leadership Practice Score</u>: An assessment of the leader's proficiency on the Florida Principal Leadership Standards (FPLS). This is based on two metrics:
 - The Florida School Leader Assessment (FSLA): A system for feedback and growth based on the leader's work and impact of that work on others. The FSLA contributes 80% of the Leadership Practice Score.
 - Deliberate Practice (DP): Deep learning and growth on a few very specific aspects of educational leadership. The DP Score contributes 20% of the Leadership Practice Score.

Summary of Scoring Processes

1. Score Indicators Based on rubrics in the "long forms"
--

2.	Score Proficiency Areas	Based on tables in this guide
3.	Score Domains	Based on tables in this guide
4.	Score FSLA	Based on formula in this guide
5.	Score Deliberate Practice Metric	Based on directions in this guide
6.	Calculate Leadership Practice Score	Combine FSLA and Deliberate Practice Scores
		Based on formula in this guide
7.	Calculate Student Growth Measure Score	Use district cut points for SGM
8.	Assign Proficiency Level rating label	Combine Leadership and SGM scores

What this FSLA Scoring Guide Covers:

Section One: How to "score" the FSLA

Section Two: How to "score" Deliberate Practice

Section Three: Leadership Practice Score

Section Four: Annual Performance Rating

Section One: How to Score the FSLA

District Options: The scoring process for the FSLA is one of a number of alternative scoring methods. Districts using the FSLA may use this scoring process <u>or</u> design a district system for scoring the FSLA. Use of the FSLA and use of the FSLA Scoring system are separate decisions. If using the FSLA scoring process, reference this scoring guide in element II-D in the "Review and Approval Checklist for Instructional Personnel and School Administrator Evaluation Systems" when submitting for review and approval. If your scoring model is adapted or is a district-developed scoring process, include your document(s) that describe your scoring process when you submit for review.

About the FSLA Scoring Process

The state scoring model has these features:

- The performance labels used in Section 1012.34, F.S. for summative performance levels are also used in the FSLA to summarize feedback on domains, proficiency areas, and indicators:
 - Highly Effective (HE)
 - o Effective (E)
 - Needs Improvement (NI)
 - o Unsatisfactory (U)
- Direct Weighting: The FSLA score is based on ratings for each of four domains, but the system specifically gives added weight to Domain 2: Instructional Leadership: The weights are:
 - Domain 1: Student Achievement: 20%
 - Domain 2: Instructional Leadership: 40%
 - Domain 3: Organizational Leadership: 20%
 - Domain 4: Professional and Ethical Behavior: 20%
- Embedded Weighting: The use of Domain scores to generate an FSLA score results in embedded weighting as the Domains have different numbers of indicators. For example: Domain 1 has eight indicators, Domain 3 has 16 indicators and Domain 4 has four indicators, but each Domain contributes 20% to the FLSA score. The result of this is:
 - Domain 2 indicators have the most impact on the FSLA results due to direct weighing. There are 17 indicators, but the Domain is weighted at 40%, thus magnifying the impact of that domain on the final rating.
 - Domain 4 has the next highest level of impact due to embedded weighting. There are only four indicators in this Domain, but the Domain contributes 20% of the FSLA score.
 - Domain 1 has more impact than Domain 3 since Domain 1 has eight indicators and Domain 3 has 16 indicators, but each Domain contributes 20% of the FSLA score.
- Proficiency on Indicators leads to an FSLA Score.
 - Ratings on indicators (using rubrics in the FSLA) are combined to generate a rating (HE, E, NI, or U) on each Proficiency Area.
 - Ratings on Proficiency Areas are combined (using the tables in this scoring guide) to generate a Domain Rating.
 - Ratings on Domains are combined (using tables in this scoring guide) to generate a FLSA Score.

How to determine an FSLA Score.

Generating a score for the FSLA has four steps:

Step One: Rate each Indicator.

Start with judgments on the indicators. Indicators in each Proficiency Area are rated as HE, E, NI, or U based on accumulated evidence.

- The FSLA supports this indicator proficiency rating process with <u>rubrics</u> for distinguishing between the levels (HE, E, NI, or U) that are specific to the indicator.
- To guide the rating decision, <u>illustrative examples</u> of leadership actions and illustrative examples of impacts of leadership actions are provided.
- The rubrics for indicators and the illustrative examples are found in the "long forms" the Data Collection and Feedback Protocols" posted on <u>www.floridaschoolleaders.org</u> (in the Learning Library, Resources Menu: Evaluation Resources School Leaders Use Single Sign-on Login).
- Ratings can be recorded on the long form or the short form (all FSLA forms and supporting resources are found on <u>www.floridaschoolleaders.org</u>).

Rating Labels: What do they mean?

The principal should complete a self-assessment by scoring each of the indicators. The evaluator also will score each of the indicators. In an end-of the year conference, their respective ratings are shared and discussed. The evaluator then determines a final rating for each indicator and, using the procedures in this scoring guide, calculates an FSLA score.

Indicator ratings:

When assigning ratings to indicators in the FSLA, the evaluator should begin by reviewing the indicator rubrics. These are "word-picture" descriptions of leadership behaviors in each of the four levels of leadership behavior—"Highly Effective", "Effective", "Needs Improvement", and "Unsatisfactory." The evaluator finds the level that best describes performance related to the indicator.

The rating rubrics provide criteria that distinguish among the proficiency levels on the indicator. The illustrative examples of Leadership Evidence and Impact Evidence for each indicator provide direction on the range of evidence to consider. The rating for each indicator is the lowest rating for which the "word-picture" descriptors are appropriate and representative descriptions of what was observed about the leader's performance.

The ratings on the indicators aggregate to a rating on the Proficiency Areas based on tables in this guide. The ratings on the Proficiency Areas within a Domain aggregate to a domain rating, using tables and formulas in this scoring guide.

The FSLA rubrics are designed to give principals a formative as well as a summative assessment of where they stand in all leadership performance areas and detailed guidance on how to improve. While they are not checklists for school visits by the principal's supervisor, they do reflect the key behaviors about which supervisors and principals should be conversing frequently throughout the year. Moreover, these behavioral leadership descriptions will form the basis for principal and supervisor coaching and mentoring sessions.

