Comprehensive School Improvement Plan Sinking Fork Elementary School 2020-2021

Understanding Continuous Improvement: Goals, Objectives, Strategies and Activities

continuous improvement plan. In short, the Needs Assessment completed during Phase II expresses the school or district's CURRENT STATE, while goals, objectives, strategies and activities should succinctly plot the school or district's course to their DESIRED STATE. Here are the operational Rationale: The development of goals and objectives to be obtained through strategies and activities is an essential component of executing a definitions of each:

Goal: Long-term target based on Kentucky Board of Education Goals. Schools may supplement with individual or district goals.

Objective: Short-term target to be attained by the end of the current school year.

Strategy: Research-based approach based on the 6 Key Core Work Processes designed to systematically address the process, practice or condition that the school/district will focus its efforts upon in order to reach its goals/objectives.

Activity: The actionable steps used to deploy the chosen strategy.

Key Core Work Processes: A series of processes that involve the majority of an organization's workforce and relate to its core competencies. These are the factors that determine an organization's success and help it prioritize areas for growth.

Guidelines for Building an Improvement Plan

- There are 5 required District Goals: Proficiency, Gap, Graduation rate, Growth, and Transition readiness.
- For elementary/middle school: Proficiency, Gap, Growth, and Transition readiness. For high school: Proficiency, Gap, Graduation rate, and Transition readiness. There are 4 required school-level goals:

Goal 1: Sinking Fork Elementary will Increase the number of students scoring proficient or above in combined reading and mathematics from 38% to 58% MAP.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities to deploy strategy | Measure of Success |
|--|---|--|--|
| Objective 1: K-6 Increase the number of students | KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards | K-6 Literacy Cohort: Provide training and resources for teacher leaders and Instructional Coaches to develop, implement, and support a district wide guided reading model | F&P Levels MAP data Coaching observation |
| scoring grade level norm in reading & math RIT (MAP of Fall 2020) by 20% (MAP | KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction | Early Childhood Literacy Academy: Our preschool teacher participate in the academy to build capacity around quality early childhood literacy instruction. | Instructional Rounds data |
| Spring of 21) as measured by MAP data (In person students) | KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy | School Literacy Plan supported by Literacy Team: As required by the grant, sinking Fork has established a School Literacy Team monitors implementation of our School LIteracy Plan and report to SBDM monthly. | |
| | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Interpret Data | Literacy Team: An active literacy team is in place at Sinking Fork. We have a literacy plan that the literacy team is responsible for implementing | |
| | KCPW 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support | throughout our school. We have a diverse team of all stakeholders in order to move literacy instruction forward. The literacy plan focuses on items such as professional learning, aligned curriculum, assessment, instruction, and intervention. | |
| | KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment | Supplemental Phonics Program: Fountas and Pinnell Phonics and Word Study System has been purchased and is being implemented this school year. Professional learning support is occurring for implementation and administrator monitoring of phonics instruction. | |
| | | | |
| | | Literacy Design Collaborative (LDC): Teacher leader as certified LDC coach. Four teachers are serving in the LDC cohort 1 and are implementing 1 DC cohort 2 consists of 4 additional tracking | F&P Levels MAP data |
| | | that are being trained on task alignment and LDC modules. The LDC coach and cohort members will work together to implement high quality tass built on focus standards, disciplinary literacy and aligned instruction. | Loacning observation Instructional Rounds data |
| | | Vertical PLC/ Planning: Sinking Fork teachers will participate in vertical | MAP data Lesson Planning duri |
| | | questions/formative assessments for upcoming units. | PLCs Classroom Observati |
| | | Collaborative PLC partnership with sister school. | 3 2 |
| | | | |