Distinguishing between proficiency ratings:

The "Effective" level describes leadership performance that has local impact (i.e., within the school) and meets organizational needs. It is adequate, necessary, and clearly makes a significant contribution to the school. The majority of the leadership workforce will be in the effective area once they have a clear understanding of what the FPLS require and have made the adjustments and growth necessary to upgrade performance. The previous rating system of "satisfactory" and "unsatisfactory" does not provide any guidance as to where those who repeat past performance levels will fall in the shift to research and standards-based assessments. Both school leaders and evaluators should reflect on performance based on the new FPLS and the rubrics of the FSLA.

The "Highly Effective" level is reserved for truly outstanding leadership as described by very demanding criteria. Performance at this level is dramatically superior to "Effective" in its impact on students, staff members, parents, and the school district. Highly effective leadership results from recurring engagement with "deliberate practice." In brief, the "Highly Effective" leader helps every other element within the organization become as good as they are. In normal distributions, some leaders will be rated highly effective on some indicators, but very few leaders will be rated highly effective as a summative performance level.

The "Needs Improvement" level describes principals who understand what is required for success, are willing to work toward that goal, and, with coaching and support, can become proficient. Needs improvement rating will occur where expectations have been raised and standards made more focused and specific. Professional behavior and focused professional learning will guide school leaders toward increasingly effective performance.

Performance at the "Unsatisfactory" level describe leaders who do not understand what is required for proficiency or who have demonstrated through their actions and/or inactions that they choose not to become proficient on the strategies, knowledge bases, and skills sets needed for student learning to improve and faculties to develop.

Step Two: Rate each Proficiency Area.

Ratings on the indicators in a Proficiency Area are combined to assign a proficiency level (HE, E, NI, or U) to a Proficiency Area: The distribution of indicator ratings within a Proficiency Area result in a Proficiency Area Rating. Since the number of indicators in a Proficiency Area varies, the following formulas are applied to assign Proficiency Area ratings. For each Proficiency Area, use the appropriate table.

For Proficier	For Proficiency Areas 1,2,5,7,9 and 10 with four Indicators , each Proficiency Area is rated:					
Highly Effect	ive (HE) if: three	e or more indica	tors are HE and none are less than E.			
Examples:	HE+HE+HE+HE= H	IE HE+HE+HE+E=H	ΗΕ			
Effective (E)	if: at least three	e are E or higher	and no more than one are NI. None are U.			
Examples:	E+E+E+HE=E	E+E+E+NI=E	E+E+E=E			
Needs Impro	Needs Improvement (NI) if: Criteria for E not met and no more than one is U.					
Examples:	E+E+NI+NI=NI	HE+HE+NI+NI =NI	HE+E+U+NI=NI			
Unsatisfactory (U) if: two or more are U.						
Examples:	HE+U+U+HE=U	E+NI+U+U=U	E+E+U+U=U			

For the Proficiency Areas with fewer or more than four indicators, use the appropriate table below:

Table 2

For proficiency Area 3 with six Indicators , each Proficiency Area is rated:					
Highly Effect	Highly Effective (HE) if: four or more indicators are HE and none are less than E.				
Examples:	HE+HE+HE+HE+HE+HE=HE	HE+HE+HE+HE-	+E+E=HE		
Effective (E)	if: at least four are E o	r higher and no more	than two are NI. No	one are U.	
Examples:	HE+HE+E+E+E=E	E+E+E+E+NI+NI=E			
Needs Impro	Needs Improvement (NI) if: Criteria for E not met and no more than two are U.				
Examples:	HE+HE+NI+NI+NI+NI=NI	NI+NI+NI+NI+U+U=NI	E+E+E+NI+NI+NI=NI	HE+HE+E+E+E+U=NI	
Unsatisfactory (U) if: two or more are U.					
Examples:	HE+HE+HE+HE+U+U=U	NI+NI+NI+NI+U+U=U			

Table 3

For Proficiency Area 4 with seven Indicators, each Proficiency Area is rated:					
Highly Effect	Highly Effective (HE) if: five or more indicators are HE and none are less than E.				
Examples:	HE+HE+HE+HE+HE+E=HE				
Effective (E)	Effective (E) if: at least five are E or higher and no more than two are NI. None are U.				
Examples:	Examples: HE+HE+E+E+NI+NI=E E+E+E+E+NI+NI=E				
Needs Impro	Needs Improvement (NI) if: Criteria for E not met and no more than two are U.				
Examples:	E+E+E+E+NI+NI+NI=NI	HE+HE+E+E+E+U+U=NI	HE+HE+HE+HE+HE+U=NI		
Unsatisfactory (U) if: two or more are U.					
Examples:	HE+HE+HE+HE+HE+U+U=U	NI+NI+NI+NI+NI+U+U=U			

Table 4

For Proficiency Area 6 with five Indicators , each Proficiency Area is rated:					
Highly Effective	Highly Effective (HE) if: four or more indicators are HE and none are less than E.				
Examples:	HE+HE+HE+HE=HE HE+HE+HE+E=HE				
Effective (E) if	Effective (E) if: at least four are E or higher and no more than one are NI. None are U.				
Examples:	E+E+E+E=E HE+HE+E+E=E HE+E+E+E+NI=E E+E+E+NI=E				
Needs Improv	Needs Improvement (NI) if: Criteria for E not met and no more than one is U.				
Examples: HE+HE+NI+NI=NI E+E+NI+NI+U=NI NI+NI+NI+U=NI					
Unsatisfactory (U) if: two or more are U.					
Examples:	HE+HE+HE+U+U=U NI+NI+NI+U+U=U				

Table 5

For Proficiency Area 8 with three Indicators, each Proficiency Area is rated:							
Highly Effective	ve (HE) if: tv	vo or more inc	licators are	e HE and nor	ne are less tha	n E.	
Examples:	HE+HE+HE=H	e he	+HE+E=HE				
Effective (E) if	f: two or mo	ore are E or hig	sher and no	more than	one is NI. Nor	ne are U.	
Examples:	E+E+E=E	E+E+HE=E E+	HE+NI=E	HE+HE+NI=E			
Needs Improv	Needs Improvement (NI) if: Criteria for E not met and no more than one is U.						
Examples:	NI+NI+NI=NI	NI+NI+U=NI	HE+E+L	J=NI HE+	+NI+NI=NI		
Unsatisfactory (U) if: two or more are U.							
Examples:	HE+U+U=U	NI+U+U=U					

When you have a rating (HE, E, NI, or U) for each Proficiency Area in a Domain, you then generate a Domain rating.

Step Three: Rate Each Domain.

Domains are rated as HE, E, NI, or U based on the distribution of ratings on Proficiency Areas within the Domain. The tables below provide rating criteria for each FSLA Domain. Table 6

Domain Rating	Domain I: Student Achievement (Two Proficiency Areas)	
Highly Effective if:	Both Proficiency Areas rated HE	
Effective if:	One Proficiency Area rated HE and one Effective, or	
	Both rated Effective	
Needs Improvement if:	One Proficiency Area rated HE or E and one rated NI or U	
	Both Proficiency Areas rated NI	
Unsatisfactory if:	One Proficiency Area rated NI and the other is rated U	
	Both are rated U	

Table 7

Domain Rating	Domain 2: Instructional Leadership (Three Proficiency Areas)	
Highly Effective if:	All three Proficiency Areas are HE	
	Two Proficiency Areas rated HE and one E	
Effective if:	Two Proficiency Area rated E and one Effective or NI	
	All three Proficiency Areas rated E	
Needs Improvement if:	Any two Proficiency Areas rated NI	
	• One Proficiency Area rated NI, one Proficiency Area rated U	
	and one Proficiency Area rated E or HE	
Unsatisfactory if:	Two or more Proficiency Areas rated U	

Т	al	ole	e 8	3
	u			•

Domain Rating	Domain 3: Organizational Leadership (Four Proficiency Areas)		
Highly Effective if:	All four Proficiency Areas are HE		
	Three Proficiency Areas rated HE and one E		
Effective if:	 Two Proficiency Areas rated E and two rated HE 		
	All four Proficiency Areas rated E		
	Three Proficiency Areas rated E and one rated either NI or HE		
Needs Improvement if:	 Two Proficiency Areas rated E and two rated NI 		
	Any three Proficiency Areas rated NI		
	One Proficiency Area rated NI, one Proficiency Area rated U		
	and two Proficiency Area rated E or HE		
Unsatisfactory if:	Two or more Proficiency Areas rated U		

Table 9

Tuble 5	
Domain Rating	Domain 4: Professional Behaviors (One Proficiency Area)
Highly Effective if:	If Proficiency Area 10 rated HE
Effective if:	If Proficiency Area 10 rated E
Needs Improvement if:	If Proficiency Area 10 rated NI
Unsatisfactory if:	If Proficiency Area 10 rated U

When you have determined Domain ratings, you then combine those ratings to generate an FSLA score.

Step 4: Calculate the FSLA Score.

- In Step One, proficiency ratings for indicators were made based on an assessment of available evidence and the rating rubrics.
- In Step Two, the apportionment of Indicators ratings, using the tables provided, generated a rating for each Proficiency Area within a Domain.
- In Step Three, Domain ratings were generated. All of these steps were based on evidence on the indicators and scoring tables.

<u>At the FSLA scoring stage the model shifts to a weighted point system</u>. Points are assigned to Domain ratings, direct weights are employed, and scores are converted to a numerical scale. The following point model is used:

Table 10

DOMAIN RATING	POINTS ASSIGNED
A Domain rating of Highly Effective	3 points
A Domain rating of Effective	2 points
A Domain rating of Needs Improvement	1 point
A Domain rating of Unsatisfactory	0 points

The Domain points are multiplied by the Domain's direct weight: The rating is entered in column 2 ("Rating"), the points in column 3 ("Points"), and a weighted score calculated in column 5.

Table 11				
Domain	Rating	Points	Weight	Domain Weighted
				Score
Domain I: Student Achievement			.20	
Domain 2: Instructional Leadership			.40	
Domain 3: Organizational Leadership			.20	
Domain 4: Professional and Ethical Behavior			.20	

Example

Table 12				
Domain	Rating	Points	Weight	Domain
				Weighed Score
Domain I: Student Achievement	HE	3	.20	.6
Domain 2:Instructional Leadership	E	2	.40	.8
Domain 3:Organizational Leadership	HE	3	.20	.6
Domain 4: Professional & Ethical Behavior	NI	1	.20	.2

After a Domain Weighted Score is calculated, the scores are converted to a 100 point scale. This process results in a FSLA Score range of 0 to 300 Points.

This table illustrates the conversion of a Domain Weighted value to a 100 point scale.

Example

Table 13

Domain	Rating	Points	Weight	Weighed value	Convert to 100 point scale	Domain Score
Domain I	HE	3	.20	.6	x 100	60
Student Achievement						
Domain 2	E	2	.40	.8	x 100	80
Instructional Leadership						
Domain 3	HE	3	.20	.6	x 100	60
Organizational Leadership						
Domain 4	NI	1	20	.2	x 100	20
Professional and Ethical						
Behavior						
FSLA Score						220

The Domain scores are added up and an FSLA score determined. The FSLA Score is converted to an FSLA rating of HE, E, NI, or U based on this scale:

Table 14	
FSLA SCORE	FSLA Proficiency Rating
240 to 300	Highly Effective
150 to 239	Effective
75 to 149	Needs Improvement
0 to 74	Unsatisfactory

The FSLA score will be combined with a Deliberate Practice Score and/ or Professional Development Score to generate a Leadership Practice Score.

The FSLA score will count as 55% of the overall Leadership Score.

The Deliberate Practice and/or Professional Development Score will count as 10% of the overall Leadership Practice Score.