| Professional Learning and Coaching: Content area teachers will be provided figure and the standards, included in this strategy is resource coaching cloud with training and resources in order to deliver instruction that martches the coaching cloud with properties of the standards. Included in this strategy is resource development, bb-e-mbedded training, and coaching, bob embedded sessions. Curriculum Alignment 5 sinking Fork will work in partnership with the District Rep Levels district curriculum counters and support of on Chora and Liberary Academy 117. Pass a ver conniculum and services and a benchmark assessment data of reducency and a planning with support from LDC partners who will conduct an audit and recommend changes. Data Trackers: All stall will utilize data trackers to keep all assessment data officiency representatives from all utilize data trackers to keep make and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders. Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, parents, students. Provide training and reation of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, build relational Officer and instructional operatics. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School teams engage instructional Officer and instructional staff to baild school leader efficery and restrictional operatics. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School teams engage instructional Officer and instructional staff to baild school leader efficery around professional learning Communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (puts) school professional paraning gonomunities (puts) and school professional learning communities (puts) and school professional learning communities, data analysis, response p | _ | | CC. CC CC. +C. +C. +C. +C. +C. +C. +C. +C. +C. |
|--|---|---|--|
| with training and coaching. Content are seckers will be provided R&P Levels with training and coaching. Content are actived to a recognize demand of the standards. Included in this strategy is resource development, bbe-mbedded training, and coaching, bbe embedded coaching fob coaching fob coaching fob coaching fob coaching follow up for K-6 Literacy Cohort and Literacy Academy TLT. Curriculum Alignment: Sinking Fork will work in partnership with the District R&P Levels as we continue to implement a systemic process for developing and refining fill Growth district curriculum documents and benchmark assessments. Teacher representatives from all schools participate in collaborative curriculum review and planning with support from LDC partners who will conduct an audit and recommend changes. Data Trackers: Ali staff will uitize data trackers to keep all assessment data of individual students in one central location that is shared with administrators. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual students as well as monitor achievement of gap group populations. Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, paerus, students. Provide training and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders. Survey result and readion of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings bed by the Chief School team: Instructional Officer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy and traction of action plans. Utilize information survey data rollout to stakeholders. Provide training are counting to seek to be mainting and guiding questions. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLCs chedule and FRP Levels ming as well as modely utility instruction, formative assessment, and glaning as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and devestive to continually learning meeting and development of the continually learning and develo | | | data |
| with training and resources in conder to allower in struction that markings the Richowith copinite demand of the standards. Included in this strategy is resource development, job-embedded training, and coaching, bob embedded coaching to be coaching follow up for K & Literacy Cohort and Literacy Academy TILT sessions. Curriculum Alignment: Sinking Fork will work in partnership with the District F&P Levels as we continue to implement a systemic process for developing and refining. Ri Growth district curriculum documents and benchmark assessments. Teacher representatives from all schools participate in collaborative curriculum reviews and planning with support from LDC partners who will conduct an audit and recommend changes. Data Trackers: All staff will utilize data trackers to keep all assessment data of individual submining with support from LDC partners who will conduct an audit and recommend changes. Data Trackers: All staff will utilize data trackers to keep all assessment data of individual submining with support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders, and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders, and creation of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School team instructional officers and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional Learning Communities, data analysis, response retention include planning populations of water designed uses assessment, RT and sessessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RT and categories assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, BT and gata analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. Poor of planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student adversarial and depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work. | - | Professional Learning and Coaching: Content area teachers will be provided | F&P Levels |
| development, job-embedded training, and coaching, to be embedded coaching follow up for K-6 Literacy Cohort and Literacy Academy TiLT data sessions. Curriculum Algimment: Sinking Fork will work in partnership with the District ERP Levels as we continue to implement a systemic process for developing and refining follow up for K-6 Literacy Cohort and Literacy Academy TiLT data curriculum Algimment: Sinking Fork will work in partnership with the District ERP Levels as we continue to implement a systemic process for developing and refining fill Growth district curriculum occuments and benchmark assessments. Teacher prepresentatives from all schools participate in collaborative curriculum review and planning with support from LDC partners who will conduct an audit and recommend changes. Data Trackers: All satif will utilize data trackers to keep all assessment data of individual students in one central location that is shared with administrators. This allow teachers to determine trends for individual data tracker is an environ achievement of gap group populations. Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, parents, students. Provide training and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders. Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, parents, students. Provide training and creation of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, parents, students led by the Chief School tearn instructional gractices and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional Learning communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and FRR Levels routine is set to include - Plan, Do, Study, Act model. This model will include planning, quality instruction, formative assessment, RT and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and dreat analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs are differentiated for each example and planning as w | | with training and resources in order to deliver instruction that matches the | RI Growth |
| caching follow up for Ke Literacy Cohort and Literacy Academy TLLT sessions. Curriculum Alignment: Sinking Fork will work in partnership with the District RR Levels as we continue to implement a systemic process for developing and refining (Growth district curriculum accuments and benchmark assessments. Teacher representatives from all schools participate in collaborative curriculum review and planning with support from LDC partners who will conduct an audit and recommend changes. Data Trackers N Lastiff will utilize data trackers to keep all assessment data diministrators. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual students is one central location that is shared with administrators. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual sudents as well as monitor achievement of gap group populations. Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, parents, students. Provide training and support on collecting information, survey data rollocations and creation of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support engagement and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional Learning Communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School team: Instructional practices, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School team: Instructional practices, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School team: Instructional practices, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum desider efficacy around professional Learning Communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCS): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and data analysis weeks to ensure academic professional and planning, as well as independent and ea | | cognitive demand of the standards. Included in this strategy is resource | DSA Proficiency |
| curriculum Alignment: Sinking Fork will work in partnership with the District Sessions. Curriculum Alignment: Sinking Fork will work in partnership with the District Expenses as we continue to implement a systemic process for developing and refining file forwith district curriculum Accuments and benchmark assessments. Teacher representatives from all schools participate in collaborative curriculum review and planning with support from LDC partners who will conduct an audit and recommend changes. Data Travekers: All satif will utilize data trackers to keep all assessment data differentiatio administrators. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual and enhancement changes. Data Travekers: All satif will utilize data trackers for individual and administrators. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual and enhancement students in one central location that is shared with administrators. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual and endership and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders. Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, parents, students. Provide training Employee Endagement and creation of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School teams instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional Learning Communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional Learning Communities (PLCS). Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and F&P Levels routine is set to include - Plan, Do, Study, Act model. This model will be added efficacy enables teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. Ploc set ensure a defender proficiency of students and coestif when the continually learn from one another via shared visioning a | | development, job-embedded training, and coaching. Job embedded | Coaching observation |
| Curriculum Alignment: Sinking Fork will work in partnership with the District Gurdulum Alignment: Sinking Fork will work in partnership with the District Gurdulum Alignment: Sinking Fork will work in partnership with the District Gurdulum all schools participate in collaborative curriculum review and planning with support from LDC partners who will conduct an audit and recommend changes. Dad Trackers: All saff will utilitize data trackers to keep all assessment data Dad Trackers: All saff will utilitize data trackers to keep all assessment data of individual students in one central location that is shared with administrators. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual students as well as monitor achievement of gap group populations. Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, parents, students. Provide training and support on collecting information to reward and recognize, and creation of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School team Instructional Officer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional Learning Communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Curriculum leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School team Instructional Differer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional Learning Communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and Gashing quality instructional support of meeting and decentions of school seader effects of school reach assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and enality performents and continually learning map and guidding questions). Coaching beha | | coaching follow up for K-6 Literacy Cohort and Literacy Academy TILT | Instructional Rounds |
| Curriculum Alignment: Sinking Fork will work in partnership with the District R&P Levels as we continue to implement a systemic process for developing and refining Ri Growth district curriculum documents and benchmark assessments. Teacher representatives from all schools participate in collaborative curriculum review and planning with support from LDC partners who will conduct an audit and recommend changes. Data Trackers: All staff will utilize data trackers to keep all assessment data of individual students in one central location that is shared with administrators. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual students in one central location that is shared with administrators. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual students in one central location that is shared with administrators. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual students in one central location that is shared with administrators. Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff perents, students. Provide training and creation of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Wonthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School teams instructional Gifficer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional learning Communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and sessessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs charbing as well as markeys to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and detain and continually learn from one another via shared visioning and continually learning to enhance student adversarial entains trical examinations what does and detection to enhance student adversarial and support in the | | sessions. | data |
| district curriculum to implement a systemic process for developing and refining for district curriculum occuments and benchmark assessments. Teacher representatives from all schools participate in collaborative curriculum review and planning with support from LDC partners who will conduct an audit and recommend changes. Data Trackers: All staff will utilize data trackers to keep all assessment data of individual suddensis in one central location that is shared with administrators. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual students as well as monitor achievement of gap group populations. Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, parents, students. Provide training and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders, survey result and support on collecting information to reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School team: Instructional Officer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional learning communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional particles. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and Repetents routine is set to include- Plan, Do. Study, Act model. This model will side forwh include planning (pacing, learning map and guiding questions), assessment building, quality instruction of mone another via shared visioning and data analysis weeks to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and part or continually learn from one another via shared visioning and part or such a continually learn from one another via shared visioning and data analysis weeks to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and data analysis weeks to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and data analysis weeks to ensure academic data analysis work to continual part of continual part of the continual part of the continual part of the continual pa | | Curriculum Alignment: Sinking Fork will work in partnership with the District | F&P Levels |
| representatives from all schools participate in collaborative curriculum review and planning with support brothogate in collaborative curriculum review and planning with support brothogate in collaborative curriculum review and planning with support brothogate. Data Trackers: All staff will utilize data trackers to keep all assessment data differentiatio administrations. This allows teachters to determine trends for individual suddents in one central location that is shared with administrations. This allows teachters to determine trends for individual suddents as well as monitor achievement of gap group populations. Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, parents, students. Provide training and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders, and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders, and creation of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School team: Instructional Earning communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional paractices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and FRP Levels routine is set to include- Plan, Do. Study, Act model. This model will sinclude planning (pacing, Jeanning map and guiding questions), assessment building, quality instruction of sundents. PLCs enable earchers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to continual pear for some another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to continual dones are dearning map and guiding questions, and dearning and dea | | as we continue to implement a systemic process for developing and refining | RI Growth |
| representatives from all schools participate in collaborative curriculum review and planning with support from LDC partners who will conduct an audit and recommend changes. Data Trackers: All staff will utilize data trackers to keep all assessment data of individual students in one central location that is shared with administrators. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual differentiation and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders, and creation of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School teams instructional Officer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional learning communities, data analysis, response protocos, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and Rep Levels routine is set to include- Plan, Do, Study, Act model. This model will include planning ingeding parenty guiding questions), assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student advancement. Sinking Fork's PLCs are differentiated for each trackers and combiners as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student advancement. Sinking Fork's PLCs are differentiated for each | | district curriculum documents and benchmark assessments. Teacher | DSA Proficiency |
| audit and recommend changes. Data Trackers: All stiff will utilize data trackers to keep all assessment data of individual students in one central location that is shared with administrators. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual data trackers. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual data tracker. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual data tracker. Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, parents, students. Provide training and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders, and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School teams Instructional Officer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional learning communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and schedule and sessesment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and data planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student assurements. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each each occasive and a contenent scaled and contenents are differentiated for each each occasive and contenents. | | representatives from all schools participate in collaborative curriculum | |
| audit and recommend changes. Data Trackers: All staff will utilize data trackers to keep all assessment data of individual students in one central location that is shared with administrators. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual students as well as monitor achievement of gap group populations. Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, parents, students. Provide training and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders, and creation of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief school teams instructional Officer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional learning communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and sessessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achlevement. Sinking Pork's PLC's are differentiated for each to the achievement and achievement. Sinking Pork's PLC's are differentiated for each to achieve madement. Sinking Pork's PLC's are differentiated for each to achieve madement. Sinking Pork's PLC's are differentiated for each to achieve madement. Sinking Pork's PLC's are differentiated for each achievement. | | review and planning with support from LDC partners who will conduct an | |
| Data Trackers: All staff will utilize data trackers to keep all assessment data of individual students in one central location that is shared with administrators. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual students as well as monitor achievement of gap group populations. Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, parents, students. Provide training and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders, survey result and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders, survey result and creation of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School teams instructional Officer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional learning communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities, data analysis, response quality instruction and practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and reachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to conditions and continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, and continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, and continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, and continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, and continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, and continually learn from one another via shared for each | | audit and recommend changes. | |
| of individual students in one central location that is shared with administrators. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual students as well as monitor achievement of gap group populations. Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, parents, students. Provide training and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders, and creation of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief instructional Officer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional learning communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and F&P Levels routine is set to include- Plan, Do, Study, Act model. This model will include planning (pacing, learning map and guiding questions), assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enchance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | | Data Trackers: All staff will utilize data trackers to keep all assessment data | Observable evidence |
| administrators. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual students as well as monitor achievement of gap group populations. Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, parents, students. Provide training and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief Survey result instructional Officer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional learning communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and reap making to su quality instruction in include planning (pacing, learning map and guiding questions), assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | | of individual students in one central location that is shared with | differentiation |
| stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, parents, students. Provide training and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders, and creation of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief Instructional Officer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy and rollous protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and Ris Provise seessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and class analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each transfer and an analysis well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each transfer and an analysis well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each transfer and successful | | administrators. This allows teachers to determine trends for individual | |
| Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, parents, students. Provide training and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders, and creation of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School team: Instructional Officer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional learning communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and recipied planning (pacing, learning map and guiding questions). Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and palaning (pacing, learning map and guiding questions). Bis forowth include planning (pacing, learning map and guiding questions). Caching observational savels as ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | | students as well as monitor achievement of gap group populations. | data tracker input |
| Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, parents, students. Provide training and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders, and creation of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School teams Instructional Officer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional learning communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and F&P Levels routine is set to include - Plan, Do, Study, Act model. This model will include planning (pacing, learning map and guiding questions), assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each teachers transfer and continually learning fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | | | |
| and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders, and creation of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School teams Instructional Officer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional learning communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and read-riven c around professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and F&P Levels routine is set to include- Plan, Do, Study, Act model. This model will include planning (pacing, Jearning map and guiding questions), assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | | Stakeholder Engagement: Survey staff, parents, students. Provide training | Employee Engageme |
| and creation of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School teams Instructional Officer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional learning communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and F&P Levels routine is set to include Plan, Do, Study, Act model. This model will include planning (pacing, learning map and guiding questions), assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each seach and content areas and an achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each seach achieve students are differentiated for each seach achieve students. | | and support on collecting information, survey data rollout to stakeholders, | Survey results |
| Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School teams Instructional Officer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional learning communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and requility instruction include planning (pacing, learning map and guiding questions), assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance students achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each teacher achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | | and creation of action plans. Utilize information to reward and recognize, | |
| Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School teams instructional Officer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional learning communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and real protocols, and include planning (pacing, learning map and guiding questions), assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | | build relationships, validate key behaviors, and support employee retention. | |
| Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief School teams instructional Officer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional learning communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and quality instruction is set to include Plan, Do, Study, Act model. This model will include planning (pacing, learning map and guiding questions), assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each data | | | |
| Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief Instructional Officer and instructional staff to build school leader efficacy around professional learning communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and reality instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and quality instruction include planning (pacing, learning map and guiding questions), assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | | | |
| around professional learning communities, data analysis, response protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and routine is set to include Plan, Do, Study, Act model. This model will include planning (pacing, learning map and guiding questions), assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | | Curriculum Leadership Team: Monthly curriculum meetings led by the Chief | |
| protocols, and instructional practices. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and routine is set to include Plan, Do, Study, Act model. This model will include planning (pacing, learning map and guiding questions), assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | | instructional Officer and instructional stall to build scribol leader efficacy | |
| Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and routine is set to include- Plan, Do, Study, Act model. This model will RI Growth include planning (pacing, learning map and guiding questions), assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | | around professional rearring communes, data analysis, response | |
| Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and routine is set to include planning (pacing, learning map and guiding questions), assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | | protocols, and mistractional practices. | |
| include planning (pacing, learning map and guiding questions), assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | | Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): Sinking Fork's PLC schedule and | F&P Levels |
| assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | | routine is set to include- Plan, Do, Study, Act model. This model will | RI Growth |
| data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | | include planning (pacing, learning map and guiding questions), | DSA Proficiency |
| data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what does and doesn't work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | | assessment building, quality instruction, formative assessment, RTI and | Coaching observation |
| enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what <i>does</i> and <i>doesn't</i> work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | | data analysis weeks to ensure academic proficiency of students. PLCs | Instructional Kounds |
| to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | | enable teachers to continually learn from one another via shared visioning and | Uata |
| teacher are do content area | | planning, as well as in-depth critical examination of what <i>does</i> and <i>doesn</i> t work to enhance student achievement. Sinking Fork's PLC's are differentiated for each | |
| ונסקרובוי "לוסחם סוות רחוולווו סובסי | | teacher, grade and content area. | |