The Student Achievement / Student Growth Score will count as 35% of the overall Leadership Practice Score.

Evaluation Scoring Form – Domains 1-4

Conference/Proficiency Status Short Form

Florida School Leader Assessment (FSLA)

Conference Summary/Proficiency Status Update - Short Form

Leader:

Supervisor:

This form summarizes feedback about proficiency on the indicators, standards, and domains marked below based on consideration of evidence encountered during this timeframe:

Domain 1: Student Achievement

() Needs Improvement () Unsatisfactory

() Highly Effective () Effective Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on an indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels. If not being rated at this time, leave blank.

Proficiency Area 1 - Student Learning Results: Effective school leaders achieve results on the school's student learning goals and direct energy, influence, and resources toward data analysis for instructional improvement, development and implementation of quality standards-based curricula.

•	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 1.1 – Academic Standards	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 1.2 – Performance Data	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 1.3 – Planning and Goal Setting	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 1.4 - Student Achievement Resu	Its () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory

Proficiency Area 2 - Student Learning as a Priority: Effective school leaders demonstrate that student learning is their top priority through effective leadership actions that build and support a learning organization focused on student success.

	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 2.1 - Learning Organization	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 2.2 - School Climate	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 2.3 - High Expectations	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 2.4 - Student Performance Focus	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory

Domain 2: Instructional Leadership

() Unsatisfactory

() Highly Effective () Effective () Needs Improvement Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on an indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels. If not being rated at this time, leave blank.

Proficiency Area 3 - Instructional Plan Implementation: Effective school leaders work collaboratively to develop and implement an instructional framework that aligns curriculum with state standards, effective instructional practices, student learning needs, and assessments.

	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 3.1 - FEAPs	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 3.2- Standards based Instruction	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 3.3 - Learning Goals Alignments	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 3.4 - Curriculum Alignments	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 3.5 - Quality Assessments	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 3.6 - Faculty Effectiveness	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Proficional Aroa A Faculty Dovelopm	ont: Effoctivo scho	al landars rac	ruit rotain and dovolo	n an offective and

Proficiency Area 4 - Faculty Development: Effective school leaders recruit, retain, and develop an effective and diverse faculty and staff; focus on evidence, research, and classroom realities faced by teachers; link professional practice with student achievement to demonstrate the cause and effect relationship; facilitate effective professional development; monitor implementation of critical initiatives; and secure and provide timely feedback to teachers so that feedback can be used to increase teacher professional practice. () Highly Effective () Effective () Needs Improvement () Unsatisfactory

Indicator 4.1 - Recruitment and Retention	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 4.2- Feedback Practices	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 4.3 - High effect size strategies	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 4.4 - Instructional Initiatives	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 4.5 - Facilitating & Leading Prof. L	earning () Highly Eff	ective () Effect	ive () Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 4.6 – Faculty Development Alignm	ents () Highly Effec	tive () Effect	ive () Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 4.7 - Actual Improvement	() Highly Effect	tive () Effect	ive () Needs Improvemen	t () Unsatisfactory
Proficiency Area 5 - Learning Environr	nent: Effective sch	ool leaders st	ructure and monitor a	school learning
environment that improves learning f	or all of Florida's d	liverse studen	t population.	
	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 5.1 - Student Centered	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 5.2 - Success Oriented	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 5.3- Diversity	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 5.4 - Achievement Gaps	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
indicator 5.4 - Achievement Gaps	() Hignly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory

Domain 3 - Organizational Leadership

() Needs Improvement () Unsatisfactory

() Highly Effective () Effective Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on an indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels. If not being rated at this time, leave blank.

Proficiency Area 6 - Decision Making: Effective school leaders employ and monitor a decision-making process that is based on vision, mission, and improvement priorities using facts and data; manage the decision making process, but not all decisions, using the process to empower others and distribute leadership when appropriate; establish personal deadlines for themselves and the entire organization; and use a transparent process for making decisions and articulating who makes which decisions.

	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 6.1- Prioritization Practices	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 6.2- Problem Solving.	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 6.3 - Quality Control	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 6.4 - Distributive Leadership	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 6.5 - Technology Integration	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory

Proficiency Area 7 - Leadership Development: Effective school leaders actively cultivate, support, and develop other leaders within the organization, modeling trust, competency, and integrity in ways that positively impact and inspire growth in other potential leaders.

	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 7.1- Leadership Team	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 7.2 - Delegation	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 7.3 - Succession Planning	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 7.4 - Relationships	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory

Proficiency Area 8 - School Management: Effective school leaders manage the organization, operations, and facilities in ways that maximize the use of resources to promote a safe, efficient, legal, and effective learning environment; effectively manage and delegate tasks and consistently demonstrate fiscal efficiency; and understand the benefits of going deeper with fewer initiatives as opposed to superficial coverage of everything.

	() Highly Effective	() Effective (() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 8.1 - Organizational Skills	() Highly Effective	() Effective (() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 8.2- Strategic Instructional Res	ourcing () Highly Effect	ive () Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 8.3 – Collegial Learning Resource	ces () Highly Effect	tive () Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory

Proficiency Area 9 - Communication: Effective school leaders use appropriate oral, written, and electronic communication and collaboration skills to accomplish school and system goals by practicing two-way communications, seeking to listen and learn from and building and maintaining relationships with students, faculty, parents, and community; managing a process of regular communications to staff and community keeping all stakeholders engaged in the work of the school; recognizing individuals for good work; and maintaining high visibility at school and in the community. () Highly Effective () Effective () Needs Improvement () Unsatisfactory

Indicator 9.1— Constructive Conversations	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 9.2 - Clear Goals and Expectations	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory

Indicator 9.3 - Accessibility	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 9.4 - Recognitions	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory

Domain 4 - Professional and Ethical Behaviors

() Highly Effective () Effective () Needs Improvement () Unsatisfactory

Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on an indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels. If not being rated at this time, leave blank.

Proficiency Area 10 - Professional and Ethical Behaviors: Effective school leaders demonstrate personal and professional behaviors consistent with quality practices in education and as a community leader by staying informed on current research in education and demonstrating their understanding of the research, engage in professional development opportunities that improve personal professional practice and align with the needs of the school system, and generate a professional development focus in their school that is clearly linked to the system-wide strategic objectives.

	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 10.1 – Resiliency	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 10.2 - Professional Learning	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 10.3 - Commitment	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory
Indicator 10.4 – Professional Conduct	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory

SUMMATIVE EVALUTION FORM: Annual PERFORMANCE LEVEL

Name:	
School:	School Year:
Evaluator:	District:
Evaluator's Title:	Date Completed:

Examine all sources of evidence for each of the four domains, using the results from the FSLA process as it applies to the school leader's performance. Incorporate the Deliberate Practice Score. Refer to the Scoring Guide to rate FSLA and Deliberate Practice. Assign an overall evaluation of the school leader's performance, sign the form and obtain the signature of the school leader.

Domain	Rating	Points	Weight	Domain Weighted Score
Domain 1 – Student Achievement			.20	
Domain 2 – Instructional Leadership			.40	
Domain 3 – Organizational Leadership			.20	
Domain 4 – Professional and Ethical			.20	
Behavior				

Once Domain Weighted Score is obtained, it is converted to a 100 point scale to obtain the FSLA score.

Domain	Weighted	Convert to 100 point	Domain Score
	Value	scale	
Domain 1 – Student Achievement		X 100	
Domain 2 – Instructional Leadership		X 100	
Domain 3 – Organizational Leadership		X 100	
Domain 4 – Professional and Ethical		X 100	
Behavior			
FSLA Score			

FSLA Score Rating Rubric

FSLA Score	FSLA Proficiency Rating
240 to 300	Highly Effective
151 to 239	Effective
75 to 150	Needs Improvement
0 to 74	Unsatisfactory

Overall School Leader FSLA Rating: _____

The information as shown below is the summative evaluation for the School Leader Evaluation System. Calculations and point totals are based on information included in the School Leader Evaluation System.

Annual Performance Evaluation Score Sheet

A. FSLA Score (55% of total) + Deliberate Practice/Professional Dev. Score (10% of total) =

Leadership Practice Score (65% of Overall Total): _____

B. Student growth Measure Score (35% of total): ______

(The student growth score used for school year ______ will be the school wide VAM score for each school converted to a 300 point scale as required by the School Leader Evaluation system.)

C. Overall School Leader Evaluation Score: _____

OVERALL EVALUATION SCORE	OVERALL PROFICIENCY RATING
240 to 300	Highly Effective
150 to 239	Effective
75 to 149	Needs Improvement
0 to 74	Unsatisfactory

Performance level is	 	() Needs Improvement	
School Leader Signature			
Date:	 		
Evaluator's Signature:			
Date:	 		

.....

5. Additional Requirements

Directions:

The district shall provide:

• Documentation that the evaluator is the individual who is responsible for supervising the employee. An evaluator may consider input from other personnel trained in evaluation practices. If input is provided by other personnel, identify the additional positions or persons. Examples include assistant principals, peers, district staff, department heads, grade level chairpersons, or team leaders [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)2., F.A.C.].

Identification of supervisory personnel performing evaluations:

Evaluation data shall be based on the direct observation of the individual's performance at appropriate times. The primary evaluator of any administrator shall be their immediate supervisor. Principals shall evaluate assistant principals assigned to their school or location. Principals are evaluated by the superintendent or an assigned designee(s). District level administrative personnel shall be evaluated by the superintendent or an assigned designee.

• Description of training programs and processes to ensure that all employees subject to an evaluation system are informed on evaluation criteria, data sources, methodologies, and procedures associated with the evaluation before the evaluation takes place, and that all individuals with evaluation responsibilities and those who provide input toward evaluation understand the proper use of the evaluation criteria and procedures [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)3., F.A.C.].

Training: Provides for training programs that are based upon guidelines provided by the department to ensure that all employees subject to an evaluation system are informed on evaluation criteria and processes, and that all individuals with evaluation responsibilities and those who provide input toward evaluation understand the proper use of the evaluation criteria and procedures. These will include:

A. Systemic processes to inform workforce and evaluators, using Florida's common language of instruction, on what they are to know and be able to do based on elements in the evaluation system.

All employees subject to an evaluation under the new Florida Principal Leadership Standards (FPLS) will be trained on the evaluation criteria and processes. All individuals with evaluation responsibilities and those who provide input toward evaluations will be trained on the proper use of the evaluation tool, criteria, and procedures. Such trainings will occur no later than the end of the first 30 days of the school year and/or employment of the individual impacted and may include

site, district, regional (e.g. PAEC) or state level training opportunities. All stakeholders will integrate Florida's common language into the Florida Principal Leadership domains, standards and indicators. B. Systemic processes to provide initial training and continuously improve the capacities of workforce and evaluators, using Florida's common language of instruction, to understand and implement the performance expectations in the evaluation system indicators.

An evaluation system provides evidence over time about proficiency of performance, generates feedback on improving proficiency of performance with a focus on elements that have a significant impact of the outcomes of one's work, and provides periodic summative judgments on the proficiency of both individual's and a collective workforce, as well as the evaluation system itself. The Florida School Leader Assessment provides time lines of the seven steps of a continuous improvement process to provide guides to self-reflection, criteria for making judgments, specific and actionable feedback and summative evaluations. The districts will provide for annual review of the evaluation system by stakeholders and will compare final evaluations for inter-rater reliability.

The seven steps of the Florida School Leader Assessment are as follows:

1. **Orientation**- begins at the start of the new school year or when a new principal is hired. The depth and detail of orientation may vary based on prior training and whether changes in the evaluation model occurred, but an annual orientation or re-fresher orientation should occur. This step should include:

• Orientation and training being provided on the Florida Principal Leadership Standards (FPLS) and all specific expectations subject to the evaluation system.

• All stakeholders (leaders and evaluators) will have access to all the content and processes subject to the evaluation system.