| | | Assessment Plan: School assessment plan developed aligning to priority plan monitoring utilizing consistent data points | School Assessment P |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|
| | | Attendance Matters: Participation in national campaign promoting the fact that missing school matters. | Attendance Records |
| | | Curriculum Leadership Team: Sinking Fork Elementary School leadership will participate in Monthly curriculum meetings led by the chief instructional officer and instructional staff focusing professional learning community data analysis and response protocols. | engage in data-drive decision making to support quality instruction |
| | | Leader Rounding on Staff: Collect vital information, reward and recognize, build relationships, validate key behaviors, build engagement for all stakeholders. | Employee Engageme Survey results |
| | | PBIS: Support teachers in implementing Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) to minimize the impact of negative behaviors impacting instructional time. | Students will not lose instruction due to disciplinary issues |
| | | K - 6 Math Cohort: Provide content and pedagogical instruction for teacher leaders and Instructional Coaches to develop, implement, and support | MAP Growth DSA Proficiency |
| | | district wide best-practices instruction in mathematics at elementary . | Coaching observation Instructional Rounds data |
| | | | |
| Objective 2: 3rd-6th- | KCWP 1: Design and Deploy | Professional Learning and Coaching: See Goal 1 - Objective 1 | |
| students making proficiency | Startuarus | Curriculum Planning and Unit Planning: See Goal 1 - Objective 1 | |
| by 20% and reduce the | KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction | Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): See Goal 1 - Objective 1 | |
| novice by 10% Using MAP | | Assessment Plan: See Goal 1 - Objective 1 | |
| KPREP cut/prediction RIT | KCWP 3: Design and Deliver | Attendance Matters: See Goal 1 - Objective 1 | |
| MATH= Fall= to Spring | איז בייני בונכומכא | Curriculum Leadership Team: See Goal 1 - Objective 1 | |
| READING= Fall= to Spring | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Interpret Data | PBIS: See Goal 1 - Objective 1 | |
| | - | Google Classroom - support teachers in the use of Google classroom to save time, collaborate, communicate, and better meet the needs of all students | |
| | | Professional Learning and Coaching: See Goal 1 - Objective 1 | |

| Teachers Intentionally Learning Together (TILT): See Goal 1 - Objective 1 | Environment Curriculum Planning and Unit Planning: See Goal 1 - Objective 1 | KCWP 6: Establishing Professional Learning Communities (PLCs): See Goal 1 - Objective 1 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Teachers Intentionally Learning Together (TILT): See Goal 1 - Objective 1 | | _ |

2: Separate Academic Indicator

Goal 2 Separate Academic Indicators: Sinking Fork will Increase the combined percentage of students scoring proficient or above in Science, Social Students 82.83% by 2022.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Moni |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Objective 1: Increase the | KCWP 1: Design and Deploy | Science: Continue formally established | Teacher participation | Monitoring of aligned ir |
| percentage of elementary | Standards | protocols for completing Through | in science specific | assessment practices th |
| students scoring proficient or | KCWP 2: Design and Deliver | Course Tasks with science teachers K-6 | professional learning | Data protocol in PLCs |
| above in science from | Instruction | and for student work analysis. | Teacher created | |
| 29.16% to 34.16% by 2021. | KCWP 3: Design and Deliver | Continue to support K-6 Science Cohort | science assessments | |
| | Assessment Literacy | with demonstration lessons and TILI sessions. | and lessons | |
| | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and | Literacy in Science: | Student writing | Student writing product |
| | Apply Data | LDC Anchor Modules will be | products | Students Scores Sheets |
| | KUWP 5: Design, Align and | implemented with a common focus | Student Scores Sheets | Anchor Modules |
| | Deliver Support | across all schools. Ongoing | for LDC | Teacher feedback from |
| | KCWP 6: Establishing | professional learning will occur in | Completion of LDC | Cohorts |
| | Learning Culture and | development of rigorous tasks, | learning modules for | LDC Core Tools reports |
| | Environment | standards and instruction | Learn participants | |
| | | alignment, and best practice in | | |
| | | science 3-dimensional instructional | | |
| | | practices. | | |
| Objective 2: Increase the | KCWP 1: Design and Deploy | Social Studies: Social studies teachers | Completion of | Monitoring of aligned ir |
| percentage of elementary | Standards | will engage in work to understand the | standards learning | assessment practices th |
| students scoring proficient or | KCWP 2: Design and Deliver | depth and breadth of newly-adopted | modules through PLCs | Data protocol in PLCs |
| above in social studies from | Instruction | social studies standards, including | or professional | |
| 35 48to 40 84% hv 2021 | KCWP 3: Design and Deliver | deconstruction, mapping, assessment | learning | |
| | Assessment Literacy | development, and instructional | 0 | |
| | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and | practices aligned to meet the cognitive | | |

| | Apply Data KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment | demand of the standards. Continue formally established protocols for completing Literacy Design Collaborative through-course tasks with social studies teachers K-6. Teachers will design, plan, and implement a LDC task and will follow a protocol for student work analysis. | Completion of pacing/mapping/deconstruction Teacher created Social Studies assessments and lessons | |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| | | Literacy in Social Studies: LDC Anchor Modules will be implemented with a common focus across all schools. Ongoing professional learning will occur in development of rigorous tasks, standards and instruction alignment, and best practice in social studies instructional practices around inquiry and historical thinking. | Student writing products Student Scores Sheets for LDC Completion of LDC learning modules for Learn participants | Student writing product Students Scores Sheets Anchor Modules Teacher feedback from Cohorts LDC Core Tools reports |
| Objective 3: Increase the percentage of elementary students scoring proficient or above in writing from 33.87% to 38.7% by 2021. | KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support KCWP 6: Establishing | Sinking Fork will revise/ create a writing plan. | School Writing PlansSystemwide implementation of School Writing Plans with attention to disciplinary writing and LDC implementation) DSA for Reading, Writing, and Math Attainment of writing | Student writing product DSA Extended Response Teacher/administrator 1 PLCs Monitoring of writing pl implementation and wr |
| | Learning Culture and Environment | Sinking Fork will implement and monitor their writing plan. Writing: Teachers K-6 engaged in foundational learning experiences to prepare for the development of a school -wide vision for writing across all content areas to meet the demand of standards (Literacy Design | School Writing Plan Systemwide implementation of School Writing Plan (with attention to | Student writing product DSA Extended Response Teacher/administrator 1 PLCs Monitoring of writing pl implementation and wr |

| collaborative, KAS ELA and Content iteracy Standards, TCT for Science). Moving forward, additional Noving forward, additional Noving forward, additional Strict scach students 21st century literacy skills. LDC implementation DSA for Reading, Writing, and Math Attainment of writing goals on Scorecard goals on Scorecard goals on Scorecard skills. Student writing Tor LDC Iteracy Design Collaborative: Student writing Attainment of Math Attainment of writing goals on Scorecard goals on Scorecard scores Sheets for LDC Amplementation of Anchor Modules Application of LDC learning modules for Learn participants | Collaborative, KAS ELA and Content Literacy Standards, TCT for Science). Moving forward, additional professional learning opportunities will be provided through TILT to support teacher acquisition of skills needed to teach students 21st century literacy skills. Literacy Design Collaborative: Implementation of Anchor Modules aligned to a district LDC Curriculum Student Scores Sheets disciplinary writing and authentic Completion of LDC disciplinary writing and authentic learning modules for Learn participants | cy Standards, TCT for Science). To Standards, TCT for Science). To Standards, TCT for Science). To Standards, additional Ssional learning opportunities will sovided through TILT to support er acquisition of skills needed to students 21st century literacy acy Design Collaborative: Ementation of Anchor Modules ed to a district LDC Curriculum will occur with emphasis on olinary writing and authentic ng products. | | Student writing product Students Scores Sheets Anchor Modules Teacher feedback from Cohorts LDC Core Tools reports |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| Collaborative, KAS ELA and Content Literacy Standards, TCT for Science). Moving forward, additional professional learning opportunities will be provided through TILT to support ceacher acquisition of skills needed to ceach students 21st century literacy skills. Literacy Design Collaborative: mplementation of Anchor Modules aligned to a district LDC Curriculum Map will occur with emphasis on disciplinary writing and authentic writing products. | Collaborative, KAS ELA and Content Literacy Standards, TCT for Science). Moving forward, additional professional learning opportunities will be provided through TILT to support teacher acquisition of skills needed to teach students 21st century literacy skills. Literacy Design Collaborative: Implementation of Anchor Modules aligned to a district LDC Curriculum Map will occur with emphasis on disciplinary writing and authentic writing products. | Collaborative, KAS ELA and Content Literacy Standards, TCT for Science). Moving forward, additional professional learning opportunities will be provided through TILT to support teacher acquisition of skills needed to teach students 21st century literacy skills. Literacy Design Collaborative: Implementation of Anchor Modules aligned to a district LDC Curriculum Map will occur with emphasis on disciplinary writing and authentic writing products. | disciplinary writing and LDC implementation) DSA for Reading, Writing, and Math Attainment of writing goals on Scorecard | Student writing products Student Scores Sheets for LDC Completion of LDC learning modules for Learn participants |
| | • | | Collaborative, KAS ELA and Content Literacy Standards, TCT for Science). Moving forward, additional professional learning opportunities will be provided through TILT to support teacher acquisition of skills needed to teach students 21st century literacy skills. | Literacy Design Collaborative: Implementation of Anchor Modules aligned to a district LDC Curriculum Map will occur with emphasis on disciplinary writing and authentic writing products. |