• During the orientation, each leader will engage in a personal reflection on the connection between his/her practice and the FPLS and the indicators of the district evaluation system. The leader and evaluator will come to an agreement on what the leader needs to know and what to concentrate on.

2. **Pre-evaluation Planning**: The leader and evaluator will have a formal meeting to address the process and expectations.

• The self-assessment will be used to specifically identify improvement priorities.

• The evaluator articulates a perspective of strength and growth needs for the leader.

3. Initial Meeting between Evaluatee and Evaluator: Expectations will focus on various areas, such as student growth measures, proposed targets for deliberate practice and perceptions from Step 1 & 2.

4. **Monitoring, Data Collection, and Application to Practice**: This is the leadership and impact evidence collected by the evaluator and shared by the evaluatee.

• Site visits will give formal and informal data.

• Accumulation of data and evidence on leader's actions and impact.

5. Mid-year Progress Review

• Progress is discussed and reviewed; if there is an absence of an indicator it is discussed and addressed with a follow-up meeting.

• Overview of actions/processes that apply to all domains and a feedback form is given.

6. **Prepare a performance assessment**: the summative evaluation form is prepared by the evaluator and a FSLA score is calculated.

7. Year-end Meeting between evaluatee and evaluator: a formal meeting between the evaluatee and evaluator occurs where the score is explained and priority growth issues are considered for the future.

District process for providing training programs to ensure that all individuals with evaluation responsibilities understand the proper use of the evaluation criteria and procedures.

Evaluators provide recurring feedback to guide growth in proficiency in district priorities and also provide summative performance ratings. District training for those who function as evaluators in any of the district's instructional or administrator evaluation systems should include the following:

1. The research framework(s) on which the evaluation system is based: Each research framework is associated with particular approaches to instruction or leadership. The research aligned with the district framework(s) is a useful source of deeper understanding of how to implement strategies correctly and in appropriate circumstances. Evaluators can provide better feedback to sub-ordinates when they understand the research framework.

2. Inter-rater reliability: Evaluators in the district should be able to provide sub-ordinates similar feedback and ratings so there is consistent use of the evaluation system across the district. This is promoted by training on the following

a. The "look fors" – what knowledge, skills, and impacts are identified as system priorities by inclusion of indicators in the evaluation system.

b. The rubrics – how to distinguish proficient levels.

c. Rater reliability checks – processes for verifying raters meet district expectations in using the rubrics.

3. Specific, actionable, and timely feedback processes: What evaluators observe does not promote improvement unless it is conveyed to employees in a specific, actionable, and timely manner. Training on how to do so is essential.

4. Conference protocols and use of forms: What is required regarding meetings, conference procedures, use of forms, and records?

- 5. Processes and procedures for implementing the evaluation system
- a. Evidence gathering: What sources are to be used?
- b. Timeframes and record keeping
- c. Scoring rules d. Use of forms

6. Student growth measures: What are the district's requirements regarding use of student growth measures in the district's evaluation system? WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHOOL

7. Sources of information about the evaluation system: Where can evaluators and employees access manuals, forms, and other documents regarding the evaluation process?

8. Procedures for training employees on the evaluation process.

9. Additional metrics: Training on any additional metrics used to supplement the practice portion of the evaluation.

• Description of the processes for providing timely feedback to the individual being evaluated [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)4., F.A.C.].

Feedback on the results of the summative evaluation will be shared by the evaluator with the school administrator within 10 days of the completion of the evaluation including the Leadership Practice Score based on the FSLA and all student growth data for the school.

• Description of how results from the evaluation system will be used for professional development [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)5., F.A.C.].

Continuous Improvement and Professional Development

A. Improvement Plans: The use of performance evaluation results when developing district and school level improvement plans. The district and school improvement plans are developed through needs assessment of data: student performance data, instructional personnel evaluation data and principal evaluation data. Results of personnel evaluations will be used to determine professional learning needs of the district, school, and individual. School improvement plans will consider student performance achievement and the strengths and needs of personnel in the development of action plans, with improved student performance being the guiding goal.

B. Continuous Improvement: Instrument, procedures, and criteria for continuous quality improvement of the professional skills of instructional personnel and administrators. Continuous improvement and professional growth is the guiding philosophy of the Washington County Evaluation System. Feedback to personnel, and professional conversation between observers/evaluators and personnel, is critical to professional growth.

1. Through the evaluation process, school leaders are provided with timely feedback to support improvement of professional skills needed for effective job performance. Evaluators will gather data on specific elements of the Florida School Leader Assessment, using rubrics to guide reflective feedback. Feedback is used to improve the quality of future actions or depth of understanding on performance expectations. The procedures for providing school leaders with feedback that supports improvement in performance are as follows: During Step 1, or the Orientation, each school leader will engage in personal reflection on the connection between his/her practice and the FPLS and indicators on the FLSA. This may be completed on the Florida School Leaders Principal Leadership Standards Inventory, when revision to the revised FPLS is completed. Pre-evaluation planning will include the use of the self-assessment and other data or evidence that supports an issue as an improvement priority (School Improvement Plan, student achievement data, priority evaluations, and evidence of systemic processes that need work.) At the Initial Meeting, the school leader and evaluator will meet to discuss expectations. The evaluator will use data to provide feedback on strengths and growth needs for the leader to consider in development of the Individual Leadership Development Plan. A Mid-year Progress Review will be held between the school leader and observer. During this review the school leader will be prepared to provide a general overview of actions/processes that apply to domains and proficiency indicators. Strengths and progress are recognized and priority growth needs are recognized. The FSLA Feedback and Protocol Form will be used to provide feedback on all indicators for which there is sufficient evidence to rate proficiency. Any indicators which the evaluator has identified for a specific status update are reviewed and more specific feedback is provided. Throughout the year, as evidence and observations are obtained that generate specific and actionable feedback, it is provided in a timely manner through face-to-face contact, FSLA feedback forms, email or telephone, or memoranda. A Year-end Meeting is held between the school leader and evaluator in which the FSLA scores is explained, and growth on specific targets is reviewed. Priority growth issues that are identified as a result of the FSLA score and that should be considered as a part of the next year's Individual Leadership Development Plan/Deliberate Practice are reviewed.

2. The Deliberate Practice Growth Target form, as part of the state model, will be used for the Individual Leadership Development Plan. As the Florida School Leaders Leadership Development Program Individual Leadership Development Plan process is revised to reflect the Florida Leadership Standards and contains the framework of Deliberate Practice, the Individual Professional Leadership Plan on this resource will be utilized.

3. The district shall monitor the implementation of these processes through documentation of signatures on the Individual Leadership Development Plan and on the Mid-Year Review Form by the school leader and evaluator, and through documentation of the collection of evidence and feedback. This documentation may be compiled as part of a portfolio by the school leader.

4. The criteria for assessing the impact of professional development will include analysis of evaluation results and student growth results. Professional learning for school leaders will be developed with district and individual needs as they relate to the Florida Leadership Standards and proficiency areas and indicators of the FSLA. Analysis of specific professional development activities that relate to specific proficiencies and indicators will be done to assess the impact on leadership proficiency and to determine if targets were obtained. District-level staff will use data from evaluation results, student performance, and the school improvement plan to assess impact of professional development activities. This will be used to plan for future professional learning activities.

C. Professional Development: Use of performance evaluation results to identify the content of individual, collegial, and system-wide professional development activities for all three levels of

professional development (district, school, and educator) as a description of the process for aligning the content of the district's Master Inservice Plan (MIP) with performance evaluation results.

The district will use the approved performance evaluation instrument to identify development needs of district school-based administrators. The district leadership evaluation process will be based upon the Florida Staff Development Protocol Standards and will utilize elements from the Florida Principal Leadership Standards, student performance data and other relevant data. Results from the Summative Evaluation will be analyzed to identify professional development needs and an Individual Leadership Plan will be developed to target those identified needs.

At the District level in planning the content for professional development activities system wide the following non-exhaustive list of things may be included but not limited to:

- District wide student performance data
- District grade and ranking
- District wide graduation rate
- District Improvement and Assistance Plan
- District Master Inservice plan
- District Strategic Plan
- Florida Principal Leadership Standards

At the school level in planning the content for professional development activities system wide the following non-exhaustive list of things may be included but not limited to:

- Graduation rates
- Promotion rates
- Learning gains
- Performance of disaggregated sub-groups
- Participation in accelerated courses
- School grade
- School Improvement plan
- Summative teacher evaluation results

At the educator level in planning the content for professional development activities system wide the following non-exhaustive list of things may be included but not limited to:

- Summative teacher evaluation results
- School-wide VAM score
- Self-assessment
- Identified priority growth issues

D. Process for the coordination of evaluation, school improvement and professional development planning, data collection and analysis, and impact monitoring.

The school district will use data from the instructional evaluation system, the school administrator evaluation system, school improvement plans, professional development activities, and other relevant data sources to evaluate the impact these have on student achievement. This process will include utilization of "Performance Matters" as the Local Instructional Improvement System (LIIS) to document system-wide improvement efforts and to provide information to all stakeholder groups in regards to school improvement initiatives. Data from "Performance Matters" and other relevant

information will be used to assist in the development of teacher and administrator professional learning activities.

E. Feedback and continuous improvement processes focused on the purpose of the evaluation system.

Leadership Professional Development Plans (LPDP) are created during the first four weeks of the school year using student data and the observational instrument from the previous year to develop goals and objectives for professional development and improved student achievement for the current school year. It will be discussed and decided upon by the administrator and the supervisor of the administrator, the amount of professional development that will be needed to assist the administrator in improving student achievement, student engagement and the implementation of instructional strategies. A post observation conference will be set between the administrator and the supervisor of the administrator within 10 working days of the observation to provide feedback for individual continuous improvement. The Superintendent and the District Based Leadership Team will be responsible for the evaluation process of the administrative staff assigned to them. The Superintendent is ultimately responsible for all evaluations, but can designate evaluation responsibilities to other district or school level administrators. All school site administrators, along with all district administrators will be trained in the evaluation system process. All administrators in the district, either school or district based, will be trained in the use of this evaluation system. If the school based administrator or the Superintendent were to feel that an additional trained administrator were needed to do an observation, either can request that it happen and come to agreement about who will conduct the observation. The Superintendent will then contact another administrator and set up a time for the observation and review of student data. F. Monitoring: Include a process for monitoring and evaluation the effectiveness of the evaluation system as required in 1012.34(2)(h) and subsection (6) of this rule.

The LEA will evaluate the FSLA System on an annual basis. Each June suggestions for changes will be brought to the Superintendent and the District Administrative Team. Each July the Superintendent and the District Administrative Team will review evaluation data. The team will evaluate by grade level, school, and district the effectiveness of the system as it relates to student academic achievement as measured by FSA Scores, and other grading variables such as graduation rate. The process for evaluating the effectiveness of the system in supporting improvements in instruction and student learning will be done after all the data has been received in July.

• Confirmation that the district will require participation in specific professional development programs by those who have been evaluated as less than effective as required by s. 1012.98(10), F.S. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)6., F.A.C.].

The district will develop and implement an individual professional development plan and assistance program for any school leader/administrator that receives a rating of less than effective based on the results of the overall evaluation. This assistance program will utilize the results from the overall evaluation scoring rubric to identify leadership practices in need of improvement and to develop professional learning/professional development activities which will support improvement in these identified areas.

• Documentation that all school administrators must be evaluated at least once a year [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)7., F.A.C.].

Washington County School District school board policy 6.40 requires all employees to be assessed or evaluated at least annually. A copy of this policy is provided to all employees via the district web site and is also available at all school centers and the district office in printed form. This policy describes the criteria and/or requirements for assessments/evaluations including who will conduct said assessments/evaluations. Specifically policy 6.40 (5) speaks to the assessments/evaluations of principals, supervisors and administrative personnel.