3: Growth

Goal 3 Growth: 90% of Sinking Fork students will meet their individual Fall to Winter Growth goal as determined by MAP. 90% of students will meet their determined by MAP.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Moni |
|--|--|--|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Objective 1: | | Provide feedback to students on | Lexile growth | RTI/MTSS meetings |
| | KCWP 1: Design and Deploy | their progression of learning | MAP | PLC data meetings |
| 90% of Sinking Fork students | | | KPREP | Mid-year and End of Ye. |
| will meet their individual Fall | | | | Analysis |
| to Winter Growth goal as determined by MAP. 90% of | KCWP 2: Design and Delivery of Instruction | | | Common Assessment Pi |
| students will meet their | | Assist students in understanding of | Lexile growth | RTI/MTSS meetings |
| Winter to Spring Growth goal | | learning expectations (e.g., learning | Quantile growth | PLC data meetings |
| as determined by MAF. | | targets, goal setting, purpose) and | MAP | Mid-year and End of Ye. |
| | | know the criteria for success | KPREP | Analysis |
| | | | | Common Assessment Pi |
| | KCWP 2: Design and Delivery | Use appropriate and effective high | Lexile growth | Observations |
| | of Instruction | yield strategies in order to ensure | MAP | PLCs |
| | KCWP 3: Design and Deliver | congruency to the intent of the | KPREP | |
| | Assessment Literacy | learning target | | |
| | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and | Utilize formative and summative | Common, formative, | PLCs |
| | Apply Data | information for increased student | summative | |
| | | achievement | assessments | |
| | KCWP 5: Design, Align and | Monitor and evaluate the use of | Lexile growth | PLCs |
| | Deliver Support Processes | assessment results to guide | MAP | Observations |
| | | instruction and determine the | KPREP | RTI/MTSS meetings |
| | | grouping of students | | Transition meetings |
| | | Determine which best practice | Lexile growth | PLCs |
| | | strategies (e.g., interventionist, | KPREP | Observations |
| | | Read 180, ALEKS, modifications to | MAP | RTI/MTSS meetings |
| | | schedules) will meet the identified | | |
| | | needs of the students | | |
| | KCWP 3: Design and Deliver | Design high quality assessments | Common, formative, | PLCs |
| | Assessment Literacy | and aligned to the rigor of the | summative | |
| | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and | standards resulting in quality data | assessments | |
| | Apply Data | that is useful for guiding instruction | | |
| | | | | |

4: Achievement Gap

| Goal 4 Achievement Gap: Dec | Goal 4 Achievement Gap: Decrease the number of SPED and A | African American GAP group students grades 3rd-6th scoring novice by 10% as determined | rades 3rd-6th scoring novi | ce by 10% as determined |
|------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Moni |
| Objective 1: Decrease the | KCWP 1: Design and Deploy | | | |
| number of SPED student | Standards | Reading Intervention: System-wide | Improvement in Lexile | Quarterly implementation |
| scoring novice by 10% as | KCWP 2: Design and Deliver | reading intervention programs, | scores | |
| determined by fall to spring | Instruction | professional learning, and coaching | | |
| MAP data | KCWP 3. Design and Deliver | support will be provided by the district | | |
| | Acceptanon+ Literacy | to all schools (READ 180, SYSTEM 44) | | |
| | VCWD 4. Dought Applied and | Professional Learning Communities | Improvement in student | Random PLC visits provide |
| | NCWF 4. Neview, Allalyze allu | (PLCs): will be implemented district | achievement | administrative team to su |
| | Apply Data | wide for whole-staff involvement in a | | follow through. |
| | KCWP 5: Design, Align and | process of intensive reflection upon | |) |
| | Deliver Support | instructional practices and desired | | |
| | KCWP 6: Establishing | student benchmarks, as well as | | |
| | Learning Culture and | monitoring of outcomes to ensure | | |
| | Environment | success. PLCs enable teachers to | | |
| | | continually learn from one another via | | |
| | | shared visioning and planning, as well | | |
| | | as in-depth critical examination of | | |
| | | what does and doesn't work to | | |
| | | enhance student achievement. | | |
| | | Cultural Leadership: Support and | Increased Workplace | Collaborative Planning |
| | | engage in positive cultural traditions of | Readiness/ College | |
| | | the community (Back-to-School Bash; | Readiness | |
| | | Boys & Girls Club; Junior Achievement; | | |
| | | EDC; Chamber of Commerce; | | |
| | | | | |

| | | Community Back-to-School prayer services; regional and community activities) | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Objective 2:Decrease the number of African American students scoring novice by 10% as determined by fall to spring MAP data. | KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards KCWP 2: Design and Delivery of Instruction | Provide feedback to students on their progression of learning | Lexile growth Quantile growth DSA Proficiency KPREP | RTI/MTSS meetings PLC data meetings Mid-year and End of Yea Analysis DSA Proficiency |
| | | Assist students in understanding of learning expectations (e.g., learning targets, goal setting, purpose) and know the criteria for success | Lexile growth Quantile growth DSA Proficiency KPREP | RTI/MTSS meetings PLC data meetings Mid-year and End of Ye. Analysis DSA Proficiency |
| | KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment | Ensure equitable access to a meaningful and rigorous academic curriculum that is respectful to diverse learners, helps to develop their character, and helps them to be successful | Culturally appropriate teaching and learning | Observations PLCs |
| | | Communicate with and support parents/families in order to address barriers to learning | Addressing student needs | FRYSC Nursing logs SRO Mental Health PLCs |
| Objective 3: Increase the combined average percentage of Students with Disabilities in the Consolidated Gap Group | KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support KCWP 6: Establishing | Priority scheduling: Refine the process for priority scheduling for students with disabilities that ensures the master schedule meets individual needs identified in students Individual Education Plans (IEPs). | Achievement Gap Closure Increased Proficiency | Collaborative Planning |
| scoring proficient or above in reading and math from 21.42 %to 22.13%by 2020. | Environment | Specially Designed Instruction: Ensure students with disabilities are receiving SDI as stated on their IEP's. | Achievement Gap Closure Increased Proficiency | Collaborative Planning |
| | | Accommodations: Ensure appropriate accommodations are being used throughout the school year for students with disabilities to | Achievement Gap Closure Increased Proficiency | Collaborative Planning |

| | | provide equal access to the general curriculum. | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| Objective 4: Increase the combined average percentage of English Learners including Monitored in the | KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy | PLC Cycle 1 Planning for Instruction: EL teachers will participate in cycle 1 of professional learning communities to provide job-embedded support to general education teachers as they plan for instruction for English Learners. | Participation in PLCs Observations | Observations District EL Teacher PLC |
| scoring proficient or above in reading and math from 33.33% to 34.44by 2020. | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and | English Learners: Build language proficiency with differentiated, supplemental instructional resources that target listening, speaking, reading, and writing. Quarterly conferences | ACCESS scores DSA scores Rubrics | Observations PLC |
| Objective 5: Increase Kindergarten readiness scores by 10% District wide as measured by Brigance by October 2020. | KCWP2: Design and Deliver Instruction KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data KCWP5: Design, Align and Deliver Support KCWP6: Establishing Learning culture and Environment | Striving Readers Comprehensive Literacy grant initiatives Early Childhood Literacy Academy: Preschool teachers in every building participate in the academy to build capacity around quality early childhood literacy instruction. School Literacy Plans supported by Literacy Teams: As required by the grant, every school will establish School Literacy Teams that will monitor implementation of School Literacy Plans and report to SBDM 3 times per semesters. Engage daycare/preschool partners to increase quality literacy experiences for early literacy learners through professional learning and resources provided by SRCL grant. | Brigance kindergarten readiness scores Documentation of online participation in Early Childhood Literacy Academy | Grant Reporting |
| | | Primary Program Success: Preschool students (at-risk and students with disabilities) are tracked throughout their primary program to measure their level of success. | Data spreadsheet Reading Inventory Math Inventory Former MAP Scores | Lexile growth Quantile Growth |

| Grant Reporting | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Brigance kindergarten | readiness scores | | |
| Preschool Literacy Curriculum: Review Brigance kindergarten | and select reading program to support | students and prepare them for | Kindergarten. |
| | | | |
| | | | |

5: Partnerships

Goal 7: Encourage sustainable partnerships among all stakeholder groups and with the Christian County community

| Objective | Strategy | Activities to deploy strategy | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring D |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| Objective 1: Participation in Parent Satisfaction Survey will have 25% of households responding. | KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment | Parent and family participation: Sinking Fork will communicate with to stakeholders the opportunity to participate in the parent satisfaction. Stakeholder feedback will be used to create school and district action plans. | Measure of parent and family participation | Monitoring participatic School action plans Review at Administratc School Scorecard |
| Objective 2: Achieve parent satisfaction baseline from 3.84 to 3.90 on "I receive positive phone calls, emails, or notes about my child from school" This goal has been updated | KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment | Positive Communication: Families will receive a visit, call, personal note home to communicate something positive about the child. Positive Communication: District will provide postage-paid 'Good News' postcards to all schools to communicate with parents and families. | Improvement of parent satisfaction | Monitoring teacher cor of positive contacts at , Academies. Monitoring teacher cor of positive contacts at , Academies School Scorecard |
| Objective 3: Increase parent satisfaction baseline from 3.95 to 4.0 on "I regularly receive feedback from school staff on how well my child is learning" | KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support KCWP 6: Establishing | Communication: Families will receive communication about the child's learning and behavior progress at school. | Improvement of parent satisfaction | Share their process of at Administrator Acade School Scorecard |

| | Learning Culture and Environment | | | |
|--|---|--|---------------------------------|--|
| Objective 4:IncreaseKCWP 5: Design,Kindergarten Readiness by ten percent from 44% to 54% by September 2020.Align and Deliver Support54% by September 2020.KCWP 6:Establishing | KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment | Partnerships: Collaborate with community partners Kindergarten to provide education to parents and families, early Readiness Railearning centers, and health care providers to better prepare young learners for Kindergarten entry. | Kindergarten Readiness Rates | Agenda Attendance Community participatic School Scorecard |



2020-21 Phase One: Continuous Improvement Diagnostic for Schools_09082020_13:25

2020-21 Phase One: Continuous Improvement Diagnostic for Schools

Sinking Fork Elementary School Leslie Lancaster

5005 Princeton Road Hopkinsville, Kentucky, 42240 United States of America

| 2020-21 Phase One: Continuous Improvement Diagnostic for Schools - 2020-21 Phase One: Continuous Improvement Diagnostic for |
|---|
| Schools_09082020_13:25 - Generated on 01/04/2021 |
| Sinking Fork Elementary School |

Table of Contents

2020-21 Phase One: Continuous Improvement Diagnostic for Schools



2020-21 Phase One: Continuous Improvement Diagnostic for Schools

. The **Comprehensive School Improvement Plan or CSIP** is defined as a plan developed by the school council, or successor, and charter schools with the input of parents, faculty, and staff, based on a review of relevant data that includes targets, strategies, activities, and a time schedule to support student achievement and student growth, and to eliminate gaps among groups of students.

The comprehensive school and district improvement plan process is outlined in 703 KAR 5:225. The requirements included in the administrative regulation are key components of the continuous improvement process in Kentucky and ultimately fulfillment of school, district, and state goals under the Kentucky State Plan as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

While the regulation outlines a timeline for compliance purposes, the plan itself is a strategic and proven approach to improve processes and to ensure students achieve. The timeline for the school's 2020-21 diagnostics is as follows:

Phase One: August 1 - October 1

Continuous Improvement Diagnostic for Schools

Phase Two: October 1 - November 1

- The Needs Assessment for Schools
- School Assurances
- School Safety Report

Phase Three: November 1 - January 1

- Comprehensive School Improvement Plan
- Executive Summary for Schools
- Closing the Achievement Gap Diagnostic for Schools
- Professional Development Plan for Schools

Phase Four: January 1 - December 31

Progress Monitoring

As principal of the school, I hereby commit to implementing continuous improvement processes with fidelity to support student achievement and student growth and to eliminate achievement gaps among groups of students.

Please enter your name and date below to certify.



2020-21 Phase One: Continuous Improvement Diagnostic for Schools - 2020-21 Phase One: Continuous Improvement Diagnostic for Schools_09082020_13:25 - Generated on 01/04/2021

Sinking Fork Elementary School

Leslie Lancaster 9/8/2020





2020-21 Phase Two: The Needs Assessment for Schools_09252020_08:40

2020-21 Phase Two: The Needs Assessment for Schools

Sinking Fork Elementary School Leslie Lancaster

5005 Princeton Road Hopkinsville, Kentucky, 42240 United States of America

Table of Contents

| 2020-21 Phase Two: The Needs Assessment for Schools | 3 |
|--|----|
| Understanding Continuous Improvement: The Needs Assessment | 4 |
| Protocol | 5 |
| Current State | 7 |
| Priorities/Concerns | 8 |
| Trends | g |
| Potential Source of Problem | 10 |
| Strengths/Leverages | 11 |

Generated on 01/04/2021

Sinking Fork Elementary School

2020-21 Phase Two: The Needs Assessment for Schools



Understanding Continuous Improvement: The Needs Assessment

In its most basic form, continuous improvement is about understanding the **current state** and formulating a plan to move to the **desired state**. The comprehensive needs assessment is a culmination of an extensive review of multiple sources of data collected over a period of time (e.g. 2-3 years). It is to be conducted annually as an essential part of the continuous improvement process and precedes the development of strategic goals (i.e. desired state).

The needs assessment requires synthesis and analysis of multiple sources of data and should reach conclusions about the **current state** of the school, as well as the processes, practices and conditions that contributed to that state.

The needs assessment provides the framework for **all** schools to clearly and honestly identify their most critical areas for improvement that will be addressed later in the planning process through the development of goals, objectives, strategies and activities. 703 KAR 2:225 requires, as part of continuous improvement planning for schools, each school complete the needs assessment between October 1 and November 1 of each year and include: (1) a description of the data reviewed and the process used to develop the needs assessment; (2) a review of the previous plan and its implementation to inform development of the new plan; and, (3) perception data gathered from the administration of a valid and reliable measure of teaching and learning conditions. Further, as required by Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), Title I schools implementing a schoolwide program must base their Title I program on a comprehensive needs assessment.



Protocol

- . Clearly detail the process used for reviewing, analyzing and applying data results. Include names of school councils, leadership teams and stakeholder groups involved. How frequently does this planning team meet and how are these meetings documented?
 - 1. Continuous Improvement Team: The Leadership Team is made up of the principal, Guidance Counselor, Curriculum Specialist, 2 classroom teachers and one special education teacher. The Leadership Team was responsible for the first breakdown of the School Report Card data and planning our professional development sessions to share/analyze data with the faculty. 2. Gather and organize data: School leadership gathers and organizes data. Data is reviewed at monthly Curriculum Leadership Team meetings. School leader ship reviews: state accountability data, attendance data, Quantile, Lexile, District Standard Assessment Data, common and formative assessment data. The data is shared by the schools with the district through a shared Google spreadsheet that is used for monitoring purposes. Review current performance: Continuous Improvement Team identifies areas where the school met/failed to meet district, state/federal targets, or school expectations for academic proficiency, academic gap, academic growth, transition readiness, and graduation rate. Continuous Improvement Team conducts disaggregated analysis by grade level, content area, within content strands (e.g. number sense in mathematics) and by gap groups. Describe performance trends: Current performance is compared to past performance. Directions of trends for every performance indicator are identified. Prioritize performance concerns: Continuous Improvement Team identifies priority performance concerns for every indicator (academic proficiency, academic gap, academic growth, transition readiness, and graduation rate) for which the school did not meet federal, state and/or local expectations. Identify root and hypothesize potential causes: Continuous Improvement Team identifies root causes or hypothesizes potential causes for each priority performance concern. Specific data protocols are used to analyze performance data. Multiple sources of data are used to analyze root causes and reflection explicitly considers broad, systemic root causes. Teams consider the level of root causes (incidental or procedural; programmatic; systemic; external). The root cause identification identifies what schools can control rather than factors that the school cannot control. Set measurable performance targets: Long range goals based on the Kentucky Board of Education goals are set to address priority concerns. Objectives with short term targets to be attained by the end of the current school year are established. Identify solutions and actions steps: Based on the root cause analysis, Continuous Improvement Teams identifies research-based strategies and activities to systematically address process, practice, or condition to



Sinking Fork Elementary School

address the root cause in order to reach goals/objectives. Implement plan: The improvement plan is communicated to all stakeholders and implemented. Progress monitor: The improvement plan will monitor progress toward meeting performance targets. The Continuous Improvement Team will utilize 5X monitoring, PLCs, and RTI meetings. The implementation plan will be responsive and changed based upon progress monitoring. The school will utilize the school scorecard for short cycle planning and monitoring of the implementation of the CSIP.



Current State

. Plainly state the current condition using precise numbers and percentages as revealed by past, current and multiple sources of data. These should be based solely on data outcomes. Cite the source of data used.

Example of Current Academic State:

- -Thirty-four percent (34%) of students in the achievement gap scored proficient on KPREP Reading.
- -From 2018 to 2020, the school saw an 11% increase in novice scores in reading among students in the achievement gap.
- -Fifty-four percent (54%) of our students scored proficient in math compared to the state average of 57%.

Example of Non-Academic Current State:

- -Teacher Attendance: Teacher attendance rate was 84% for the 2019-20 school year a decrease from 92% in 2017-18.
- -The number of behavior referrals increased from 204 in 2018-19 to 288 in 2019-20.
- -Survey results and perception data indicated 62% of the school's teachers received adequate professional development.

Sinking Fork's overall achievement score for 2019 was a 66.9 and we are classified as a 3 star school. Sinking Fork's 2019 KPREP Reading Data Indicates: -54% of our students scored Novice/ Apprentice - 75% of African American students scored Novice/ Apprentice -60% of our economically disadvantaged students scored Novice/ Apprentice Sinking Fork's 2019 KPREP Math Data Indicates: - 38% of our students scored Novice/ Apprentice - 51% of African American students scored Novice/ Apprentice - 46% of our economically disadvantaged students scored Novice/ Apprentice A teacher attendance rate baseline rate is being established of 95.45% was established during the 2018-2019 school year. The school & district struggles to find substitute teachers to fill classrooms on a daily basis. During the 2018-2019 school year the Employee Engagement Survey mean score was 4.16. The school goal for the 2019-2020 school year is 4.21. The student attendance rate for the 2018-2019 school year was 95.2. The school goal for 2019-2020 is 96%. The district goal for the 2019-2020 school year is 94.48.



Priorities/Concerns

. Clearly and concisely identify areas of weakness using precise numbers and percentages.

NOTE: These priorities will be thoroughly addressed in the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) diagnostic and template.

Example: Sixty-eight (68%) of students in the achievement gap scored below proficiency on the KPREP test in reading as opposed to just 12% of non-gap learners.

After reviewing the data it is clear that our African American population is under performing in both reading and math. - 75% of our AA students scored Novice/ Apprentice in Reading - 51% of our AA students scored Novice/ Apprentice in Math



Trends

. Analyzing data trends from the previous two academic years, which academic, cultural and behavioral measures remain significant areas for improvement?