• Documentation that the evaluation system for school administrators includes opportunities for parents to provide input into performance evaluations when the district determines such input is appropriate, and a description of the criteria for inclusion, and the manner of inclusion of parental input [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)9., F.A.C.].

For administrators, a mechanism that provides an opportunity for parents to provide input into an administrator's performance evaluation:

Data collected from annual parent surveys may be used by the evaluator as a portion of the school leaders' leadership practice score. Parent feedback from other sources (conferences, staffings, school meetings, etc.) that are provided to the evaluator may also be used as a part of the school leadership practice score.

• Description of the district's peer assistance process, if any, for school administrators. Peer assistance may be part of the regular evaluation system, or used to assist personnel who are placed on performance probation, or who request assistance [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)11., F.A.C.].

The District does not provide opportunity for a peer assistance process.

• If included by a district, a description of the opportunity for instructional personnel to provide input into a school administrator's performance evaluation [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)12., F.A.C.].

A school staff survey may be used by teachers and staff members that measure the perceived effectiveness of the administrator as a portion of the leadership practice component of the evaluation. The survey will be based on four areas of administrator responsibilities: communication, decision making, management of the school environment, and student success. Each category will allow a rating of highly effective, effective, needs improvement or unsatisfactory. The rating provided by school staff will impact the leadership practice portion of the summative evaluation.

6. District Evaluation Procedures

Directions:

The district shall provide evidence that its evaluation policies and procedures comply with the following statutory requirements:

- In accordance with s. 1012.34(3)(c), F.S., the evaluator must:
 - submit a written report of the evaluation to the district school superintendent for the purpose of reviewing the employee's contract [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(g)1., F.A.C.].

The Washington County School District will submit a written report of the evaluation results for each administrator to the district school superintendent for the purpose of reviewing the employee's contract.

submit the written report to the employee no later than 10 days after the evaluation takes place [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(g)2., F.A.C.].

The Washington County School District will submit a written report to each employee no later than 10 days after the completion of the annual evaluation. All Washington County administrators receive a written copy of their evaluation and a copy of their completed evaluations is placed in their personnel file, per Florida Status guidelines.

> discuss the written evaluation report with the employee [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(g)3.,F.A.C.].

A Washington County School District representative will discuss the results of the written evaluation with each administrative employee.

The employee shall have the right to initiate a written response to the evaluation and the response shall become a permanent attachment to his or her personnel file [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(g)4., F.A.C.].

Employees of the Washington County School District will have the right to initiate a written response to the evaluation and the response shall become a permanent attachment to his or her personnel file.

• Documentation the district has complied with the requirement that the district school superintendent shall annually notify the Department of any school administrators who receive two consecutive unsatisfactory evaluations and shall notify the Department of any school administrators who are given written notice by the district of intent to terminate or not renew their employment, as outlined in s. 1012.34(5), F.S. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(i), F.A.C.].

The District will notify, as required, the Department of Education regarding any school administrators who received two consecutive unsatisfactory evalutions and have been given notice of termination, or a non-renewal notice of employment per Florida Statute.

.....

7. District Self-Monitoring

Directions:

The district shall provide a description of its process for annually monitoring its evaluation system. The district monitoring shall determine, at a minimum, the following:

• Evaluators' understanding of the proper use of evaluation criteria and procedures, including evaluator accuracy and inter-rater reliability; [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(j)1., F.A.C.]

The Washington County School District will ensure all evaluators are properly trained in the use of the School Leader Evaluation plan and process.

• Evaluators provide necessary and timely feedback to employees being evaluated; [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(j)2., F.A.C.]

As per the information included in this document on Page 130, feedback to employees will be provided within 10 days of the completion of an evaluation.

• Evaluators follow district policies and procedures in the implementation of evaluation system(s); [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(j)3., F.A.C.]

Washington County School District evaluators will follow district policies and procedures in the implementation of the school leader evaluation system including an annual review of the process and making changes as may be required by either state statute or local policy.

• Use of evaluation data to identify individual professional development; and [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(j)4., F.A.C.]

Professional Development: Use of performance evaluation results to identify the content of individual, collegial, and system-wide professional development activities for all three levels of professional development (district, school, and educator) as a description of the process for aligning the content of the district's Master Inservice Plan (MIP) with performance evaluation results. The district will use the approved performance evaluation instrument to identify development needs of district school-based administrators. The district leadership evaluation process will be based upon the Florida Staff Development Protocol Standards and will utilize elements from the Florida Principal Leadership Standards, student performance data and other relevant data. Results from the Summative Evaluation will be analyzed to identify professional development needs and an Individual Leadership Plan will be developed to target those identified needs.

At the District level in planning the content for professional development activities system wide the following non-exhaustive list of things may be included but not limited to:

- District wide student performance data
- District grade and ranking

- District wide graduation rate
- District Improvement and Assistance Plan
- District Master Inservice plan
- District Strategic Plan
- Florida Principal Leadership Standards

At the school level in planning the content for professional development activities system wide the following non-exhaustive list of things may be included but not limited to:

- Graduation rates
- Promotion rates
- Learning gains
- Performance of disaggregated sub-groups
- Participation in accelerated courses
- School grade
- School Improvement plan
- Summative teacher evaluation results

At the educator level in planning the content for professional development activities system wide the following non-exhaustive list of things may be included but not limited to:

- Summative teacher evaluation results
- School-wide VAM score
- Self-assessment
- Identified priority growth issues

D. Process for the coordination of evaluation, school improvement and professional development planning, data collection and analysis, and impact monitoring.

• Use of evaluation data to inform school and district improvement plans [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(j)5., F.A.C.].

The school district will use data from the instructional evaluation system, the school administrator evaluation system, school improvement plans, professional development activities, and other relevant data sources to evaluate the impact these have on student achievement. This process will include utilization of "Performance Matters" as the Local Instructional Improvement System (LIIS) to document system-wide improvement efforts and to provide information to all stakeholder groups in regards to school improvement initiatives. Data from "Performance Matters" and other relevant information will be used to assist in the development of school and district improvement initiatives.

.....