Sinking Fork Elementary's African American GAP group continues to under perform compared to our white students. Two sub populations are a trend when looking at GAPS for Sinking Fork, African Americans and free/reduced in reading and math. Currently we are providing intervention services to the lowest 20% of each grade level in reading and math determined by reading and math RI & MI assessments, common assessments and district benchmarks.



Potential Source of Problem

. Which processes, practices or conditions will the school focus its resources and efforts upon in order to produce the desired changes? Note that all processes, practices and conditions can be linked to the six Key Core Work Processes outlined below:

KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards

KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction

KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy

KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data

KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support

KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment

1- Deployment of Standards Math, Reading and Language Arts are areas that need improvements at Sinking Fork. Reading Content District supported programs such as IREAD, Read 180, and System 44 are being implemented K-6th to target students who struggle in reading content. These programs are used in addition to their core reading instruction. This is helping to ensure the targeted students are receiving extra Reading practice on specific skills that are tailored to fit Individual needs according to assessment data. 6-Establish a Learning Culture and Environment Two sub populations are a trend when looking at GAPS for Sinking Fork. African American and free/reduced in reading and math. Currently we are providing intervention services to the lowest 20% of each grade level in reading and math determined by reading and math RI & MI assessments, common assessments and district benchmarks. We have 3 tier levels, Tier 1 is serviced in the classroom, Tier 2 and 3 is a pullout program in a small group setting or 1 to 1 services provided to help in the content area. RTI monitoring is done every week/bi weekly with the RTI committee meeting monthly to look at progress and determine what students are showing growth and what students need further instruction. Each student will continue to receive interventions until the next assessment is administered. Data will then be looked at to determine if the student will remain receiving interventions or if they are able to test out. Ongoing professional development will be held during Professional Learning Communities and faculty meetings to address our GAP area. GAP students are also provided adult mentors in the building to encourage those students and build relationships.



Strengths/Leverages

. Plainly state, using precise numbers and percentages revealed by current data, the strengths and leverages of the school.

Example: Graduation rate has increased from 67% the last five years to its current rate of 98%.

Overall proficiency scores indicated that Sinking Fork qualified for a medium proficiency rating with a 74.3 . In the area of Separate Academic Indicators students scored overall a 56.9 which is a low rating but improved from the previous year that was a 54.1 . Sinking Fork Elementary received a growth indicator score of 67 which is classified as a high rating. Even though our GAP groups are not meeting the proficiency goals we are making progress and showing tremendous growth in all areas.



Attachment Summary

| Attachment Name Description Associated Item(s) |
|--|
|--|





2020-21 Phase Two: School Assurances_09252020_08:50

2020-21 Phase Two: School Assurances

Sinking Fork Elementary School Leslie Lancaster

5005 Princeton Road Hopkinsville, Kentucky, 42240 United States of America

Table of Contents

| 2020-21 Phase Two: School Assurances | 3 |
|---|----|
| Introduction | 4 |
| Teacher Performance | 5 |
| Title I Schoolwide Programs | |
| Title I Targeted Assistance School Programs | 10 |
| Schools Identified for Targeted Support and Improvement | 13 |
| All School Programs | 14 |

2020-21 Phase Two: School Assurances

Introduction

Assurances are a required component of the improvement planning process (703 KAR 5:225). Please read each assurance carefully and indicate whether your school complies by selecting the appropriate response (Yes, No or N/A). If you wish to provide further information or clarify your response, space for comments is provided. Comments are optional. You may upload any supporting documentation as needed.



Teacher Performance

1. The KDE focuses on promoting equitable access to effective educators for all students, including minority students, those experiencing poverty, English learners and students with disabilities. Therefore, all districts and schools are charged with ensuring equitable access to experienced and effective educators. The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires each school to report data regarding ineffective teachers. An ineffective teacher receives a summative effectiveness rating of "ineffective" as determined through the local performance evaluation system that meets the requirement established by KRS 156.557. An ineffective teacher consistently fails to meet expectations as determined by a trained evaluator, in competencies identified as the performance criteria in the Kentucky Framework for Teaching.

Due to the passage of Senate Bill 1 (2017), the measure and method for collecting teacher and leader effectiveness data was adjusted to fulfill the state law regarding district reporting and data collection. Number of students taught by ineffective teachers is self-reported by each school, in aggregate, by subpopulation. Names of ineffective teachers are not provided/collected.

Responses to this assurance will be collected in the <u>Kentucky Equitable Access to</u> <u>Effective Educators Survey</u>. Responses to each survey question should be based on data from the 2019-20 school year. This survey collects data on ineffective teachers only. Data on inexperienced and out-of-field teachers is collected using the EPSB identification number provided in Infinite Campus. Please be sure all teachers have an EPSB number on file.

Once you have completed the survey, return to the 2020-21 Phase Two: School Assurances diagnostic to certify that your school has completed the survey and to complete the remaining assurances on the diagnostic.

I certify this school has accurately completed the <u>Kentucky Equitable Access to</u>
<u>Effective Educators Survey</u> by opening the survey link, entering all requested information, and submitting the completed survey as directed. I also certify that all certified staff members have an EPSB number entered in Infinite Campus.

Yes

o No

o N/A

COMMENTS

Title I Schoolwide Programs

| If the school is implementing a schoolwide program, the school dev | eloped a |
|--|-------------|
| comprehensive plan during a 1-year period or qualifies for an exception und | ler Section |
| 1114(b)(1) of the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). | |

- Yes
- o No
- o N/A

COMMENTS

3. If the school is implementing a schoolwide program, the school developed a comprehensive plan with the involvement of parents and other members of the community to be served as well as individuals who will carry out such plan (e.g. teachers, administrators, classified staff, etc.) as required by Section 1114(b)(2) of ESSA.

- Yes
- o No
- o N/A

COMMENTS

4. If the school is implementing a schoolwide program, the school developed a comprehensive plan that will remain in effect for the duration of the school's participation under Title I, Part A of ESSA as required by Section 1114(b)(3) of ESSA.

- Yes
- o No
- o N/A

COMMENTS

5. If the school is implementing a schoolwide program, the school developed a comprehensive plan that is available to district leadership, parents, and the public and in an understandable and uniform format as required by Section 1114(b)(4) of ESSA.

Yes

o No

o N/A

COMMENTS

6. If the school is implementing a schoolwide program, the school developed a comprehensive plan that, to the extent appropriate and applicable, coordinates with other federal, state, and local programs, including but not limited to the implementation of improvement activities in schools identified for comprehensive or targeted support and improvement, as required by Section 1114(b)(5) of ESSA.

Yes

o No

o N/A

COMMENTS

7. If the school is implementing a schoolwide program, the school developed a comprehensive plan that is based on a comprehensive needs assessment, which included a review of academic achievement data, and includes, among other items, a description of the strategies the school will implement to address school needs as required by Section 1114(b)(6) of ESSA. The comprehensive needs assessment was developed with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan, and the school documents how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results, as required by 34 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 200.26.

Yes

o No

o N/A

COMMENTS

8. If the school is implementing a schoolwide program, the school developed, pursuant to Section 1114(b)(7), a comprehensive plan that includes a description of the strategies to be implemented to address school needs, including how such strategies: (1) provide opportunities for all children; (2) use methods and instructional strategies that strengthen the academic program in the school, increase learning time, and provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum; and, (3) address the needs of all children through, for example, the following activities: school-based mental health programs; a tiered model to prevent and address behavioral problems; professional development to



improve instruction and use of data from academic assessments, and to recruit and retain effective teachers; and/or, strategies for assisting preschool children transition to local elementary school programs.

- Yes
- o No
- o N/A

COMMENTS

9. The school regularly monitors the implementation and results achieved by the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement. The school determines whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the challenging State academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards. The school revises the plan as necessary based on student needs and on the results of the regular monitoring, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program and to ensure that all students are provided opportunities to meet the challenging State academic standards, as required by Section 1114 (b)(3) of ESSA and 34 CFR 200.26.

- Yes
- o No
- o N/A

COMMENTS



| Title I Targeted Assistance School Programs |
|---|
| 10. If the school is implementing a targeted assistance school program, participating students are identified in accordance with Section 1115(c) and on the basis of multiple, educationally related, objective criteria. |
| o Yes o No ● N/A COMMENTS |
| 11. If the school is implementing a targeted assistance school program, the school serves participating students using resources under Title I, Part of ESSA to meet challenging state academic standards as required by Section 1115(b)(2)(A) of ESSA. |
| o Yes o No ● N/A COMMENTS |
| 12. If the school is implementing a targeted assistance school program, the school serves pursuant to Section 1115(b)(2)(B) of ESSA, participating students using methods and instructional strategies to strengthen the academic program of the school, which may include, for example, expanded learning time, summer programs, and/or a tiered model to prevent and address behavioral problems. |
| ○ Yes ○ No ● N/A COMMENTS |
| 13. If the school is implementing a targeted assistance school program, the school serves participating students by coordinating with and supporting the regular educational program as required by Section 1115(b)(2)(C) of ESSA. |
| o Yes |

cognia

o Yes

o No

N/A

COMMENTS

17. If the school is implementing a targeted assistance school program, the school serves

participating students by reviewing the progress of participating students on an ongoing basis and revising the targeted assistance program, if necessary, to provide additional assistance to meet challenging state academic standards as required by Section 1115(b) (2)(G) of ESSA.

o Yes

o No

N/A

COMMENTS



Schools Identified for Targeted Support and Improvement

18. If identified for targeted support and improvement, including additional targeted support and improvement, pursuant to Section 1111(d)(2) of ESSA, the school developed and implemented a plan to improve student outcomes that, among other items, was informed by all indicators, including student performance against long-term goals; included evidence-based interventions; and, approved by local leadership. For reference, "evidence-based" is defined in ESSA Section 8101(21).

- o Yes
- o No
- N/A

COMMENTS

19. If identified for additional targeted support and improvement pursuant to Section 1111(d)(2)(C), the school developed and implemented a plan to improve student outcomes that also identified resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of such plan.

- o Yes
- o No
- N/A

COMMENTS



All School Programs

20. The school provides professional development for staff that is in accordance with the purpose of Title II of ESSA; addresses the needs of all students; and, strives to ensure all students are college, career and transition ready as intended by Section 2103 of ESSA, which governs the local use of Title II funding.

Yes

o No

o N/A

COMMENTS

21. The school ensures that the use of federal funding, including expenditures for certified or classified positions (e.g. counselors, nurses, media specialists, etc.), is reasonable and necessary in compliance with 2 CFR 200.403 and 200.405.

Yes

o No

o N/A

COMMENTS

22. The school ensures that all teachers and paraprofessionals working in a program supported with Title I, Part A funding meet applicable state requirements as required by Section 1111(g)(2)(J) of ESSA.

Yes

o No

o N/A

COMMENTS

23. The school distributes to parents and family members of participating children, or all children in a schoolwide program, a written parent and family engagement policy, which is agreed on by such parents, that describes the means for carrying out the requirements of ESSA Section 1116 (c) through (f). The school makes the policy available to the local community and updates it periodically to meet the changing needs of parents and the school. For reference, Section 1116(b) of ESSA allows existing parent and family

engagement policies the school may have in place to be amended to meet the requirements under Title I, Part A.

- Yes
- o No
- o N/A

COMMENTS

24. The school convenes an annual meeting, at a convenient time, to which all parents of participating children, or all children in a schoolwide program, are invited and encouraged to attend, to inform parents of their school's participation in Title I, Part A and to explain the requirements of Title I, Part A, and the right of the parents to be involved, as required under Section 1116(c)(1).

- Yes
- o No
- o N/A

COMMENTS

25. The school offers a flexible number of meetings to parents, such as meetings in the morning or evening, and may provide, with funds provided under this part, transportation, child care, or home visits, as such services relate to parental involvement (ESSA Section 1116(c)(2).

- Yes
- o No
- o N/A

COMMENTS

26. The school involves parents, in an organized, ongoing, and timely way, in the planning, review, and improvement of programs under Title I, including the planning, review, and improvement of the school parent and family engagement policy and the joint development of the schoolwide program plan undersection 1114(b), except that if a school has in place a process for involving parents in the joint planning and design of the school's programs, the school may use that process, if such process includes an adequate representation of parents of participating children (ESSA Section 1116 (c)(3)).

| • | Va | e |
|---|----|---|
| Ŧ | 16 | Э |

o No

o N/A

COMMENTS

27. The school provides parents of participating children, or all children in a schoolwide program—

A. timely information about programs under Title I;

B. a description and explanation of the curriculum in use at the school, the forms of academic assessment used to measure student progress, and the achievement levels of the challenging State academic standards; and

C. if requested by parents, opportunities for regular meetings to formulate suggestions and to participate, as appropriate, in decisions relating to the education of their children, and respond to any such suggestions as soon as practicably possible (ESSA Section 1116 (c)(4)).

Yes

o No

o N/A

COMMENTS

28. If the schoolwide program plan under section 1114(b) is not satisfactory to the parents of participating children, the school submits any parent comments on the plan when the school makes the plan available to the local educational agency.

Yes

o No

o N/A

COMMENTS

29. The school jointly develops with parents for all children served under this part a school-parent compact that outlines how parents, the entire school staff, and students will share the responsibility for improved student academic achievement and the means by which the school and parents will build and develop a partnership to help children achieve the State's high standards. The compact meets the requirements outlined in ESSA 1116(d)(1-2).

| 2020-21 Phase Two: School Assurances - 2020-21 Phase Two: School Assurances_09252020_08:50 - Generated on 01/04/2021 |
|---|
| Sinking Fork Elementary School |
| |
| ● Yes |
| 0 No |
| o N/A |
| COMMENTS |
| 30. The school provides assistance to parents of children served by the school in understanding such topics as the challenging State academic standards, State and local academic assessments, the requirements of Title I, and how to monitor a child's progress and work with educators to improve the achievement of their children, as required by ESSA Section 1116(e)(1). |
| ● Yes |
| o No |
| o N/A |
| COMMENTS |
| 31. The school provides materials and training to help parents to work with their children to improve their children's achievement, such as literacy training and using technology (including education about the harms of copyright piracy), as appropriate, to foster parental involvement, as required in ESSA Section 1116(e)(2). |
| ● Yes |
| o No |
| o N/A |
| <u>COMMENTS</u> |
| 32. The school educates teachers, specialized instructional support personnel, principals, and other school leaders, and other staff, with the assistance of parents, in the value and utility of contributions of parents, and in how to reach out to, communicate with, and work with parents as equal partners, implement and coordinate parent programs, and build ties between parents and the school, as required in ESSA Section 1116(e)(3). |

Yes

o No

o N/A

COMMENTS

Sinking Fork Elementary School

33. To the extent feasible and appropriate, the school coordinates and integrates parent involvement programs and activities with other Federal, State, and local programs, including public preschool programs, and conducts other activities, such as parent resource centers, that encourage and support parents in more fully participating in the education of their children (ESSA Section 1116(e)(4)).

Yes

o No

o N/A

COMMENTS

34. The school ensures that information related to school and parent programs, meetings, and other activities is sent to the parents of participating children, or all children in a schoolwide program, in a format and, to the extent practicable, in a language the parents can understand, as required in ESSA Section 1116(e)(5).

Yes

o No

o N/A

COMMENTS

35. To the extent practicable, the school provides opportunities for the informed participation of parents and family members (including parents and family members who have limited English proficiency, parents and family members with disabilities, and parents and family members of migratory children), including providing information and school reports required under section 1111 in a format and, to the extent practicable, in a language parents understand (ESSA Section 1116(f)).

Yes

o No

o N/A

COMMENTS

Attachment Summary

| Attachment Name Description Associated Item(s) |
|--|
|--|





2020-21 Phase Three: Executive Summary for Schools_09282020_12:27

2020-21 Phase Three: Executive Summary for Schools

Sinking Fork Elementary School Leslie Lancaster

5005 Princeton Road Hopkinsville, Kentucky, 42240 United States of America

Table of Contents

2020-21 Phase Three: Executive Summary for Schools

3



2020-21 Phase Three: Executive Summary for Schools

. Describe the school's size, community/communities, location, and changes it has experienced in the last three years. Include demographic information about the students, staff, and community at large. What unique features and challenges are associated with the community/communities the school serves?

Sinking Fork Elementary School is located in Christian County, Kentucky. Christian County is situated on the Kentucky-Tennessee border in what is considered Western Kentucky. Christian County covers 724 square miles and is one of the largest school districts in the state of Kentucky. Sinking Fork is one of eight elementary schools in Christian County. Sinking Fork is located in a rural setting and houses preschool through sixth grade. We stress academic excellence and encourage all students to develop skills to become life-long learners. Sinking Fork's mission statement is to provide a safe encouraging environment which all students are expected to meet their highest potential. Sinking Forks student population is 438 and is reflective of the large diverse Christian County community. 57% of the schools populations is Caucasian, 35.5% is African American, and 8 % other. Over 72% of Sinking Fork Students receive either free or reduced lunch. Sinking Fork's teachers experience range from second year teachers to over 27 years of experience, with over 30% of our teachers having at least 15 years of teaching experience. Sinking Fork's Community Partners include H & R Agri-Power, Amfine Chemical and Sinking Fork Baptist Church. Our partners assist us with parent involvement nights, students incentives, our backpack programs, positive behavior rewards and end of the year academic awards. These partners in addition to our parent volunteers, allow us to focus on instruction.

. Provide the school's purpose statement and ancillary content such as mission, vision, values, and/or beliefs. Describe how the school embodies its purpose through its program offerings and expectations for students.

The vision at Christian County Public Schools is to create an educational culture of continuous growth through shared partnerships and responsibilities. The vision in the district is transform the educational environment to meet the ongoing demands of the 21st Century learning so that all students are engaged in a high quality, equitable education and are prepared for community and global responsibilities. Our mission at Sinking Fork Elementary School is to provide a safe, encouraging, environment in which all students are expected to reach their highest potential. Our vision statement is "Investing in the future!" We believe that all students, regardless of race, gender, or socioeconomic background, can learn and succeed in school. The school works in collaboration with school leadership and in partnership with our



community to establish and support rigorous learning standards for all students. Sinking Fork's teachers value every student through research-based, individualized and differentiated instruction. Our goal for all students is to reach proficient level and attain one year's growth. The school offers primary talent pool and GT cluster groups for qualifying students. Response to interventions ensures students receive targeted instruction in their areas of deficiency. Reading Inventory and Math Inventory assessments are administered three times a year to kindergarten students through 6th grade and are used as the basis for grouping students to ensure growth can occur at all levels. Common Assessments are given after each unit taught at each grade level. These assessments provide teachers with data on mastery of standards and lead to reteaching and enrichment activities. Intermediate students participate in district benchmarks two times a year to measure students success as compared to the other elementary schools in the district. Using multiple data points teachers are able to tailor instruction to meet the needs of their students. Extracurricular Activities include Art club, Sinking Fork Choir, K-Kids (Kiwanis's) Student Technology Leadership Program, STEM Team, 4-H, Dance Team, Cheer Team, and Student Council.

. Describe the school's notable achievements and areas of improvement in the last three years. Additionally, describe areas for improvement that the school is striving to achieve in the next three years.

Sinking Fork's KPREP score was 66.9. Our most notable achievement is closing our achievement gap by reducing the number of students scoring at the novice level in math and over seventy percent of our students scoring growth points. The KPREP data has shown the need for improvement in the area of reading, writing and in Science, Social Studies and On Demand in 3rd-6th grade. We see the urgency to move the students towards proficiency and to have a goal for all students to show a years growth. Target areas are working with teachers on High quality Tier 1 instruction, differentiated instruction with higher level questioning, whole based literacy approach and using High Impact learning strategies with students to guide instruction and learning. Engaging students in rigorous learning opportunities. Focusing on small group instruction based on data from formative and summative assessments. Closely monitoring data and identifying GAP students to track data and compare to the data of our students overall.

. CSI/TSI Schools (including ATSI) Only: Describe the procedures used to create the school's improvement plan and briefly state the specific efforts to address the causes of low student performance and resource inequities.

Sinking Fork Elementary is not a TSI or CSI school.



. Provide any additional information you would like to share with the public and community that were not prompted in the previous sections.

Sinking Fork Elementary School is a wonderful place to learn, work, and play. Of all the attributes of the school, having a diverse school culture is one of the best. From our inner city students to our rural area students, we are a great melting pot that offers many unique ideas and opportunities. Many exceptional programs and services are offered to students beyond the core academic program. Programs such as: Panther Choir, Gifted and Talented Program, Art Club, K-Kids, STLP, Career Fair, Family Reading Nights, STEM Club, Duke Talent Search, Jr. Pro Basketball, District Choir, Student Council, Good News Club and Academic Team. The faculty and staff at Sinking Fork Elementary will maintain an attitude of continuous improvement and commitment to excellence for students of this community.



Attachment Summary

| Attachment Name | Description | Associated Item(s) | |
|------------------|-------------|----------------------|--|
| Attachinent Name | Description | Associated Itelli(s) | |





2020-21 Phase Three: Professional Development Plan for Schools_09282020_12:33

2020-21 Phase Three: Professional Development Plan for Schools

Sinking Fork Elementary School Leslie Lancaster

5005 Princeton Road Hopkinsville, Kentucky, 42240 United States of America

| 2020-21 Phase Three: Professional Development Plan for Schools - 2020-21 Phase Three: Professional Development Plan for |
|---|
| Schools_09282020_12:33 - Generated on 01/04/2021 |
| Sinking Fork Elementary School |

| To | ы | - | ~ F | Ca | men | nts |
|----|---|---|-----|----|-----|------|
| 10 | ы | | OI. | LU | nre | HILS |

2020-21 Phase Three: Professional Development Plan for Schools 3



2020-21 Phase Three: Professional Development Plan for Schools

The purpose of this diagnostic is to support the school in designing and implementing a professional development plan that aligns to the goals established in KRS 158.6451 and the local needs assessment. The basis of the professional development plan aligns to 704 KAR 3:035, which states the following:

Annual Professional Development Plan:

Section 2. Each local school and district shall develop a process to design a professional development plan that meets the goals established in KRS 158.6451 and in the local needs assessment. A school professional development plan shall be incorporated into the school improvement plan and shall be made public prior to the implementation of the plan. The local district professional development plan shall be incorporated into the district improvement plan and posted to the local district Web site prior to the implementation of the plan.

Section 3. Each school and local district professional development plan shall contain the following elements:

- 1. A clear statement of the school or district mission
- 2. Evidence of representation of all persons affected by the professional development plan
- 3. A needs assessment analysis
- 4. Professional development objectives that are focused on the school or district mission, derived from the needs assessment, and specify changes in educator practice needed to improve student achievement; and
- 5. A process for evaluating impact on student learning and improving professional learning, using evaluation results
 - 1. What is the school's mission?

Our mission at Sinking fork Elementary is to provide a safe, encouraging environment in which all students are expected to reach their highest potential.

The needs assessment provides the framework for all schools to clearly identify their most critical areas for improvement that will be addressed in the planning process through the development of goals, objectives, strategies and activities.

Based on the most critical areas for improvement identified in the completed needs assessment per <u>703 KAR 5:225</u> (3), what are the school's **top two priorities** for professional development that support continuous improvement?



- 1. Instructional strategies to include guided reading, shared reading, interactive read aloud and phonics. Expanded study of Literacy Continuum. 2. Culturally responsive teaching
- 3. How do the identified **top two priorities** of professional development relate to school goals?

Professional learning centered around reading and culturally responsive teaching will improve proficiency which meets our goals outlined in our CSIP.

4a. For the first priority need, what are the specific objectives for the professional development aligned to the school goal(s)? Consider the long and short term changes that need to occur in order to meet the goal.

The first of objective is to strengthen and expand teachers ability and effectiveness to deliver Tier 1 reading instruction. Instructional strategies to include guided reading, shared reading, interactive read aloud and phonics will be implemented in all K-6 reading content classrooms. Expanded study of Literacy Continuum will strengthen teachers knowledge of specific skills and strategies that support proficiency in reading.

4b. What are the intended results? (student outcomes; educator beliefs, practices, etc.)

Increase in student achievement and proficiency in the area of reading for all students.

4c. What will be the indicators of success? Consider the completed actions or markers that need to occur that would indicate the goals and objectives have been achieved.

MAP data, classroom assessments and KPREP dats will provide evidence and progress monitoring towards goals of reading proficiency.

4d. Who is the targeted audience for the professional development?

All ELA and Science/Social Studies teachers.

4e. Who is impacted by this component of professional development? (students, teachers, principals, district leaders, etc.)

Teachers and Students



4f. What resources are needed to support the professional development? (staff, funding, technology, materials, time, etc.)

Funding from Title I will be used for professional development, Literacy Continuum books and guided reading grade level sets.

4g. What ongoing supports will be provided for professional development implementation? (coaching, professional learning communities, follow up, etc.)

Vertical PLC's to continue conversations with other content level teachers. PLC collaboration with sister school. Coaching with district and outsourced consultant. Classroom observations by administration using a walkthrough instrument including feedback to teachers.

4h. How will the professional development be monitored for evidence of implementation? Consider data (student work samples, grade-level assessments, classroom observations, etc.) that will be gathered, persons responsible and frequency of data analysis.

Observations using walkthrough instrument- ELEOT . Minimum 5 teachers weekly. MAP data. Student work samples , grade level assessments.

5a. For the second priority need, what are the specific objectives for the professional development aligned to the school goal(s)? Consider the long and short term changes that need to occur in order to meet the goal.

The second of objective of professional development is to strengthen and expand teachers ability and effectiveness to deliver Tier 1 instruction in a culturally responsive manner.

5b. What are the intended results? (student outcomes; educator beliefs, practices, etc.)

Increase in student achievement and proficiency for all students by teachers implementing culturally responsive practices.

5c. What will be the indicators of success? Consider the completed actions or markers that need to occur that would indicate the goals and objectives have been achieved.

MAP data, classroom assessments and KPREP dats will provide evidence and progress monitoring towards goals of reading and math proficiency.

5d. Who is the targeted audience for the professional development?

All K-6 teachers and staff at Sinking Fork Elem.

5e. Who is impacted by this component of professional development? (students, teachers, principals, district leaders, etc.)

Teachers, staff and Students

5f. What resources are needed to support the professional development? (staff, funding, technology, materials, time, etc.)

Funding from Title I will be used for professional development and materials.

5g. What ongoing supports will be provided for professional development implementation? (coaching, professional learning communities, follow up, etc.)

Vertical PLC's to continue conversations with other content level teachers. PLC collaboration with sister school. Coaching with district and outsourced consultant. Classroom observations by administration using a walkthrough instrument including feedback to teachers.

5h. How will the professional development be monitored for evidence of implementation? Consider data (student work samples, grade-level assessments, classroom observations, etc.) that will be gathered, persons responsible and frequency of data analysis.

Observations using walkthrough instrument- ELEOT . Minimum 5 teachers weekly. MAP data. Student work samples , grade level assessments.

6. Optional Extension: If your school has identified additional professional development priorities that you would like to include, you may upload an attachment with the answers to question 3 and a-h as seen in questions 4 and 5. If you do not wish to include an optional extension, please list N/A in the space provided below.

N/A



Sinking Fork Elementary School

Attachment Summary

| Attachment Name | Description | Associated Item(s) |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 4 - |





2020-2021 Phase Three: Comprehensive School Improvement Plan_09282020_12:25

2020-2021 Phase Three: Comprehensive School Improvement Plan

Sinking Fork Elementary School Leslie Lancaster

5005 Princeton Road Hopkinsville, Kentucky, 42240 United States of America Sinking Fork Elementary School

| Ta | h | e | of | Co | n | tei | ats |
|----|---|---|----|----|---|-----|-----|
| 14 | | | • | ~~ | | | 100 |

| 2020-21 Phase Three: Comprehensive School Improvement Plan | 3 |
|--|---|
| Attachment Summary | 5 |



2020-21 Phase Three: Comprehensive School Improvement Plan

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. During the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps between identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

Goal: Long-term three to five-year targets based on the five (5) required school level goals. Elementary/middle schools must have goals for proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, growth, and transition readiness. High schools must have goals for proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, graduation rate, and transition readiness. Long-term targets should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools.

Objective: Short-term target to be attained by the end of the current academic year. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.

Strategy: An approach to systematically address the process, practice, or condition that the school will focus its efforts upon in order to reach its goals or objectives. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon Kentucky's six (6) Key Core Work Processes listed below or another established improvement approach (i.e. *Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge, etc.*).

Activity: Actionable steps used to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.

Key Core Work Processes: A series of processes identified by the Kentucky Department of Education that involve the majority of an organization's workforce and relate to its core competencies. These are the factors that determine an organization's success and help it prioritize areas for growth.

KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards

KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction

KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy

KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data

KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support

KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment

Measure of Success: Criteria that shows the impact of the work. The **measures** may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way.



Progress Monitoring: Process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Should include timelines and responsible individuals.

Funding: Local, state, or federal funds/grants used to support (or needed to support) the improvement initiative.

There are six (6) required district goals:

• Proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap closure, graduation rate, growth, and transition readiness.

The required school goals include the following:

- For elementary/middle school, these include proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, and, growth.
- For high school, these include proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, graduation rate, and transition readiness.
- . a. Develop your Strategic Goals using the <u>Comprehensive School Improvement</u> <u>Plan Template</u>.
- b. **Upload** your completed Comprehensive School Improvement Plan in the attachment area below.

You may enter an optional narrative about your Comprehensive School Improvement Plan below. If you do not have an optional narrative, enter N/A.

Goal Builder Attached



Attachment Summary

| Attachment Name | Description | Associated Item(s) |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|
| SF Goal Builder | | • |

